

International Journal of Statistics and Applied Mathematics

ISSN: 2456-1452
 Maths 2016; 1(3): 52-57
 © 2016 Stats & Maths
 www.mathsjournal.com
 Received: 16-08-2016
 Accepted: 29-08-2016

Vinod Kumar
 Department of mathematics,
 Govt P.G. College, Hisar,
 Haryana, India

Ankush
 Department of mathematics,
 Govt P.G. College, Hisar,
 Haryana, India.

Common fixed point theorem of two mappings in menger PM: Spaces

Vinod Kumar and Ankush

Abstract

By using the concept of contraction of the two mappings in menger PM-spaces, the paper introduces coincidence and common fixed points in menger PM- spaces. The paper extends the result of the paper “Marwan Amin Kutbi” [5]

Keywords: point theorem, mappings, PM-spaces, coincidence

1. Introduction

The concept of Probabilistic metric space (PM- Space) was first studied by Menger [1] in 1942 See also [2-4] There after some fixed point results were given by Schgal and Bharucha-Reid [6, 7] By using contractive condition in probabilistic metric space, they proved a unique fixed point result which was an extension of Banach’s work [8] regarding fixed point theorem in metric space. Many fixed point result were proved in the space; see [13-20] In particular, Dutta *et al.* [21] Nonlinear Ψ – contractive mapping in Menger PM-space and proved the result using this contractive mapping in G-complete Menger PM-Spaces. Weaking this Ψ – contractive mapping, Marian Amin Kutbi, Dhananjag Gopal, Calogera Vetro and Wutiphol Sintumaverat [5] gave some fixed point results in G-complete and M-complete Menger PM-spaces.

On the basis of studying the result [5]; we defined Ψ – contraction of one mapping with respect to f and proved the coincidence and fixed points of the two mappings in the Menger PM-space.

Here, we state some definitions which are needed to prove our result. We denote the set of real numbers by R , by R^+ , the set of non-negative real numbers and by N , the set of positive integers.

Definition 1.1 [9, 22] A mapping $f: R \rightarrow R^+$ is called a distribution function if it is non-decreasing and left continuous with $\inf_{t \in R} F(t) = 0$ and $\sup_{t \in R} F(t) = 1$

We denote by D^+ the set of all distribution functions, while $H \in D^+$ will always be denote the specific distribution function defined by

$$H(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq 0 \\ 1, & t > 0 \end{cases}$$

Definition 1.2: [22] A binary operation $T : [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is a continuous t – norm if the following conditions hold:

- (a) T is commutative and associative,
- (b) T is continuous.
- (c) $T(a, 1) = a$ for all $a \in [0,1]$

Correspondence:
Vinod Kumar
 Department of mathematics,
 Govt P.G. College, Hisar,
 Haryana, India.

(d) $T(a, b)$, whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$, for $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$

The following are three basic continuous t – norm from the literature :

- i. The minimum t – norm, say T_M , defined by $T_M(a, b) = \min(a, b)$.
- ii. The product t – norm, say T_P , defined by $T_P(a, b) = a \cdot b$.
- iii. The Lukasiewicz t – norm T_L , defined by $T_L(a, b) = \max(a, b)$

These t – norm are related in the following way : $T_L \leq T_P \leq T_M$

Definition 1.3: [5] A menger PM space is a triple (X, F, T) where X is a nonempty set, T is a continuous t – norm and f is a mapping from $X \times X$ into D^+ such that, if $F_{x,y}$ denoted the value of F at the pair x, y , the following conditions hold

$$F_{x,y}(t) = H(t) \text{ if and only if } x = y \text{ for all } t \in R^+,$$

$$F_{x,y}(t) = F_{x,y}(t) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R^+,$$

$$F_{x,y}(t + s) \geq T(F_{x,z}(t), F_{z,y}(t)) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R^+.$$

Definition 1.4: [5] Let (X, F, T) be a Menger PM-space, then

- (i) A sequence (x_n) in X is said to be convergent to $x \in X$ if, every $\epsilon > 0$ and, $\lambda > 0$ there exists a positive integer N such that $F_{x,y}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda$ whenever $n \geq N$.
- (ii) A sequence (x_n) in X is called Cauchy sequence if, for every $\epsilon > 0$ and, $\lambda > 0$ there exists a positive integer N such that $F_{x_n, y_n}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda$ whenever $m, n \geq N$.
- (iii) A Menger PM-space is said to be M-complete if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent to a point in X .
- (iv) A sequence (x_n) is called G-Cauchy if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_n, y_n}(t) = 1$ for each $m \in N$ and $t > 0$.
- (v) The space (X, F, T) is called G-complete if every G-Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

The following class of functions was introduced in [10] and will be used in proving our results in the next section.

