



ISSN: 2456-1452
 Maths 2016; 1(2): 36-41
 © 2016 Stats & Maths
 www.mathsjournal.com
 Received: 10-05-2016
 Accepted: 12-06-2016

Biswajit Das
 Research Scholar, Assam Down
 Town University, Panikhaiti,
 Guwahati, Assam

Dhritikesh Chakrabarty
 Department of Statistics,
 Handique Girls' College,
 Guwahati, Assam, India

Newton's forward interpolation: representation of numerical data by a polynomial curve

Biswajit Das and Dhritikesh Chakrabarty

Abstract

In order to reduce the numerical computations associated to the repeated application of the existing interpolation formula in computing a large number of interpolated values, a formula has been derived from Newton's forward interpolation formula for representing the numerical data on a pair of variables by a polynomial curve. Application of the formula to numerical data has been shown in the case of representing the data on the total population of India corresponding as a function of time. The formula is suitable in the situation where the values of the argument (i.e. independent variable) are at equal interval.

Keywords: Interpolation, Newton's forward interpolation formula, polynomial curve, representation of numerical data

1. Introduction

Interpolation, which is the process of computing intermediate values of a function from the set of given values of the function {Hummel (1947), Erdos & Turan (1938) et al}, plays significant role in numerical research almost in all branches of science, humanities, commerce and in technical branches. A number of interpolation formulas namely Newton's Forward Interpolation formula, Newton's Backward Interpolation formula, Lagrange's Interpolation formula, Newton's Divided Difference Interpolation formula, Newton's Central Difference Interpolation formula, Stirlings formula, Bessel's formula and some others are available in the literature of numerical analysis {Bathe & Wilson (1976), Jan (1930), Hummel (1947) et al}. In case of the interpolation by the existing formulae, the value of the dependent variable corresponding to each value of the independent variable is to be computed afresh from the used formula putting the value of the independent variable in it. That is if it is wanted to interpolate the values of the dependent variable corresponding to a number of values of the independent variable by a suitable existing interpolation formula, it is required to apply the formula for each value separately and thus the numerical computation of the value of the dependent variable based on the given data are to be performed in each of the cases. In order to get rid of these repeated numerical computations from the given data, one can think of an approach which consists of the representation of the given numerical data by a suitable polynomial and then to compute the value of the dependent variable from the polynomial corresponding to any given value of the independent variable. However, a method/formula is necessary for representing a given set of numerical data on a pair of variables by a suitable polynomial. One such formula has been developed in this study. The formula has been derived from Newton's forward interpolation formula. The formula obtained has been applied to represent the numerical data, on the total population of India since 1971, by a polynomial curve.

2. Newton's Forward Interpolation Formula:

Let

$$(x_0, y_0), (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$$

be the set of $(n + 1)$ pairs of values of x and y .

Let the values of the independent variable x be at equal interval h i.e.

Correspondence:
Biswajit Das
 Research Scholar, Assam Down
 Town University, Panikhaiti,
 Guwahati, Assam, India

$$x_{i+1} - x_i = h \text{ for all } i.$$

Further let

$$u = \frac{x - x_0}{h}$$

Then Newton's forward interpolation formula is

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x) &= f(x_0) + u\Delta f(x_0) + \frac{u(u-1)}{2!} \Delta^2 f(x_0) + \frac{u(u-1)(u-2)}{3!} \Delta^3 f(x_0) + \dots \\
 &\dots + \frac{u(u-1)(u-2)\dots(u-n+1)}{n!} \Delta^n f(x_0), \\
 &= f(x_0) + \frac{x-x_0}{h} \Delta f(x_0) + \frac{(x-x_0)(x-x_0-h)}{2! h^2} \Delta^2 f(x_0) + \frac{(x-x_0)(x-x_0-h)(x-x_0-2h)}{3! h^3} \Delta^3 f(x_0) + \dots \\
 &\dots + \frac{(x-x_0)(x-x_0-h)(x-x_0-2h)\dots(x-x_0-(n-1)h)}{n! h^n} \Delta^n f(x_0) \tag{2.1}
 \end{aligned}$$

