

International Journal of Statistics and Applied Mathematics

ISSN: 2456-1452
 Maths 2017; 2(6): 122-123
 © 2017 Stats & Maths
 www.mathsjournal.com
 Received: 19-09-2017
 Accepted: 20-10-2017

IA Adamu
 Department of Mathematic and
 Statistics ATAP Poly Bauchi,
 Nigeria

AT Rabi
 Department of Mathematics
 Federal College of Education
 Zaria, Nigeria

AP Nagwai
 Department of Mathematics
 Federal College of Education
 Zaria, Nigeria

Maximum probability estimation for an autoregressive process

IA Adamu, AT Rabi and AP Nagwai

Abstract

We observe X_1, \dots, X_n , where $X_i = \theta_1 X_{i-1} + Y_i$, ----- (1)

Where X_0 is defined as zero, and Y_1, \dots, Y_n are unobservable random variables, independent, each normal with mean zero and variance θ_2 . θ_1 and θ_2 are both unknown and are to be estimated by using Maximum Probability Estimation. It is shown that the maximum likelihood estimators of the parameters have certain optimal properties.

Keywords: Maximum probability estimation, autoregressive process

1. Introduction

Maximum probability estimators were decolorized in Weiss and Wolfowitz (2007, 2008, 2009, 2010) [3-6]. They represented an attempt to explain why maximum likelihood estimators work so well in many cases. The brief description of this asymptotic. A brief description of this asymptotic theory follows. There is an index n , which takes positive integral values, and we examine what happens as n increases. For each n , $X(n)$ is the random vectors to be observed. The joint density function for the components of $X(n)$ is $f_n(X(n); \theta_1, \dots, \theta_m)$, where $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ are unknown parameters to be $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m)$, $R_n(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m)$ is a bounded measurable subset of m – dimensional space, containing the m – dimensional origin $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m)$ and as n increases, $R_n(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m)$ shrinks towards this origin. For any given value, D_1, \dots, D_m let $R_n^*(D_1, \dots, D_m)$ denote the set of vectors $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m)$ such that the vector $(D_1 - \theta_1, \dots, D_m - \theta_m)$ is in $R_n(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m)$. let $\theta_1(n), \dots, \theta_m(n)$ denoteteh values of D_1, \dots, D_m which maximize the integral

$$\int_{R_n(D_1, \dots, D_m)} f_n(X(n); \theta_1, \dots, \theta_m) d\theta_1, \dots, d\theta_m \text{ -----} \quad (2)$$

$\hat{\theta}_1(n), \dots, \hat{\theta}_m(n)$ are called “Maximum probability estimators with respect to $R_n(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m)$ ” because if $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ can be estimated with increasing accuracy as n increases, then among all estimators satisfying a reasonable regularity condition, $(\hat{\theta}_1(n), \dots, \hat{\theta}_m(n))$ gives the highest asymptotic probability that the vector (estimator of $\theta_1 - \hat{\theta}_1$) estimator of $\theta_m - \hat{\theta}_m$) falls in $R_n(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m)$.

In Weiss (2004) [2], small-sample properties of maximum probability estimators were investigated. Now, we apply the theory of maximum probability estimators to the following estimation problem. Y_1, \dots, Y_n are independent and identically distributed, each normal with mean zero and unknown positive variance θ_2 . We do not observe Y_1, \dots, Y_n , what we observe are X_1, \dots, X_n , where $X_i = \theta_1 X_{i-1} + Y_i$ ---(3) with X_0 defined as zero. θ_1 is an unknown parameter. The problem is to estimate θ_1 and θ_2 .

The joint density function for

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \text{ is } (2\pi \theta_2)^{-n/2} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\theta_2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \theta_1 X_{i-1})^2\right] \text{ -----} \quad (4)$$

and the maximum likelihood estimators are ;

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_{i-1}}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_{i-1}} \text{ -----} \quad (5)$$

$$\hat{\theta}_2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \hat{\theta}_1 X_{i-1})^2 \text{ -----} \quad (6)$$

Correspondence
IA Adamu
 Department of Mathematic and
 Statistics ATAP Poly Bauchi,
 Nigeria

In Weiss (2004) [2] and Weiss and Wolfowitz (1968), this process was studied for the ease where θ_2 was known to be equal to one, and so only θ_1 had to be estimated.

2. The Case / $\theta_1 < 1$

If / $\theta_1 < 1$, it is easily verified that the conditions of Weiss (2003) [1] are satisfied, and therefore the maximum likelihood estimators have the following properties.

$n^{1/2} (\theta_1 - \hat{\theta}_1)$ and $n^{1/2} (\theta_2 - \hat{\theta}_2)$ have asymptotically a bivariate normal distribution with zero means, respective variances $1 - \theta_1^2$, $2 - \theta_2^2$, and covariance zero. Furthermore, $\hat{\theta}_1$, $\hat{\theta}_2$ have the same asymptotic properties as maximum probability estimators with respect to any convex region symmetric about the origin (0, 0)

3. The Estimation of θ_1

Known θ_2 is of no advantage in estimating θ_1 . That is, a statistician who does not know θ_2 can estimate θ_1 as well as statistician who know the value of θ_2 . To see this, imagine that unknown to us, each Y_1 is multiplied by a nonzero value c. this replaces θ_2 by $C^2 \theta_2$, and multiplies each X_1 by C, but does not change either $\hat{\theta}_1$ or θ_1 . The fact that knowing θ_2 is of no relevance for estimating θ_1 explains the form of asymptotic convenience matrix in section 2.

