

International Journal of Statistics and Applied Mathematics



ISSN: 2456-1452
 Maths 2016; 1(3): 08-15
 © 2016 Stats & Maths
 www.mathsjournal.com
 Received: 03-07-2016
 Accepted: 04-08-2016

Liao Fangfang
 Department of Mathematics,
 Xiangnan University, Chenzhou,
 Hunan, P.R. China

Liu Zhigang
 Department of Mathematics,
 Xiangnan University, Chenzhou,
 Hunan, P.R. China

Li Xiaoping
 Department of Mathematics,
 Xiangnan University, Chenzhou,
 Hunan, P.R. China

Multiple solutions for asymptotically linear Schrödinger equation with sign-changing potentials

Liao Fangfang, Liu Zhigang and Li Xiaoping

Abstract

In this paper, we study the multiple solutions for a class of asymptotically linear Schrödinger equations $(-\Delta u + V(x)u = f(x, u), x \in \mathbb{R}^N, u(x) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty,$ where the potential $V(x)$ is allowed to be sign-changing, and $f(x, u)$ satisfies the asymptotically linear condition.

Keywords: Multiple solution, Asymptotically linear Schrödinger equation, Sing-changing potential, Linking structure

1. Introduction

Consider the following asymptotically linear Schrödinger equation

$$(-\Delta u + V(x)u = f(x, u) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.1}$$

$u(x) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty,$
 where $V : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$

The multiplicity solutions for (1.1) have been extensively investigated in many papers with the aid of variational methods. For instance, see [], [], [], and the references therein.

In the present paper, we will further study the multiplicity solutions for (1.1) where $V(x)$ is allowed to be sign-changing, and $f(x, u)$ satisfies asymptotically linear condition. Our precise assumptions on V and f are the following:

(V₁) $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ and $\inf_{\mathbb{R}^N} V > -\infty;$

(V₂) For any $h > 0,$ there exists a constant d_0 such that

$$\lim_{|y| \rightarrow +\infty} \text{meas}\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x - y| \leq d_0, V(x) \leq h\} = 0$$

where $\text{meas}(\cdot)$ denotes the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^N;$

(f₁) $F(x, u) \geq 0, F(x, 0) = 0$ and $f(x, u) = o(|u|), u \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on $\mathbb{R}^N. |f(x, u)| \leq C_f(|u|)$ for some $C_f > 0,$ where $F(x, u) = \int_0^u f(x, s) ds$

It is well known that the Schrödinger operator $-\Delta + V$ is selfadjoint and semi bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N).$ We denote by T the selfadjoint extension of the operator $-\Delta + V$ with domain $D(T) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^N).$ Let $\{S(\lambda) : -\infty < \lambda < +\infty\}$ and $|T|$ be the spectral resolution and absolute value of T

respectively, and $|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ be the square root of $|T|$ with domain $D(|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}).$

Set $U = I - S(0) - S(-0),$ where I is the identity map on $L^2.$ Then U commutes with $T, |T|$ and $|T|^{\frac{1}{2}},$ and $T = U|T|$ is the polar decomposition of $T.$

Let $S = D(|T|^{\frac{1}{2}})$ and define on S the inner product and norm

$$(u, v)_S = (|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}u, |T|^{\frac{1}{2}}v)_2 + (u, v)_2$$

$$\|u\|_S = (u, u)_S^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_2$ denotes the inner product in $L^2,$ then S is a Hilbert space.

In the following, let $\sigma(T), \sigma_d(T), \sigma_e(T)$ be the spectrum of $T,$ the discrete spectrum of T and the essential spectrum of T respectively.

In order to learn about the spectrum of $T,$ we first need the following lemma.

Correspondence
Liao Fangfang
 Department of Mathematics,
 Xiangnan University, Chenzhou,
 Hunan, P.R. China

Lemma 1.1. Suppose that V satisfies (V_1) , (V_2) , then the operator T consists of only eigenvalues numbered in $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \dots \rightarrow \infty$, with a corresponding eigenfunctions $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (Te_n = \lambda_n e_n)$, forming an orthogonal basis in L^2 .

Proof. For any $h > 0$, if (V_1) and (V_2) holds. Set

$$(V(x) - h)^+ := \begin{cases} V(x) - h & \text{if } V(x) - h \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } V(x) < 0, \end{cases}$$

and $(V(x) - h)^- = (V(x) - h) - (V(x) - h)^+$. Thus $T = T_2 + (V(x) - h)^-$, where

$$T_2 = -\Delta + h + (V(x) - h)^+.$$

For $u \in D(T)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (T_2 u, T_2 u)_2 &= |(-\Delta + h + (V(x) - h)^+) u|_2^2 \\ &= |(-\Delta + (V(x) - h)^+) u|_2^2 + h^2 |u|_2^2 \\ &\quad + (-\Delta u, hu)_2 + (hu, -\Delta u)_2 \\ &\quad + ((V(x) - h)^+ u, hu)_2 + (hu, (V(x) - h)^+ u)_2 \\ &\geq h^2 |u|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\sigma(T_2) \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus (-h, h)$.