Definition 1.5: [11] A function $\psi: R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ is said to be ψ – function if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\psi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$.
- (ii) $\psi(t)$ is strictly increasing and $\psi(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$
- (iii) ψ is left continuous in $(0, \infty)$
- (iv) ψ is continuous at 0

Definition 1.6: Let (X, F, T) be a Manger PM- space and $T, f: X \times X$ be the self mappings. A point x in X is called a coincidence point (common fixed point) if $Tx = fx$. Also the pair $T, f: X \times X$ of mappings are weakly compatible if they commute on the set of coincidence points.

Definition 1.7: (23) Let (X, F, T) be a Manager PM-space. The probabilistic metric F is triangular if it satisfies the condition

$$\frac{1}{F_{x,y}(t)} - 1 \leq \left(\frac{1}{F_{x,z}(t)} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{F_{z,y}(t)} - 1 \right)$$

For every $x, y, z \in X$ and each $t > 0$

In the sequel, the class of all ψ – functions will be denoted by Φ . Also we denoted Ψ the class of all continuous non-decreasing functions such that $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi^n(a_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Theorem 1.1 [5] Let (X, F, T) be a G-complete Menger space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying the following inequality:

$$\frac{1}{F_{Tx,y}(\varphi(t))} - 1 \leq \psi \left(\frac{1}{F_{x,y}(\varphi(t))} - 1 \right) \quad (1.1)$$

Where $x, y \in X, c \in (0,1), \varphi \in \Psi$ and $t > 0$ such that $F_{x,y}(\varphi(t)) > 0$ then f has a unique fixed point.

A mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ satisfying condition (1.1) is usually called ψ – contractive mapping. However for some discussion on this notion and theorem 1.1 the reader can refer to the recent paper of Gopal *et al* [23] where analogous result are proved by using some control function

2. The Main Results

Theorem 2.1 Let (X, F, T) be a menger space and $T : f : X \rightarrow X$ be the mapping satisfying the following inequality

$$\frac{1}{F_{Tx,Ty}(\varphi(ct))} - 1 \leq \psi \left(\frac{1}{F_{fx,fy}(\varphi(t))} - 1 \right) \quad (2.1)$$

Where $x, y \in X, c \in (0, 1), \varphi \in \Phi, \psi \in \Psi$ and $t > 0$ such that $F_{Tx,Ty}(\varphi(ct))$. If the range of $T(X) \subset f(X)$ is a G -complete subspace of, then f and T have coincidence point.

Further if the pair of mapping (T, f) is weakly compatible, then f and t have a common fixed point.

Proof Let $x_0 \in X$. Take a point x_1 in X such that $T(x_0) = f(x_1)$. This is possible as the range of f contain the range of T . Continuing in this way, for every x_n in X . One can find a x_{n+1} such that $y_n = Tx_n = fx_{n+1}$ Without loss of generality assume the $y_{n+1} \neq y_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$; otherwise f and T have a coincidence point and there is nothing to prove. In case $y_{n+1} \neq y_n$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{F_{y_1,y_2}(\varphi(t))} - 1 &= \frac{1}{F_{Tx_1,Tx_2}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))} - 1 \\ &\leq \psi \left(\frac{1}{F_{Tx_1,Tx_2}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))} - 1 \right) \\ &= \psi \left(\frac{1}{F_{Tx_1,Tx_2}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))} - 1 \right) \quad (2.2) \end{aligned}$$

From (2.2) we deduce that $F_{y_1,y_2}(\varphi(t)) > 0$ and $F_{y_1,y_2}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})) > 0$. Again by applying (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{F_{y_2,y_3}(\varphi(t))} - 1 &= \frac{1}{F_{Tx_2,Tx_3}(\varphi(t))} - 1 \\ &\leq \psi \left(\frac{1}{F_{fx_1,fx_2}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))} - 1 \right) \\ &= \psi \left(\frac{1}{F_{y_1,y_2}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))} - 1 \right) \quad (2.2) \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\frac{1}{F_{y_2,y_3}(\varphi(t))} - 1 \leq \psi \left(\frac{1}{F_{y_1,y_2}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))} - 1 \right) \quad (2.3)$$

