

International Journal of Statistics and Applied Mathematics



ISSN: 2456-1452
 Maths 2016; 1(3): 01-04
 © 2016 Stats & Maths
 www.mathsjournal.com
 Received: 01-07-2016
 Accepted: 02-08-2016

Dr. R Krishnakumar
 P.G. and Research Department
 of Mathematics, Urumu
 Dhanalakshmi College, Kattur,
 Tiruchirappalli, Tamilnadu,
 India

Nagaral Pandit Sanatammappa
 P.G. and Research Department
 of Mathematics, Urumu
 Dhanalakshmi College, Kattur,
 Tiruchirappalli, Tamilnadu,
 India

Study on two Non-Archimedean Menger probability metric spaces

Dr. R Krishnakumar and Nagaral Pandit Sanatammappa

Abstract

The generalized common fixed point theorems in 2 Non Archimedean Menger PM-space by using the concepts of R- weakly commuting mappings, reciprocal continuity are established in this paper. The presented results extend some known existence results from the literature.

Keywords: Fixed point, 2 Non Archimedean Menger PM-space, R- weakly commuting mappings, reciprocally continuous

1. Introduction

In 2001, Renu Chugh and Sumitra [8] introduced 2 Non Archimedean Menger PM-space. The 2 Non Archimedean Menger PM-space is the generalization of 2-metric space in probabilistic setting. That is where instead of the distances between two or more points one can understand the probability of a possible value of the distance. The distance is represented by distribution function. In this paper, we will prove some generalized common fixed point theorems in 2 Non Archimedean Menger PM-space by using the concepts of R- weakly commuting mappings, reciprocal continuity. These results extend and generalize several results existing in the literature.

Definition 1.1

Let X be any non-empty set and let D be the set of all left continuous distribution functions. (X, G) which is an ordered pair, is said to be 2 Non Archimedean Menger Probability Metric Space (2 N.A. PM-space) if G is a mapping from $X \times X \times X$ into D satisfying the given following conditions, where the value of G at is $(x, y, z) \in X \times X \times X$ represented by $G_{x,y,z}$ or $G(x, y, z)$ for each $x, y, z \in X$ such that

- i. $G(x, y, z, t) = 1$ $t > 0$ if and only if at least two of the three points are equal..
- ii. $G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(z, x, y)$
- iii. $G(x, y, z, 0) = 0$
- iv. If $G(x, y, s, t_1) = G(x, s, z, t_2) = G(s, y, s, t_3) = 1$, then $G(x, y, z, \max\{t_1, t_2, t_3\}) = 1$

Definition 1.2

A t-norm is a function $\delta : [0,1] \times [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ which is associative, commutative, non-decreasing in each coordinate and $\delta(1, 1, 1) = 1$ for each $t \in [0,1]$.

Definition 1.3

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in 2 N. A. Menger PM-space (X, G, δ) converges to x if and only if for each $\epsilon > 0, \lambda > 0$ there exists an integer $Z(\epsilon, \lambda)$

Such that $f(G(x_n, x, l; \epsilon)) < f(1 - \lambda), \forall n > Z$ or $\lim_n f(G(x_n, x, l; t)) = 0$, we write $x_n \rightarrow x$. we write $x_n \rightarrow x$

Correspondence:
Dr. R Krishnakumar
 P.G. and Research Department
 of Mathematics, Urumu
 Dhanalakshmi College, Kattur,
 Tiruchirappalli, Tamilnadu,
 India

Definition 1.4

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in 2 N. A. Menger PM-space is Cauchy sequence if and only if for each $\epsilon > 0$,

$\lambda > 0$ there exists an integer $Z(s, \lambda)$ such that

$$f(G(x_n, x_{n+p}, t, \epsilon)) \leq f(1 - \lambda), \forall n, p \geq M \text{ and } p \geq 1 \text{ or if } \lim_{n,m} f(G(x_n, x_m, t)) = 0,$$

Definition 1.5

Two self-maps A and S of a 2 N. A. Menger PM-space (X, G, θ) are called compatible if

$$\lim_n f(G(ASx_n, SAx_n, t)) = 0 \text{ Whenever } \{x_n\} \text{ is a sequence in } X \text{ such that } \lim_n Ax_n = \lim_n Sx_n = p \text{ for some } p \in X.$$