We claim that $\sigma_e(T) \cap (-h, h) = \emptyset$. Assume by contradiction that there is $v \in \sigma_e(T)$ with $|v| < h$. Let $\{u_n\} \subset D(T)$ with $|u_n|_2 = 1$, $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in L^2 and $|(T - v)u_n|_2 \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, by (V_0) , one can check that the multiplication operator $u \rightarrow (V(x) - h)^- u$ is compact. In fact, let $\{u_n\}$ be bounded in $D(T)$, without loss of generality, we may assume $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $D(T)$. Next we show that $|(V(x) - h)^- u_n|_2 \rightarrow 0$ in L^2 . For every $R > 0$, define $B_R(0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| < R\}$ and $B_R^c = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)$. Let $\{y_i\}$ be a sequence of points in B_R^c satisfying $B_R^c \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B(y_i, r_0)$ and such that each point x is contained in at most 2^N such balls

$B(y_i, r_0)$. Let $B := \{x \in B_R^c : V(x) < h\}$, choose $s \in (2, \frac{N}{N-2})$ and $s' = \frac{s}{s-1}$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R^c} |(V(x) - h)^- u_n|^2 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{B(y_i, r_0) \cap B} |(V(x) - h)^- u_n|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{B(y_i, r_0) \cap B} |u_n|^{2s} \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \left(\int_{B(y_i, r_0) \cap B} |(V(x) - h)^-|^{2s'} \right)^{\frac{1}{s'}} \\ &\leq C_R^{2s'} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |B(y_i, r_0) \cap B|^{\frac{1}{s'}} \left(\int_{B(y_i, r_0) \cap B} |u_n|^{2s} \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &\leq C_R^{2s'} \varepsilon_R^{2N} \|u_n\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_R = \sup_{y_i} |(V(x) - h)^-|$, $\varepsilon_R = \sup_{y_i} \text{meas}(B(y_i, r_0) \cap B)^{\frac{1}{s'}}$. Assumption

(V_1) and (V_2) implies that $\varepsilon_R \rightarrow 0$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$, thus

$$|(V(x) - h)^- u_n|_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

B_R^c

On the other hand,

$$|(V(x) - h)^- u_n|_2 \leq \left(\int_{B_R(0)} |u_n|^{2s} \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \left(\int_{B_R(0)} |(V(x) - h)^-|^{2s'} \right)^{\frac{1}{s'}} \rightarrow 0.$$

$B_R(0)$ $B_R(0)$ $B_R(0)$

Thus $|(V(x) - h)^- u_n|_2 \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) &= |(T - v)u_n|_2 = |T_2 u_n - v u_n + (V(x) - h)^- u_n|_2 \\ &\geq |T_2 u_n|_2 - |v| - o(1) \\ &\geq h - |v| - o(1) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $0 < h - |v| \leq 0$, a contradiction. So $\sigma_e(T) \cap (-h, h) = \emptyset$. Since $h > 0$ is arbitrary it follows that $\sigma(T) = \sigma_d(T)$.

Lemma 1.2: Suppose that V satisfies (V_1) , (V_2) , then $S = D(|T|^{\frac{1}{2}})$ is a compactly embedded in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, for all $2 \leq p \leq 2^*$, where $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$.

Proof. In order to show that the embedding $S \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compact for all $p \in [2, 2^*)$, it suffices to prove that $S \rightarrow L^2$ is compact. Set $L_k := \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$. Let $P_k: S \rightarrow L_k$ denote the orthogonal projection. Consider a weakly converging sequence $u_n \rightharpoonup u$

in S , and define $w_n = u_n - u$ and $K := \sup_n \|w_n\|_S^2$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ we choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\frac{K}{\lambda_k} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Since $P_k w_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|P_k w_n\|_S^2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Therefore, we have

$$\|w_n\|_2^2 = \|P_k w_n\|_2^2 + \|(I - P_k)w_n\|_2^2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$$

for all $n \geq n_0$. This proves that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in L^2 .

Let $m^- = \#\{i | \lambda_i < 0\}$, $m^0 = \#\{i | \lambda_i = 0\}$, and $m = m^- + m^0$.

Set $S^- = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{m^-}\}$, $S^0 = \text{span}\{e_{m^-+1}, \dots, e_m\} = \ker T$ and $S^+ = \text{span}\{e_{m+1}, \dots\}$. Then one has the orthogonal decomposition $S = S^- \oplus S^0 \oplus S^+$ with respect to the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_0$ on S .