On using (2.2) and the hypothesis that ψ is non – decreasing the above inequality (2.3) becomes

$$\frac{1}{F_{y_2,y_3}(\varphi(t))} - 1 \leq \psi^2 \left(\frac{1}{F_{y_0,y_1}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c^2}))} - 1 \right) \quad (2.4)$$

Repeating the above procedure successively n times, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{F_{y_n,y_{n+1}}(\varphi(t))} - 1 \leq \psi^n \left(\frac{1}{F_{y_0,y_1}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c^n}))} - 1 \right)$$

If we change y_0 with y_r in the previous inequality then for all $n > r$, We get

$$\frac{1}{F_{y_n, y_{n+1}}(\varphi(c^r t))} - 1 \leq \psi^{n-r} \left(\frac{1}{F_{y_0, y_1}(\varphi(\frac{c^r t}{c^{n-r}}))} - 1 \right) \tag{2.5}$$

Since $\psi^n(a_n) \rightarrow 0$ whenever $a_n \rightarrow 0$ therefore the above inequality implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{y_n, y_{n+1}}(\varphi(c^r t)) = 1 \tag{2.6}$$

Now let $\epsilon > 0$ be given, then by using the properties (i) to (iv) of a function we can find $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varphi(c^r t) < \epsilon$. It follows from (2.6) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{y_n, y_{n+1}}(\varphi(\epsilon)) = 1 \quad \text{for every } \epsilon > 0 \tag{2.7}$$

Hence y_n is a G-Cauchy sequence in $f(X)$ and $f(X)$ is G-complete. Therefore $y_n \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for some $y \in f(X)$.

Consequently we obtain a point u in X such that $f(u) = v$. Now we show that u is coincidence point of f and T .

Since,

$$F_{Tu, u}(\epsilon) \geq T \left(F_{Tu, y_{n+1}} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} \right), F_{Ty_{n+1}, u} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) \right) \tag{2.8}$$

By using the properties (i) and (iv) of a function φ , we can find $s > 0$ such that $\varphi(s) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Again since $y_n \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $n > n_0$ we have $\dots F_{y_n, u}(\varphi(s)) > 0$.

Therefore, for every $n > n_0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{F_{y_{n+1}, Tu} \left(\varphi \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) \right)} - 1 &\leq \frac{1}{F_{Ty_{n+1}, Tu}(\varphi(s))} - 1 \\ &\leq \psi \left(\frac{1}{F_{f x_{n+1}, fu} \left(\varphi \left(\frac{s}{c} \right) \right)} - 1 \right) \\ &= \psi \left(\frac{1}{F_{y_n, fu} \left(\varphi \left(\frac{s}{c} \right) \right)} - 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

....

Since Ψ is continuous at 0 and $\Psi(0) = 0$, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{y_{n+1}, T(u)} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) = 1$$

From (2.8) and (2.9), we get $F_{fv, Tw}(\epsilon) = 1$ for every $\epsilon > 0$, which implies that $Tu = fu$.

This proves that u is coincidence point of f and T . Further suppose $Tu = fu = v$. Since f and T are compatible, then $fTu = fTv$. Obviously, $Tv = fv$. Now we show that $fv = v$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{F_{y_{n+1}, fv} \left(\varphi \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) \right)} - 1 &= \frac{1}{F_{Ty_{n+1}, Tv} \left(\varphi \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) \right)} - 1 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{F_{Ty_{n+1}, Tw}(\varphi(s))} - 1 \\ &\leq \psi \left(\frac{1}{F_{f x_{n+1}, fv} \left(\varphi \left(\frac{s}{c} \right) \right)} - 1 \right) \\ &= \psi \left(\frac{1}{F_{y_n, u} \left(\varphi \left(\frac{s}{c} \right) \right)} - 1 \right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Since Ψ is continuous at 0 and $\Psi(0) = 0$, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{y_{n+1}, f(v)} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) = 1$$

From (2.8) and (2.10), we get $F_{v,fw}(\epsilon) = 1$ for every $\epsilon > 0$, which implies that $v = w$. Hence v is fixed point of T and f . Further suppose that w is another fixed point of T and f , then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{F_{y_{n+1},w}\left(\varphi\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)\right)} - 1 &= \frac{1}{F_{Tx_{n+1},Tw}\left(\varphi\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)\right)} - 1 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{F_{Tx_{n+1},Tw}\left(\varphi\left(\frac{s}{c}\right)\right)} - 1 \\ &\leq \psi\left(\frac{1}{F_{fx_{n+1},fw}\left(\varphi\left(\frac{s}{c}\right)\right)} - 1\right) \\ &= \psi\left(\frac{1}{F_{y_{n+1},w}\left(\varphi\left(\frac{s}{c}\right)\right)} - 1\right) \end{aligned}$$