In Weiss (2004) [2], the problem of estimating θ_1 when θ_2 is known to be one and θ_1 is completely unknown as studied. In this case, the maximum likelihood estimator $\hat{\theta}_1$ is also the maximum probability estimator with respect to any region $R_n(\theta_1)$ symmetric around zero, and it was shown that $\hat{\theta}_1$ is uniformly arbitrarily close to a Bayes estimator. For each $n > 2$. From the discussion above, it follows that these desirable properties of $\hat{\theta}_1$ also hold if θ_2 is unknown.

4. Asymptotic Properties Of $\hat{\theta}_2$ When / $\theta_1 > 1$

In this section, we show that if / $\theta_1 > 1$, the asymptotic distribution of θ_2 is the same as that of the maximum likelihood estimator of θ_2 which would be available to somebody who knew the value of θ_1 . Denote by θ_2^* the maximum likelihood estimator of θ_2 when θ_1 is known.

$$\theta_2^* = 1/n \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \theta_1)^2 \text{ ----- (7)}$$

Since $n\theta_2^*/\theta_2$ has a chi-square distribution with n degrees of freedom, it follows that $(n/2\theta_2^2)^{1/2} (\theta_2^* - \theta_2)$ is asymptotically standard normal.

Let R denote $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - Y_1$ and let S denote $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - Y_1$. The following relations are easily verified:

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \theta_1 + R/S \text{ ----- (8)}$$

$$\hat{\theta}_2 - \theta_2^* = - (\hat{\theta}_1 - \theta_1)^2 S \text{ ----- (9)}$$

U

We will show that if / $\theta_1 \geq 1$, then $(\hat{\theta}_1 - \theta_1)^2 S/n^{1/2}$ converges to as n increases: considering (9) and the asymptotic distribution of θ_2^* , this will prove that the asymptotic distribution of $(n/2\theta_2^2)^{1/2} (\hat{\theta}_2 - \theta_2)$ is standard normal.

5. Discussion

First, we discuss the case where / $\theta_1 > 1$. Since $X_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \theta_1^{j-1} Y_j$ for $i > 1$. X_1 has a normal distribution with mean zero and variance $[\theta_2^2 / (1 - \theta_1^2)] [n - 1 - (\theta_1^2 - \theta_1^2) / (1 - \theta_1^2)]$. It is easily shown that;

$$S = (1 - \theta_1^2) 1 - X_n^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} Y_i^2 + 2\theta_1 R \text{ ----- (10)}$$

Thus, R is Op ($1/\theta_1^n$). X_n is Op ($1/\theta_1^{2n}$), and $\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2$ is Op ($1/\theta_1^{2n}$). Then $(\hat{\theta}_1 - \theta_1)^2 S/n^{1/2}$ converges to zero in probability as n increases.

Now we look at the case where / $\theta_1 = 1$. We carry out the details for the case $\theta_1 = -1$ being essentially the same. In this case R has mean $\theta_2 n (n - 1)/2$. In computing the variance of S, we use the fact that if $j > i$, then

$X_j = X_i + (Y_{i+1} + Y_{i+2} + \dots + Y_j)$, and $(Y_{i+1} + Y_{i+2} + \dots + Y_j)$ is independent of straightforward calculation then shows that the variance of S is $\theta_2^2 n^4/12 + \theta_2^2 O(n^3)$. We can write R as $\theta_2/n (n - 1) 12 + 12R^1$ where R^1 is Op (1), and we can write S as $\theta_2 n (n - 1) 12 + \theta_2 n^2 S^1/ (12)^{1/2}$ where S^1 is Op (1). It follows directly that $\hat{\theta}_1 - \theta_1 = R/S$ is Op ($1/n$), and then $(\hat{\theta}_1 - \theta_1)^2 S/n^{1/2} = Op (n^{1/2})$. This completes the demonstration.

6. References

1. Weiss. Asymptotic properties of maximum likelihood estimators in some nonstandard cases. Part II, Journal of American statistical Association. 2003; 68:728-730.
2. Weiss. Small-sample properties of maximum probability estimators, stochastic process and their Applications. 2004; 14:367-277.
3. Weiss, Wolfowitz. Maximum probability estimators. American Institute of Mathematical Statistics. 2007; 19, 193-206.
4. Weiss, Wolfowitz J. Generalized maximum likelihood estimators. Journal of American Statistical Association. 2008; 88:68-97.
5. Weiss, Wolfowitz J. Maximum probability estimators and asymptotic probability estimators and asymptotic sufficiency. American institute of Mathematical statistics 2009; 22:225-244.
6. Weiss, Wolfowitz J. Maximum probability Estimators and Related topics. Springer. Berlin, 2010.