We will introduce on S the following inner product and norm:

$$(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{u}, |T|^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{v})_2 + (\mathbf{u}^0, \mathbf{v}^0)_2$$

$$\|\mathbf{u}\| = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where $u = u^- + u^0 + u^+$ and $v = v^- + v^0 + v^+ \in S = S^- + S^0 + S^+$.

Remark 1.3. The norms kuk and kuk_0 on S are equivalent, and for any $2 \leq p \leq 2^*$, there exists $\beta_p > 0$ such that $kuk_p \leq \beta_p kuk, \forall u \in S$. (1.2)

where $|\cdot|_p$ is the norm on L^p .

Let

$$\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{u}, |T|^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{v})_2, \forall \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in S. \tag{1.3}$$

be the quadratic form associated with T . Moreover, using the orthogonal projections with respect to the inner product (\cdot, \cdot) , we can define

$$a(u, u) = ((p^+ - p^-)u, u) = ku^+k^2 - ku^-k^2. \tag{1.4}$$

for all $u = u^- + u^0 + u^+ \in S$, where $p^\pm : S \rightarrow S^\pm$ are orthogonal projection operator.

We further assume the following hypotheses for $f(x, u)$:

(f₂) $f(x, u) = a(x)u + f_i(x, u)$ with $a(x) \in L^\infty$ is a bounded, continuous real function and $f_u(x, u) = o(|u|)$ as $|u| \rightarrow \infty, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$;

(f₃) $a_0 := \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} a(x) > \inf(\sigma(T) \cap (0, \infty))$;

(f₄) $0 \in \sigma_d(T - a(x))$, where $\sigma_d(T - a(x))$ is the discrete spectrum of $T - a(x)$.

From the above spectral result of the operator T , the set $\sigma(T) \cap (0, a_0)$ consists of only eigenvalues of finite multiplicity, where a_0 is defined in f₃. Let l denote the number of eigenvalues (counted with multiplicity) lying in $(0, a_0)$.

Theorem 1.4: Suppose that $(V_1), (V_2)$ and $(f_1)-(f_4)$ are satisfied. Then problem (1.1) possesses at least one nontrivial solution.

Moreover, if in addition f is odd in u , that is

(f₅) $f(x, -u) = -f(x, u)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N, u \in \mathbb{R}$;

holds, the problem (1.1) has at least l pairs of nontrivial solutions.

Variational setting and proofs of the main results

For any fixed $a > 0$. Let j be the number of eigenvalues of the operator T (counted with multiplicity) lying in $[-a, a]$. Denote by $f_i (1 \leq i \leq j)$ the corresponding eigenfunctions and set

$$L^{a^-} := \text{span}\{f_1, \dots, f_j\}$$

then we have the orthogonal decomposition

$$L^2 = L^{a^-} \oplus L^{a^+}, u = u^{a^-} + u^{a^+}$$

where L^{a^\pm} is the orthogonal complement of L^{a^\mp} in L^2 . Corresponding, S has the decomposition

$$S = S^{a^-} \oplus S^{a^+} \text{ with } S^{a^-} = L^{a^-} \text{ and } S^{a^+} = S \cap L^{a^+}$$

orthogonal with respect to both the inner products $(\cdot, \cdot)_2$ and (\cdot, \cdot) .

Lemma 2.1. For any fixed $t > 0$, let $S = S^{a^-} \oplus S^{a^+}$ as above, then

$$\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{u}|_2^2 \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| \text{ for all } u \in S^{a^+} \tag{2.1}$$

where $|\cdot|_2$ is the norm on L^2 .

Proof. It is obvious from the definition of the norm $k \cdot k$ on S and the distribution of the eigenvalues of T .

Now we define a functional ϕ on S by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\mathbf{u}) &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) - \psi(\mathbf{u}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\|u^+\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|u^-\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u)dx \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where $F(x, u) = \int_0^u f(x, s)ds$ for all $u = u^- \oplus u^0 \oplus u^+ \in S = S^- \oplus S^0 \oplus S^+$.

Proposition 2.2. Under assumptions $(V_1), (V_2)$ and (f_1) , the functional ϕ is of class $C^1(S, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover,

$$(\varphi'(\mathbf{u}), \mathbf{v}) = (u^+, v^+) - (u^-, v^-) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u)v dx \tag{2.3}$$

for all $u = u^- \oplus u^0 \oplus u^+ \in S = S^- \oplus S^0 \oplus S^+$ and $v = v^- \oplus v^0 \oplus v^+ \in S = S^- \oplus S^0 \oplus S^+$.