Since Ψ is continuous at 0 and $\Psi(0) = 0$, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{y_{n+1},w}\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) = 1$$

From (2.8) and (2.10), we get $F_{v,w}(\epsilon) = 1$ for every $\epsilon > 0$, which implies that $v = w$. Thus we have guarantee of uniqueness of fixed point.

References

1. Menger K. Statistical Metric. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1942; 28:535-537
2. Sherwood H. Complete probabilistic metric spaces. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheor. Verw. Geb. 1971; 20:117-128
3. Shisheng Z. On the theory of probabilistic metric spaces with applications. Acta Math. Sin. Engl. Ser. 1985; 1:366-377
4. Wald A. On a statistical generalization of metric spaces, Proc, Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1943; 29:196-197
5. Marwan Amit Kutbi: Further generalisation of fixed point theorems in Menger PM-spaces, 2015.
6. Sehgal VW, Bharucha-Reid. At: Fixed point of contraction mappings in Pm —spaces, Math. Syst. Theory 1972; 6:97-102
7. Sehgal VM. Some fixed point theorems in functional analysis and probability. Ph.D. dissertation, Wayne state University, Michigan, 1966.
8. Banach S. Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations intergrales. Fundam. Math. 1922; 3:133-181.
9. Hadzic O, Pap E. Fixed Point theory in Probabilistic Metric Spaces. Kluwer Academic, New York, 2001.
10. Van An T, Van Dung N, Kadelburg Z, Radenovic S. Various generalizations of metric space and fixed point theorems. Rev. R. Acad. Clenc. Exatas fis. Nat., Ser. A Mat, 2014. Dol: 10.1007/sl3398-014-0173-7
11. Choudhury BS, Das KP. A new contraction principle in Menger spaces. Acta Math. Sin. Engl. Ser 2008; 24:1379-1386.
12. Khan MS, Swaleh, Sessa S. Fixed points theorems by altering distances between the point Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 1984; 30:1-9
13. Chauhan S, Bhatnagar S, Radenovic S. Common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric spaces. Matematiche. 2013; 68:87-98
14. Chauhan S, Shatanawi W, kumar S, Radenovic S. Existence and uniqueness of fixed points in modified intuitionistic Fuzzy metric spaces. J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 2014; 7:28-41
15. Chauhan S, Radenovic S, Imdad M, Vetro C. Some integral type fixed point theorems in non-Archimedean Menger PM-spaces with common property (EA) and application of functional equations in dynamic programming Rev. R. Acad. Clenc. Exactas Fis. Nat, Ser. A Mat 2014; 108:795-810
16. Choudhury BS, Dutta PN, Das KP. A fixed point result in Menger spaces using a real function. Acta Math. Hung. 2008; 122:203-216.
17. Choudhury BS, Das KP. A Coincidence point result in Menger spaces using a control function. Chaos Solitons Fractals 2009; 42:3058-3063
18. Ciric LB. On fixed point of generalized contractions on probabilistic metric spaces. Publ. inst. Math. (Belgr.) 1975; 18:71-78
19. Gajic L, Rakocevic V. Pair of non-self-mappings and common fixed points, App. Math. Comput. 2007; 187:99-1006
20. Mihet, D: Altering distances in probabilistic Menger spaces. Nonlinear Anal. 2009; 71(8):2734-273
21. Dutta, PN, Choudhary BS, Das KP. Some fixed point results in Menger spaces using a control function. Surv. Math. Appl. 2009; 4:41-52.

22. Schweizer B, Sklar A. Probabilistic Metric Spaces. Elsevier, New York, 1983.
23. Vetro C, Vetro P. Common fixed points for discontinuous mappings in fuzzy metric space. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*. 2008; 57:295-303.
24. Gopal D, Abbas M, Vetro C. Some new fixed point theorems in Menger PM-space with application to Volterra type integral equation. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 2017; 232:955-967
25. Abbas M, Imdad M, Gopal D. ψ — Weak Contractions in Fuzzy Metric Spaces.