This formula can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x) &= C_0 + C_1(x-x_0) + C_2(x-x_0)(x-x_1) + C_3(x-x_0)(x-x_1)(x-x_2) + \dots \\
 &+ C_n(x-x_0)(x-x_1)(x-x_2)\dots(x-x_{n-1}) \tag{2.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $C_0 = f(x_0)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_1 &= \frac{\Delta f(x_0)}{h} \\
 C_2 &= \frac{\Delta^2 f(x_0)}{2! h^2} \\
 C_3 &= \frac{\Delta^3 f(x_0)}{3! h^3} \\
 &\dots \\
 &\dots \\
 C_i &= \frac{\Delta^{i-1} f(x_0)}{(i-1)! h^{i-1}} \\
 &\dots \\
 &\dots \\
 C_n &= \frac{\Delta^n f(x_0)}{n! h^n}
 \end{aligned}$$

3. Representation of Numerical Data by Polynomial Curve:

By algebraic expansion, one can obtain that

$$(x - x_0)(x - x_1) = x^2 - (\sum_{i=0}^1 x_i)x + x_0x_1$$

Also,

$$(x - x_0)(x - x_1)(x - x_2) = x^3 - (\sum_{i=0}^2 x_i)x^2 + (\sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{j=1}^2 x_i x_j)x - x_0x_1x_2,$$

Again,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (x - x_0)(x - x_1)(x - x_2)(x - x_3) &= x^4 - (\sum_{i=0}^3 x_i)x^3 + (\sum_{i=0}^2 \sum_{j=1}^3 x_i x_j)x^2 - \\
 &(\sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=2}^3 x_i x_j x_k)x + x_0x_1x_2x_3
 \end{aligned}$$

In general, one can obtain that

$$(x - x_0)(x - x_1)(x - x_2) \dots (x - x_{n-1})$$

$$= x^n - \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i\right) x^{n-1} + \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_i x_j\right) x^{n-2} - \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-3} \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} x_i x_j x_k\right) x^{n-3} +$$

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-4} \sum_{j=1}^{n-3} \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \sum_{l=3}^{n-1} x_i x_j x_k x_l\right) x^{n-4} + \dots + (-1)^n (x_0 x_1 x_2 \dots x_{n-1})$$

Now, equation (2.2), can be expressed as

$$f(x) = C_0 + C_1(x - x_0) + C_2\{x^2 - \left(\sum_{i=0}^1 x_i\right)x + x_0 x_1\} + C_3\{x^3 - \left(\sum_{i=0}^2 x_i\right)x^2 +$$

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{j=1}^2 x_i x_j\right)x - x_0 x_1 x_2\} + C_4\{x^4 - \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 x_i\right)x^3 + \left(\sum_{i=0}^2 \sum_{j=1}^3 x_i x_j\right)x^2 -$$

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{k=2}^3 x_i x_j x_k\right)x + x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3\} + \dots +$$

$$C_n \{x^n - \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i\right)x^{n-1} + \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_i x_j\right)x^{n-2} - \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-3} \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} x_i x_j x_k\right)x^{n-3} +$$

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-4} \sum_{j=1}^{n-3} \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \sum_{l=3}^{n-1} x_i x_j x_k x_l\right)x^{n-4} + \dots + (-1)^n (x_0 x_1 x_2 \dots x_{n-1})\}$$

$$= \{C_0 - C_1 x_0 + C_2 x_0 x_1 - C_3 x_0 x_1 x_2 + C_4 x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 - \dots +$$

$$C_n (-1)^n (x_0 x_1 x_2 \dots x_{n-1})\} + \{C_1 - C_2 \left(\sum_{i=0}^1 x_i\right) + C_3 \left(\sum_{i=0}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 x_i x_j\right) -$$

$$C_4 \left(\sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{k=2}^3 x_i x_j x_k\right) + \dots + (-1)^n C_n (x_0 x_1 x_2 \dots x_{n-2} +$$

$$x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_{n-1})\} x + \{C_2 - C_3 \left(\sum_{i=0}^2 x_i\right) + C_4 \left(\sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{j=1}^2 x_i x_j\right)$$

$$+ (-1)^n C_n (x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_{n-3} + x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_{n-2} + x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots$$

$$x_{n-1})\} x^2 + \{C_3 - C_4 \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 x_i\right) + \dots + (-1)^n C_n (x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_{n-4} + x_1 x_2 x_3$$

$$\dots x_{n-3} + x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_{n-2} + x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_{n-1})\} x^3 + \dots +$$

$$\{C_i - C_{i+1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^i x_j\right) + \dots + (-1)^{n-i} C_n (x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_{n-i+1}$$

$$\dots + x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_{n-1})\} x^i + C_n x^n \quad \rightarrow (3.1)$$