Proof. **Existence of the Gateaux derivative.** Let $u, v \in S$. Given $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $0 < |t| < 1$, using the condition (f_1) , by the mean value theorem, there exists $0 < \lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$|F(x, u(x) + tv(x)) - F(x, u(x))|/|t|$$

$$= |f(x, u(x) + \lambda tv(x))v(x)|$$

$$\leq C_F(|u(x)| + |v(x)|)|v(x)|.$$

The Hölder inequality implies that

$$(|u(x)| + |v(x)|)|v(x)| \in L^1$$

It follows that the Lebesgue theorem that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\phi(u_n, v) - \phi(u, v)) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u) v dx$$

\mathbb{R}^N

Continuity of the Gateaux derivative. Assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in S , we will prove that $\phi(u_n) \rightarrow \phi(u)$ in \mathbb{R}^N . Let $v_n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $u, v \in S$. Since $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is dense in S , so the norms on S and $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ are equivalent. We obtain, by the Hölder inequality and Theorem A.4([?]),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\phi(u_n) - \phi(u)) |v_n| dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall v_n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$$

Therefore, $\forall v \in S, \exists v_n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, when $v_n \rightarrow v$, we obtain that $\|v - v_n\|_S \rightarrow 0$.

By $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in S , there exists a constant $M > 0$, such that $|\phi(u_n) - \phi(u)| < M$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\phi(u_n) - \phi(u)| v dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\phi(u_n) - \phi(u)| |v_n + v - v_n| dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\phi(u_n) - \phi(u)| |v_n| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\phi(u_n) - \phi(u)| |v - v_n| dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\phi(u_n) - \phi(u)| |v_n| dx + M \|v - v_n\|_S \end{aligned}$$

\mathbb{R}^N

$\rightarrow 0$.

We say that $\phi \in C^1(S, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies (PS)-condition if any sequence u_n such that

$$\phi(u_n) \rightarrow c, \quad \phi'(u_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.4)$$

has a convergent subsequence.

Lemma 2.3. ([13], Theorem 5.3) Let S be a real Banach space with $S = Y \oplus Z$, where Y is finite dimensional. Suppose $\phi \in C^1(S, \mathbb{R})$, satisfies (PS)-condition, and

(ϕ_1) there are constants $\rho, \alpha > 0$ such that $\phi|_{\partial B_\rho \cap Z} \geq \alpha$, and

(ϕ_2) there is an $e \in \partial B_\rho \cap Z$ and $R \geq \rho$ such that if $Q \equiv (B_R \cap Y) \oplus \{re \mid 0 < r < R\}$, then $\phi|_{\partial Q} \leq 0$, where B_r is an open ball in S of radius r centered at 0.

Then ϕ possess a critical value $c \geq \alpha$ which can be characterized as

$$c \equiv \inf_{h \in \Gamma} \max_{u \in Q} \phi(h(u))$$

where

$$\Gamma = \{h \in C(Q, S) \mid h = id \text{ on } \partial Q\}.$$

The other one is the ZZ-symmetric Mountain Pass Theorem:

Lemma 2.4. ([10, Corollary 7.22]) Let ϕ be an even C^1 -function satisfying (PS)-condition on $S = Y \oplus Z$, where $\dim(Y) = k < \infty$. Assume $\phi(0) = 0$ as well as the following conditions:

(1) there is $\rho > 0$ and $\alpha \geq 0$ such that $\inf \phi(S_\rho(Z)) \geq \alpha$.

(2) there exists $R \geq \rho$ and a subspace G of S containing Y such that $\dim(G) = n > k$ and $\sup \phi(S_R(G)) \leq 0$.

Then exists the critical values $c_i (1 \leq i \leq n - k)$ for ϕ such that (a) $0 \leq \alpha \leq c_1 \leq \dots \leq c_{n-k}$.

(b) ϕ has at least $n - k$ distinct pairs of non-trivial critical points.

Lemma 2.5. Under assumptions $(V_1), (V_2), (f_1), (f_2)$ and (f_4) , any sequence $u_n \subset S$ satisfying

$$\phi(u_n) \rightarrow c, \quad \phi'(u_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

is bounded.