Definition 1.6

Two self-maps A and S of a 2 N. A. Menger PM-space (X, G, θ) are called weakly commuting if

$$f(G(ASx, SAx, t)) \leq f(G(Ax, Sx, t)) \quad \forall x \in X \text{ and } t > 0.$$

Definition 1.7

If two self-maps A and S of a 2 N.A. Menger PM-space (X, G, θ) are said to be R-weakly commuting, there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$(G(ASx, SAx, t)) \leq f(G(Ax, Sx, \frac{t}{R})) \quad \forall x \in X \text{ and } t > 0.$$

Example 1.8 [5]

Let $X = R$ with 2-metric defined as $d(x, y, z) = \min\{|x - y|, |y - z|, |z - x|\}$, for all $x, y, z \in X, t > 0$. Define $G(x, y, z, t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x, y, z)}$, with $\theta(r, s, t) = \min(r, s, t)$ then (X, G, θ) is 2 Non Archimedean Menger Probability Metric Space.

Definition 4.2.11

Two self-maps A and S of a 2 N. A. Menger PM-space (X, G, θ) are said to be reciprocally continuous on X if

$$\lim_n ASx_n = Ax \text{ and } \lim_n SAx_n = Sx \text{ whenever } \{x_n\} \text{ is a sequence in } X \text{ such that } \lim_n Ax_n = \lim_n Sx_n = x \text{ for some } x \in X.$$

Lemma 1.1

Let $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence in 2 Non Archimedean Menger Probability Metric Space (X, G, θ) where θ is a continuous t-norm satisfying $\theta(t, t, t) \geq t$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. If there exists a positive number $h \in (0, 1)$ such that $f(G(y_n, y_{n+1}, t, \frac{(1-h)t}{2h})) \leq f(G(y_{n-1}, y_n, t))$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ Then $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Lemma 1.2

Let (X, G, θ) be a complete 2 Non Archimedean Menger Probability metric space with $\theta(t, t, t) \geq t$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, and let (M, F) and (N, Q) be point wise R-weakly commuting pair of self-maps of X such that

(i) $MX \subseteq QX, NX \subseteq FX.$

(ii) There exists $h \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$f(G(Mx, Nx, t, ht)) \leq f(G(Fx, Qx, t, t)) \text{ for all } x, y, t \in X, t > 0.$$

Then the continuity of any one of the mappings in compatible pair (M, F) or (N, Q) on (X, G, θ) tends to its reciprocal continuity.

Theorem 1.1

Let (X, G, θ) be a complete 2 Non Archimedean Menger Probability Metric space with $\theta(t, t, t) \geq t$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, let (M, F) and (N, Q) be point wise R-weakly commuting pair of self-functions of X and such that

(i) $MX \subseteq QX, NX \subseteq FX.$

(ii) There exists $h \in (0, 1)$ such that $R(f(G(Mx, Nx, t, ht))) \leq R(f(G(Fx, Qx, t, t)))$

for all $x, y, t \in X, t > 0$. Where $R : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous function such that $R(s) > s$ for each $0 < s < 1$.

If one of the functions in compatible pair (M, F) or (N, Q) is continuous, then M, N, F and Q have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof

By Lemma 1.2, if P is continuous and (M, F) are compatible then A and P are reciprocally continuous.

Let $x_0 \in X, \exists x_1, x_2 \in X$, Such that $Mx_0 = Qx_1 = y_0$ and $Nx_1 = Px_2 = y_1$.

Now, the sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X are defined by $Mx_n = Qx_{n+1} = y_n$ and

$Nx_{n+1} = Qx_{n+2} = y_{n+1}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Substitute $x = x_n, y = x_{n+1}$ in (i) we have

$$R(f(G(Mx_n, Nx_{n+1}, l, kt))) \leq R(f(G(Px_n, Qx_{n+1}, l, t)))$$

$$\Rightarrow f(G(Mx_n, Nx_{n+1}, l, kt)) \leq f(G(Px_n, Qx_{n+1}, l, t))$$

$$\Rightarrow f(G(y_n, y_{n+1}, l, kt)) \leq f(G(y_{n-1}, y_n, l, t))$$

By Lemma 1.1, $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Since X is a complete 2 N.A. Menger PM space,