This is of the form

$$f(x) = A_0 + A_1 x + A_2 x^2 + A_3 x^3 + \dots + A_n x^n \quad \rightarrow (3.2)$$

where

$$A_0 = C_0 - C_1 x_0 + C_2 x_0 x_1 - C_3 x_0 x_1 x_2 + C_4 x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 - \dots$$

$$+ C_n (-1)^n (x_0 x_1 x_2 \dots x_{n-1}),$$

$$A_1 = C_1 - C_2 \left(\sum_{i=0}^1 x_i\right) + C_3 \left(\sum_{i=0}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 x_i x_j\right) - C_4 \left(\sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{k=2}^3 x_i x_j x_k\right) + \dots$$

$$\dots + (-1)^n C_n (x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_{n-2} + x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_{n-1}),$$

$$A_2 = C_2 - C_3 \left(\sum_{i=0}^2 x_i\right) + C_4 \left(\sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{j=1}^2 x_i x_j\right) - \dots$$

$$(-1)^n C_n (x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_{n-3} + x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_{n-2} +$$

$$x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_{n-1}),$$

$$A_3 = C_3 - C_4 \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 x_i\right) + \dots + (-1)^n C_n (x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_{n-4} +$$

$$x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_{n-3} + x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_{n-2} + x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_{n-1}),$$

$$\dots$$

$$A_i = C_i - C_{i+1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^i x_j\right) + \dots + (-1)^{n-i} C_n (x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_{n-i+1}$$

$$\dots\dots\dots + \dots\dots\dots x_{n-1},$$

$$A_n = C_n.$$

Equation (3.2), with the coefficients

$$A_0, A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots\dots\dots, A_n,$$

as defined above, is the required formula for representing a given set of numerical data on a pair of variables by a suitable polynomial we have aimed at.

Note: The formula is valid for representing a given set of numerical data on a pair of variables by a suitable polynomial under the following two conditions:

1. The given values of the independent variable are at equal interval.
2. The value of the independent variable corresponding to which the value of the dependent variable is to be estimated lies in the first half of the series of the given values of the independent variable.

4. An Example of Application of the Formula:

The following table shows the data on total population of India corresponding to the years:

Table 1

Year	1971	1981	1991	2001	2011
Total Population	548159652	683329097	846302688	1027015247	1210193422

Taking 1971 as origin and changing scale by 1/10, one can obtain the following table for independent variable x (representing time) and $f(x)$ (representing total population of India):

Table 2

Year	1971	1981	1991	2001	2011
x_i	0	1	2	3	4
$f(x_i)$	548159652	683329097	846302688	1027015247	1210193422

Now here $x_0 = 0, x_1 = 1, x_2 = 2, x_3 = 3, x_4 = 4$

$$f(x_0) = 548159652, f(x_1) = 683329097, f(x_2) = 846302688, f(x_3) = 1027015247, f(x_4) = 1210193422$$

Difference Table 3

X	$f(x)$	$\Delta f(x)$	$\Delta^2 f(x)$	$\Delta^3 f(x)$	$\Delta^4 f(x)$
0	548159652				
		135169445			
1	683329097		27804146		
		162973591		-10065178	
2	846302688		17738968		5208174
		180712559		-15273352	
3	1027015247		2465616		
		183178175			
4	1210193422				

Now, $C_0 = f(x_0) = 548159652$

$$C_1 = \frac{\Delta f(x_0)}{h} = \frac{135169445}{1} = 135169445$$

$$C_2 = \frac{\Delta^2 f(x_0)}{2!h^2} = \frac{27804146}{2 \cdot 1 \cdot 1} = \frac{27804146}{2} = 13902073$$

$$C_3 = \frac{\Delta^3 f(x_0)}{3!h^3} = \frac{-10065178}{3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 1} = \frac{-10065178}{6} = -1677529.66$$

$$C_4 = \frac{\Delta^4 f(x_0)}{4! h^4} = \frac{-2208174}{4 \times 2 \times 2 \times 2} = \frac{-2208174}{24} = -217007.25$$