Proof. Arguing indirectly we assume that, up to a subsequence, $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ and set $v_n = u_n / \|u_n\|$, then $v_n = 1$. By lemma 1.2, $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ in S and $v_n \rightarrow v$ in L^p for all $2 \leq p \leq 2^*$, then v_n is bounded in L^{2^*} . Since, by (f_1) and (f_2) , $|f(x, u)| \leq C_F (|u|)$ for some $C_F, f_u(x, u) = o(|u|)$ as $|u| \rightarrow \infty, x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, if $v \neq 0$, then it follows, by Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem, that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(f(x, u_n), \psi)}{\|u_n\|} dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(a(x)u_n, \psi)}{\|u_n\|} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(f_u(x, u_n), \psi)}{\|u_n\|} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (a(x)v_n, \psi) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(f_u(x, u_n), \psi) |v_n|}{|u_n|} dx \end{aligned}$$

\mathbb{R}^N

$$\rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (a(x)v_n, \psi) dx \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

RN

for all $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By (2.3), we have

$$\frac{\varphi'(u_n)\psi}{\|u_n\|} - (v_n^+, \psi) - (v_n^-, \psi) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(f(x, u_n), \psi)}{\|u_n\|} dx$$

8

for all $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. From this we deduce, using (2.4), that

$$(-\Delta + V)v(x) = a(x)v(x) \text{ i.e.}$$

$$(-\Delta + V - a(x))v(x) = 0$$

we claim that $v \neq 0$. Arguing by contradiction we assume that $v = 0$, choose $a > 0$ in lemma 2.1, such that $\frac{C_F}{a} < 1$, where C_F is the constant in (f₁).

Since $S^{a^-} \subset S$ in lemma 2.1 of finite-dimension, then the compactness of the orthogonal projection $P^{a^-} : S^{a^-} \rightarrow S$ implies $v_n^{a^-} \rightarrow v^{a^-} = 0$ in S .

It follows from (2.4) that

$$\frac{\varphi'(u_n)((u_n^+)^+ - (u_n^+)^-)}{\|u_n\|^2} = \|v_n^{a^+}\|^2 - \int_{u_n \neq 0} \frac{(f(x, u_n), (v_n^{a^+})^+ - (v_n^{a^+})^-)}{|u_n|} |u_n| dx$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_n^{a^+}\|^2 &= \int_{u_n \neq 0} \frac{(f(x, u_n), (v_n^{a^+})^+ - (v_n^{a^+})^-)}{|u_n|} |u_n| dx + \frac{\varphi'(u_n)((u_n^+)^+ - (u_n^+)^-)}{\|u_n\|^2} \\ &\leq C_F \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(v_n^{a^+})^+ - (v_n^{a^+})^-| |u_n| dx + \frac{\|\varphi'(u_n)\|}{\|u_n\|} \\ &\leq \frac{C_F}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(v_n^{a^+})^+ + (v_n^{a^+})^-|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(v_n^{a^+})^+ - (v_n^{a^+})^-|^2 dx \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{C_F}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n^{a^-}|^2 dx + \frac{\|\varphi'(u_n)\|}{\|u_n\|} \\ &= C_F \|v_n^{a^+}\|_2^2 + \frac{C_F}{2} \|v_n^{a^-}\|_2^2 + \frac{\|\varphi'(u_n)\|}{\|u_n\|} \\ &\leq \frac{C_F}{a} \|v_n^{a^+}\|^2 + \frac{C_F}{2} \|v_n^{a^-}\|_2^2 + \frac{\|\varphi'(u_n)\|}{\|u_n\|} \end{aligned}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the norm on L^2 and $(\cdot)^+, (\cdot)^-$ are the respective components with respect to the orthogonal decomposition. The last inequality follows by lemma

2.3. Note that $v_n^{a^-} \rightarrow 0$ in L^2 since $v_n^{a^-} \rightarrow 0$ in S . Thus $\frac{C_F}{2} < 1$ and (2.4) imply

$$\|v_n^{a^+}\|^2 \rightarrow 0. \text{ Then } 1 = \|v_n\|^2 = \|v_n^{a^+}\|^2 + \|v_n^{a^-}\|^2 \rightarrow 0, \text{ a contradiction.}$$

Therefore, $v \neq 0$. Then 0 is an eigenvalue of $A - a(x)$ which is in contradiction to (f₄).

Lemma 2.6. Suppose that (f₁), (f₂) and (f₄) are satisfied. Then ϕ satisfies the (PS)-condition.

Proof. Let $u_n \subset S$ be an arbitrary (PS)-sequence. By lemma 2.5, it is bounded, hence, we may assume without loss of generality

that $u_n \rightharpoonup^* u$ in S and hence $u_n^+ \rightarrow u^+$ and $u_n^- \rightarrow u^-$ due to $\dim(S) < \infty$. By lemma 1.2, $u_n \rightarrow u$ and

$u_n^+ \rightarrow u^+$ in L^2 . Observe that

$$\|u^{+n} - u^{+m}\|_2^2 = (\phi_0(u_n) - \phi_0(u_m))(u^{+n} - u^{+m}) \tag{2.5}$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f(x, u_n) - f(x, u_m), u_n^+ - u_m^+) dx \quad \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

RN

by (f₁) and Hölder inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f(x, u_n) - f(x, u_m), u_n^+ - u_m^+| dx \leq C_f \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n| + |u_m|) |u_n^+ - u_m^+| dx$$

$$\leq C_f (\|u_n\|_2 + \|u_m\|_2) \|u_n^+ - u_m^+\|_2 \text{ as } n, m \rightarrow \infty$$

since $u_n \rightarrow u$ and $u_n^+ \rightarrow u^+$ in L^2 .