\therefore There exists a point $p \in X$ such that $y_n \rightarrow p$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

Hence $y_n = Mx_n = Qx_{n+1} \rightarrow p$ and $y_{n+1} = Nx_{n+1} = Px_{n+2} \rightarrow p$

Since M and P are reciprocally continuous and compatible functions

$\therefore MPx_n \rightarrow Mp$ and $PMx_n \rightarrow Pp$

Compatibility of M and P gives,

$$\lim_n R(f(G(MPx_n, PMx_n, l, t))) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_n f(G(MPx_n, PMx_n, l, t)) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_n f(G(Mp, Pp, l, t)) = 0$$

Thus $Mp = Pp$

Here $M(X) \subseteq Q(X)$, there exists a point $q \in X$ such that $Mp = Qq$,

By (ii) $R(f(G(Mp, Nq, l, kt))) \leq R(f(G(Pp, Qq, l, t)))$

$$\Rightarrow f(G(Mp, Nq, l, kt)) \leq f(G(Pp, Qq, l, t))$$

$$\Rightarrow f(G(Mx_n, Nx_{n+1}, l, kt)) \leq f(G(Mp, Pp, l, t)) \Rightarrow Mp = Nq$$

Thus $Mp = Nq = Pp = Qq$.

Since M and P are point wise R-weakly commuting functions.

$$\exists R > 0, f(G(MPp, PMp, l, t)) \leq f(G(Mp, Pq, l, \frac{t}{R})) = 0$$

Thus $MPp = PMp$ and $MMp = MPp = PMp = PPp$.

Also, N and Q are point's wise R-weakly commuting functions.

We get $NNq = NQq = QNq = QQq$.

Now, by taking $x = Mp, y = q$ in (i) we get

$$R(f(G(MMp, Nq, l, kt))) \leq R(f(G(PMp, Qq, l, t)))$$

$$\Rightarrow f(G(MMp, Nq, l, kt)) \leq f(G(PMp, Qq, l, t)) = f(G(MMp, Nq, l, t))$$

$$\Rightarrow MMp = Mp \text{ and } Mp = MMp = PMp.$$

Hence, Mp is a common fixed point of M and P . Similarly from (ii), we can say that $Nq (= Mp)$ is a common fixed point of N and Q . Thus Mp is a common fixed point of M, N, P and Q .

For uniqueness of fixed point:

Consider Mq is another common fixed point of M, N, P and Q . Then,

by (ii), we have

$$R(f(G(MMp, NMq, l, kt))) \leq$$

$$R(f(G(PMp, QMq, l, t)))$$

$$\Rightarrow f(G(MMp, NMq, l, kt)) \leq$$

$$f(G(PMp, QMq, l, t)) = f(G(Mp, Mq, l, t))$$

$$\Rightarrow Mp = Mq.$$

Hence, Mp is a unique common fixed point of M, N, P and Q .

References

1. Amit Singh, Sandeep Bhatt, Shruti Charkiyal. A unique common fixed point theorem for four maps in non-Archimedean Menger PM-spaces, Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, 2011; 5(15):705-712.
2. Abbas M, Rhoades BE. Common fixed point theorems for hybrid pairs of occasionally weakly compatible mappings satisfying generalized condition of integral type, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2007, Art. ID 54101. (2008i:540).

3. Al-Thagafi MA, Shahzad N. A note on occasionally weakly compatible maps, *Int. J. Math. Anal.* 2009; 3(2):55-58.
4. Al-Thagafi MA, Shahzad N. Generalized I-non expansive self-maps and invariant approximation, *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series*, 2008; 24:867-876.
5. Alamgir Khan M, Sumitra. Fixed point theorems for expansion mappings in 2 non Archimedean Menger PM-space, *Novi Sad J. Math.* 2009; 39(2):51-60.
6. Achari J. Fixed point theorems for a class of mappings on non-Archimedean probabilistic metric spaces, *Mathematica*, 1983; 25:5-9.
7. Ranjeth Kumar, Loganathan, Peer Mohamed. Fixed point theorems using reciprocal continuity in 2 N. A. Menger PM-space, *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis* 2012; 7(20):975 - 985.
8. Renu Chugh, Sumitra. Common fixed point theorems in 2 N. A. Menger PM-space, *Int. J. Math. Sci.* 2001; 26(8):475-483.