∴ The polynomial is

$$f(x) = A_0 + A_1x + A_2x^2 + A_3x^3 + A_4x^4 \dots\dots\dots (4.1)$$

where $A_0 = C_0 - C_1x_0 + C_2x_0x_1 - C_3x_0x_1x_2 + C_4x_0x_1x_2x_3$

$$= 548159652 - 135169445 \times 0 + 13902073 \times 0 \times 1 + 1677529.66 \times 0 \times 1 \times 2 - 217007.25 \times 0 \times 1 \times 2 \times 3 = 548159652$$

$$A_1 = C_1 - C_2(x_0 + x_1) + C_3(x_0x_1 + x_1x_2) - C_4(x_0x_1x_2 + x_0x_1x_3 + x_0x_2x_3 + x_1x_2x_3)$$

$$= 135169445 - 13902073 \times (0 + 1) - 1677529.66 \times (0 + 2) - (-217007.25)(0 + 0 + 0 + 6)$$

$$= 135169445 - 13902073 - 1677529.66 \times 2 + 217007.25 \times 6$$

$$= 135169445 - 13902073 - 3355059.32 + 1302043.5$$

$$= 136471488.5 - 17257132.32$$

$$= 119214356.18$$

$$A_2 = C_2 - C_3(x_0 + x_1 + x_2) + C_4(x_0x_1 + x_0x_2 + x_1x_2 + x_0x_3 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3)$$

$$= 13902073 + 1677529.66 \times (0 + 1 + 2) - 217007.25 \times (0 + 0 + 2 + 0 + 3 + 6)$$

$$= 13902073 + 1677529.66 \times 3 - 217007.25 \times 11$$

$$= 13902073 + 5032588.98 - 2387079.75$$

$$= 18934661.98 - 2387079.75$$

$$= 16547582.23$$

$$A_3 = C_3 - C_4(x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3)$$

$$= -1677529.66 + 217007.25(0 + 1 + 2 + 3)$$

$$= -1677529.66 + 217007.25 \times 6$$

$$= -1677529.66 + 1302043.5$$

$$= -375486.16$$

$$A_4 = C_4 = -217007.25$$

Thus, the polynomial that can represent the given numerical data is

$$f(x) = 548159652 + 119214356.18x + 16547582.23x^2 - 375486.16x^3 - 217007.25x^4 \rightarrow (4.2)$$

This polynomial yields the values of the function $f(x)$ corresponding to the respective observed values as follows

$$f(0) = 548159652 + 119214356.18 \times 0 + 16547582.23 \times 0 - 375486.16 \times 0 - 217007.25 \times 0 = 548159652$$

$$f(1) = 548159652 + 119214356.18 \times 1 + 16547582.23 \times 1 - 375486.16 \times 1 - 217007.25 \times 1$$

$$= 548159652 + 119214356.18 + 16547582.23 - 375486.16 - 217007.25$$

$$= 683921590.41 - 592493.41$$

$$= 683329097$$

$$f(2) = 548159652 + 119214356.18 \times 2 + 16547582.23 \times 4 - 375486.16 \times 8 - 217007.25 \times 16$$

$$= 548159652 + 238428712.36 + 66190328.92 - 3003889.28 - 3472116$$

$$= 852778693.28 - 6476005.28$$

$$= 846302688$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(3) &= 548159652 + 119214356.18 \times 3 + 16547582.23 \times 9 - 375486.16 \times 27 - 217007.25 \times 81 \\
 &= 548159652 + 357643068.54 + 148928240.07 - 10138126.32 - 17577587.25 \\
 &= 1054730960.61 - 27715713.57 \\
 &= 1027015247
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(4) &= 548159652 + 119214356.18 \times 4 + 16547582.23 \times 16 - 375486.16 \times 64 - 217007.25 \times 256 \\
 &= 548159652 + 476857424.72 + 264761315.68 - 24031114.24 - 55553856 \\
 &= 1289778392.4 - 79584970.24 \\
 &= 1210193422.16 \\
 &= 1210193422
 \end{aligned}$$

5. Conclusion:

The formula described by equation (3.2) can be used to represent a given set of numerical data on a pair of variables, by a polynomial.