Note that

$$(\varphi'(u_n) - \varphi'(u_m))(u_n^+ - u_m^+) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n, m \rightarrow \infty$$

since $\phi^0(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ and (u_n) is bounded in S . Then (u_n^+) is a Cauchy sequence in S . Hence $u_n^+ \rightarrow u^+$ in S . Recall that $\dim(S^- \oplus S^0) < \infty$, then $u_n^- + u_n^0 \rightarrow u^- + u^0$ in S . This yields $u_n \rightarrow u$ in S and the proof is completed.

Lemma 2.7. Let (f_1) be satisfied, then there exists $\rho > 0$ such that $\alpha := \inf \phi(\partial B_\rho \cap S^+) > 0$

Proof. By lemma 1.2, we have

$$\|u\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \|u\| \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.6}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is the norm on L^∞ . From (f_1) , we obtain that $F(x,u) = o(|u|^2)$ as $|u| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in x . Therefore, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x,u) dx \leq \varepsilon |u|_2^2 \leq \beta \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|u\|^2, \quad \forall \|u\| \leq \delta$$

where β_2 is the constant. Taking $\varepsilon = 1/(\beta \frac{\rho^2}{2})$ and $0 < \rho < \delta$, then by the form of ϕ we have $\inf \phi(\partial B_\rho \cap S^+) \geq \rho^2/4 > 0$.

Due to (f_3) and the spectral result of T in the previous section, we can arrange all the eigenvalues (counted with multiplicity) of T in $(0, a_0)$ by $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_l < a_0$ and let e_j denote the corresponding eigenfunctions: $Te_j = \lambda_j e_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, l$. Set $S_l^+ := \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_l\}$. According to the definition of the norm on S , we have

$$\lambda_1 \|v\|_2^2 \leq \|v\|^2 \leq \lambda_l \|v\|_2^2, \text{ for all } v \in S_l^+. \tag{2.7}$$

Set $S = S^- \oplus S^0 \oplus S_l^+$.

Lemma 2.8. Let (f_1) , (f_2) and (f_3) be satisfied and $\rho > 0$ be given by Lemma 2.7. Then there exists $R_S^- > \rho$ such that $\phi(u) < 0$ for all $u \in S$ with $\|u\| \geq R_S^-$

Proof. It suffice to show that $\phi(u) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $u \in S^-$, $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$. Arguing indirectly we assume that there exist some $c > 0$ and a sequence $(u_n) \subset S^-$ such that $\phi(u_n) \geq -c$ for all n . Denote $v_n := u_n / \|u_n\|$, we have $\|v_n\| = 1$, and passing to a subsequence if necessary, $u_n^- \rightarrow v^-$, $u_n^0 \rightarrow v^0$ and $u_n^+ \rightarrow v^+$ since $\dim(S^-) < \infty$. Now we have

$$\frac{1}{2} (\|v_n^+\|^2 - \|v_n^-\|^2) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|^2} dx = \frac{\phi(u_n)}{\|u_n\|^2} \geq \frac{-c}{\|u_n\|^2}, \tag{2.8}$$

We claim that $v^+ \neq 0$. Since $F(x,z) \geq 0$, if $v^+ = 0$, it follows from (2.8) and (f_1) that $\|v_n^-\| \rightarrow 0$ and thus $v_n \rightarrow v = v^0$. Also $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|^2} dx \rightarrow 0$, and $v_n \rightarrow v$ in L^2 .

Note that by (f_1) and (f_2) , $F(x, u) = \frac{1}{2} a(x) u \cdot u + f(x, u)$ and $|f(x, u)| \leq C_f \|u\|^2$ for some $C_f > 0$, $f(x, u) / \|u\|^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Since $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ if $v(x) \neq 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|f(x, u_n)|}{\|u_n\|^2} dx &= \int_{u_n \neq 0} \frac{|f(x, u_n)|}{|u_n|^2} |v_n|^2 dx \\ &\leq 2 \int_{u_n \neq 0} \frac{|f(x, u_n)|}{|u_n|^2} |v_n - v|^2 dx + 2 \int_{u_n \neq 0} \frac{|f(x, u_n)|}{|u_n|^2} |v|^2 dx \\ &\leq 2C_f \int_{u_n \neq 0} |v_n - v|^2 dx + 2 \int_{u_n \neq 0} \frac{|f(x, u_n)|}{|u_n|^2} |v|^2 dx \\ &= o(1) \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