The degree of the polynomial is one less than the number of pairs of observations.

The polynomial that represents the given set of numerical data can be used for interpolation at any position of the independent variable lying within its two extreme values.

The approach of interpolation, described here, can be suitably applied in inverse interpolation also.

Newton's forward interpolation formula is valid for estimating the value of the dependent variable under the following two conditions:

1. The given values of the independent variable are at equal interval.
2. The value of the independent variable corresponding to which the value of the dependent variable is to be estimated lies in the first half of the series of the given values of the independent variable.

Therefore, the formula derived here is valid for representing a set of numerical data on a pair of variables by a polynomial under these two conditions only. Consequently, there is necessity of searching for some formula for representing a set of numerical data on a pair of variables by a polynomial if the value of the independent variable corresponding to which the value of the dependent variable is to be estimated lies in the last half of the series of the given values, which are at equal interval, of the independent variable. Moreover, there is also necessity of searching for some formula for representing a set of numerical data on a pair of variables by a polynomial if the given values of the independent variable are not at equal interval.

6. Reference

1. Nasrin Akter Ripa. Analysis of Newton's Forward Interpolation Formula", International Journal of Computer Science & Emerging Technologies (E-ISSN: 2044-6004), 2010; 12(1):4.
2. Chutia Chandra, Gogoi Krishna. NEWTON'S INTERPOLATION FORMULAE IN MS EXCEL WORKSHEET, International Journal of Innovative Research in Science Engineering and Technology, 2013; 2(12).
3. Vertesi P. SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis, 1990; 27:5, 1322-1331, Jstor.
4. Abramowitz M. Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables", 9th printing. New York: Dover, 1972, 880.
5. Jordan C. Calculus of Finite Differences", 3rd ed, New York, Chelsea. 1965.
6. Whittaker ET, Robinson G. The Gregory-Newton Formula of Interpolation" and "An Alternative Form of the Gregory-Newton Formula, The Calculus of Observations: A Treatise on Numerical Mathematics, 4th ed, 1967, 10-15.
7. Chwaiger J. On a Characterization of Polynomials by Divided Differences, Aequationes Math. 1994; 48:317-323.
8. Das Biswajit, Chakrabarty Dhritikesh. Lagranges Interpolation Formula: Representation of Numerical Data by a Polynomial Curve, International Journal of Mathematics Trend and Technology (ISSN (online): 2231-5373, ISSN (print): 2349-5758), 2016; 34 part-1 (2), 23-31.
9. De Boor C. A divided difference expansion of a divided difference, J. Approx. Theory, 2003; 122:10-12.
10. Dokken T, Lyche T. A divided difference formula for the error in Hermite interpolation, BIT. 1979; 19:540-541.
11. Fred T. Recurrence Relations for Computing with Modified Divided Differences, Mathematics of Computation. 1979; 33(148):1265-1271.
12. Floater M. Error formulas for divided difference expansions and numerical differentiation, J. Approx. Theory. 2003; 122:1-9.
13. Gertrude Blanch On Modified Divided Differences, Mathematical Tables and Other Aids to Computation. 1954; 8:45,1-11.
14. Jeffreys H, Jeffreys BS. Divided Differences, Methods of Mathematical Physics, 3rd ed, 1988, 260-264.
15. Lee ETY. A Remark on Divided Differences, American Mathematical Monthly, 1989; 96(7):618-622.
16. Whittaker ET, Robinson G. Divided Differences & Theorems on Divided Differences, The Calculus of Observations: A Treatise on Numerical Mathematics, 4th ed., New York, 1967, 20-24.
17. Wang X, Yang S. On divided differences of the remainder of polynomial interpolation, www.math.uga.edu/~mjlai/pub.html. 2004.
18. Wang X, Wang H. Some results on numerical divided difference formulas, www.math.uga.edu/~mjlai/pub.html. 2003.