The last equality holds by $v_n \rightarrow v$ in L^2 and Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem. Also, by (f_3) ,

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|(a(x)u_n, u_n)|}{\|u_n\|^2} dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{u_n \neq 0} \frac{|(a(x)u_n, u_n)|}{|u_n|^2} |v_n|^2 dx \geq \frac{a_0}{2} |v_n|_2^2 \tag{2.10}$$

From 2.9, 2.10 and since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|F(x, u_n)|}{\|u_n\|^2} dx \rightarrow 0$ it follows that $|v_n|_2 \rightarrow 0$. Due to $\dim(S^-) < \infty$, $1 = \|v_n\| \rightarrow 0$ and this contradiction implies that $v^+ \neq 0$. Note that (f_3) and $(?)$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z} \quad & \|v^+\|^2 - \|v^-\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (a(x)v, v) dx \leq \|v^+\|^2 - \|v^-\|^2 - a_0 \|v\|_2^2 \\ \mathbb{R}^N \quad & \leq -((a_0 - \lambda_l) |v^+|_2^2 + \|v^-\|^2 + a_0 \|v^+ + v^0\|_2^2) < 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Then there exists $I > 0$ such that $\mathbb{Z} \quad \|v^+\|^2 - \|v^-\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (a(x)v, v) dx < 0$.

\mathbb{R}^N

By $(?)$, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-I}^I \frac{f(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|^2} dx \rightarrow 0$$

Thus (2.8)-(2.11) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{2} (\|v_j^+\|^2 - \|v_j^-\|^2) - \int_{-I}^I \frac{f(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|^2} dx \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\|v^+\|^2 - \|v^-\|^2 - \int_{-I}^I (a(x)v, v) dx) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now the desired conclusion is obtained from this contradiction.

As an immediate result of Lemma 2.8, we get

Lemma 2.9. Let (f_1) , (f_2) be satisfied and $\rho > 0$ be given by Lemma 2.7. Then $e \in S_l^+$ with $\|e\| = 1$, there exists $R > \rho$ such that $\sup \phi(\partial Q) \leq 0$ where $Q := \{u = u_1 + re : u_1 \in S^- \oplus S^0, \|u_1\| \leq R, 0 < r < R\}$.

Proof. Set $R = R_{S^+}$, where R_{S^+} is the constant in Lemma 2.8. Then, by Lemma 2.8

$$\phi(u) < 0, \forall u \in S^- \oplus S^+ \oplus \text{span}\{e\} \subset S, \tau_{\text{cuk}} \geq R. \quad (2.12)$$

Observe that

$$\partial Q = Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_3,$$

where

$$Q_1 := \{u \in S^- \oplus S^0, \|u\| \leq R\},$$

$$Q_2 := \{u = u_1 + Re : u_1 \in S^- \oplus S^0, \|u_1\| \leq R\},$$

$$Q_3 := \{u = u_1 + re : u_1 \in S^- \oplus S^0, \|u_1\| = R, 0 < r < R\}.$$

Due to (2.12), it holds that

$$\phi(u) \leq 0, \forall u \in Q_2 \cup Q_3$$

Also, in view of (f_1) and the form of ϕ in 2.2, $\phi(u) \leq 0, \forall u \in Q_1$. Then the proof is completed.

After all the above preparations, we now come to the proof of our main result.

Proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Existence. With $Y = S^- \oplus S^0$ and $Z = S^+$ in Theorem 2.3, the condition (ϕ_1) holds by Lemma 2.7 and (ϕ_2) holds by Lemma 2.9. Lemma 2.7 shows that ϕ satisfies the *(PS) - condition*. Hence, ϕ has at least one critical point u with $\phi(u) \geq \alpha > 0$ by Theorem 2.3. Since $\phi(0) = 0$, u is a nontrivial critical point of ϕ . Then (1.1) has at least one nontrivial solution u by Proposition 2.2.

Multiplicity. Let $Y = S^- \oplus S^0$ and $Z = S^+$ in Theorem 2.4. Since $F(x, u)$ is even in u , then ϕ is even and $\phi(0) = 0$ by the form of ϕ in (2.2). Lemma 2.7 shows that (1) in Theorem 2.4 holds. With $G = S^-$ in Theorem 2.4, then Lemma 2.8 implies that (2) in Theorem 2.4 also holds. Note that $\dim(G) - \dim(Y) = \dim(S_l^+) = l$. Therefore, ϕ has at least l pairs of nontrivial critical points by Theorem 2.4 and then (1.1) has at least l pairs of nontrivial solution u by Proposition 2.2. \square

3. References

- Ambrosetti A, Rabinowitz PH. Dual variational methods in critical point theory and application, J. Funct. Anal. 1973; (14):349-381.
- Bartsch T, Ding YH. On a nonlinear schrodinger equation with periodic potential, Math. Ann. 1999; 313:15-37.
- Bartsch T, Wang ZQ. Existence and multiplicity results for some superlinear elliptic problems on \mathbb{R}^N , Comm. Partial Differential Equations. 1995; 20:1725-1741.
- Benci V, Rabinowitz PH. Critical point theorems for indefinite functionals, Invent. Math. 1979; (52):241-273.
- Berestycki H, Lions PL. Nonlinear scalar field equations, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 1983; (82):313-379.
- Chang KC. Critical point theory and its applications (Shanghai Scientific and Technical Press, (in Chinese), 1986.
- Costa D, Tehrani H. On a class of asymptotically linear elliptic problems in \mathbb{R}^N , J. Differential Equations. 2001; (173):470-494.
- Ding YH, Szulkin A. Bound states for semilinear schrodinger equation with sign-changing potential, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations. 2007; 29(3):397-419.
- Ding YH, Lee C. Multiple solutions of scho'dinger equation with indefinite linear part and super or asymptotically linear terms, J. Differential Equations. 2006; (222):137-163.
- Ding YH, Luan SX. Multiple solutions for a class of nonlinear scho'dinger equations, J. Differential Equations. 2004; (207):423-457.
- Ghoussoub N. Duality and perturbation methods in critical point theory, Cambridge University press, Cambridge, 1993.
- Louis Jeanjean, Kazunaga Tanaka. Singularly perturbed elliptic problems with superlinear or asymptotically linear nonlinearities, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations. 2004; (21):287-318.261-268.
- Kristaly A. Multiple solutions of a sublinear schrodinger equation, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. 2007; (14):291-301.
- Kryszewski W, Szulkin A. Generalized linking theorem with an application to semilinear scho'dinger equation, Adv. Differential Equations. 1998; (3):441-472.

15. Li GB, Szulkin A. An asymptotically periodic schrödinger equation with indefinite linear part, *Commun.Contemp.Math.* 2002; (4):763-776.
16. Li GB, Yang J. Asymptotically linear elliptic systems, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations.* 2004; (29):925-954.
17. Li GB, Zhou HS. The existence of a positive solution to asymptotically linear scalar field equations, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A.* 2000; (130):81-105.
18. Chungen Liu, Qingye Zhang. Existence and multiplicity of Homoclinic solutions for the second order Hamiltonian systems, 2011.
19. Moschetto D. Existence and multiplicity results for a nonlinear stationary schrödinger equation, *Ann. Polon. Math.* 2010; (99):39-43.
20. Pankov A. Periodic nonlinear schrödinger equation with application to photonic crystals, *Milan J. Math.* 2005; (73):259-287.
21. Pankov A, flüger KP. On a semilinear schrödinger equation with periodic potential, *Nonlinear Anal.* 1998; (33):593-609.
22. Lions PL. The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations: the locally compact cases, Part II, *AIP Anal. Non line'aire.* 1984; (1):223-283.
23. Rabinowitz PH. *Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations (CBMS 65)*, in: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser, 1986, 2.
24. Stuart CA, Zhou HS. Applying the mountain pass theorem to an asymptotically linear elliptic equation on R^N , *Comm. Partial Differential Equations.* 1999; (24):1731-1758.
25. Andraej Szulkin. Generalized linking theorem and nonlinear equations in Unbounded domains, 159-168.
26. Troestler C, Willem M. Nontrivial solution of a semilinear schrödinger equation, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations.* 1996; (21):1431-1449.
27. van Heerden FA. Multiple solutions for a schrödinger equation type equation with an asymptotically linear term, *Nonlinear Anal.* 2003; (55):739-758.
28. van Heerden FA, Wang ZQ. Schrödinger equation type equations with asymptotically linear nonlinearities, *Differential Integral Equations.* 2003 (16):257-280.
29. Willem M. *Minimax Theorems*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996.
30. Qingye Zhang, Bin Xu. Multiplicity of solutions for a class of semilinear schrödinger equations with sign-changing potential, *J.Math.Appl.* 2011; (377):834-840.
31. Zhao FK, Ding YH. On Hamiltonian elliptic systems with periodic or non-periodic potentials, *J.Differential Equations.* 2010; (249):2964-2985.
32. Zhao FK, Zhao LG, Ding YH. Multiple solutions for asymptotically linear elliptic systems, *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* 2008; (15):673-688.
33. Zou W, Schechter M. *Critical Point Theory and Its Applications*, Springer, 2006.