

International Journal of Statistics and Applied Mathematics



ISSN: 2456-1452
 Maths 2016; 1(4): 01-05
 © 2016 Stats & Maths
 www.mathsjournal.com
 Received: 01-09-2016
 Accepted: 02-10-2016

Dhanesh Garg
 Maharishi Markendeshwar
 University, Mullana, Ambala,
 Haryana, India

An application of generalized Tsalli's-Havrda-Charvat entropy in coding theory through a generalization of Kraft inequality

Dhanesh Garg

Abstract

A parametric mean length is defined as the quantity

$${}_{\alpha\beta}L_u = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \frac{\sum u_i p_i^\beta D^{-n_i(\alpha-1)}}{\sum u_i p_i^\beta} \right],$$

where $\alpha > 0 (\neq 1)$, $\beta > 0$, $u_i > 0$, $D > 1$ is an integer, $\sum p_i = 1$. This being the useful mean length of code words weighted by utilities, u_i . Lower and Upper bounds for ${}_{\alpha\beta}L_u$ are derived in terms of 'useful' Tsalli's-Havrda-Charvat information measure for power probability distribution p_i^β .

Keywords: Tsalli's Entropy, Useful Tsalli's entropy, Utilities, Kraft inequality, Holder's inequality

AMS Subject classification: 94A15, 94A17, 94A24, 26D15.

1. Introduction

Consider the following model for a random experiment S,

$$S_N = [E; P; U],$$

where $E = (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_N)$ is a finite system of events happening with respective probabilities $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N)$, $p_i \geq 0$, $\sum p_i = 1$ and credited with utilities $U = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N)$, $u_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Denote the model by S_N , where,

$$S_N = \begin{bmatrix} E_1, E_2, \dots, E_N \\ p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N \\ u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N \end{bmatrix}. \tag{1.1}$$

We call (1.1) a Utility Information Scheme (UIS). Belis and Guiasu^[2] proposed a measure of information called 'useful information' for this scheme, given by

$$H(U; P) = -\sum u_i p_i \log(p_i), \tag{1.2}$$

where $H(U; P)$ reduces to Shannon's^[15] entropy when the utility aspect of the scheme is ignored i.e., when $u_i = 1$ for each i . Throughout the paper, \sum will stand for $\sum_{i=1}^N$ unless otherwise stated and logarithms are taken to base D ($D > 1$).

Guiasu and Picard^[4] considered the problem of encoding the outcomes in (1.1) by means of a prefix code with codewords w_1, w_2, \dots, w_N having lengths n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N and satisfying Kraft's inequality^[3].

Correspondence:
Dhanesh Garg
 Maharishi Markendeshwar
 University, Mullana, Ambala,
 Haryana, India

$$\sum_{i=1}^N D^{-n_i} \leq 1. \tag{1.3}$$

Where D is the size of the code alphabet. The useful mean length L_u of code was defined as:

$$L_u = \frac{\sum u_i n_i p_i}{\sum u_i p_i}, \tag{1.4}$$

and the authors obtained bounds for it in terms of $H(U; P)$. Generalized coding theorems by considering different generalized measures under condition (1.3) of unique decipherability were investigated by several authors, see for instance the papers [4, 8-10, 13]. In this paper, we study some coding theorems by considering a new function depending on the parameters α, β and a utility function. Our motivation for studying this new function is that it generalizes ‘useful’ information measure already existing in the literature such Tsalli’s entropy [17], Havrda-Charvat [6] etc.

2. Coding Theorems

In this section, we define a new information measure as :

$${}_{\alpha\beta}H(U; P) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \frac{\sum u_i p_i^{\alpha\beta}}{\sum u_i p_i^\beta} \right], \tag{2.1}$$

where $\beta > 0, \alpha > 0 (\neq 1), u_i > 0, p_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ and $\sum p_i = 1$.

(i) If $\beta = 1$, Then (2.1) becomes a ‘useful’ information measure

$$\text{i.e., } {}_{\alpha}H(U; P) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \frac{\sum u_i p_i^\alpha}{\sum u_i p_i} \right]. \tag{2.2}$$

(ii) When $u_i = 1$ for each i , i.e., when the utility aspect is ignored, $\sum p_i = 1$, and $\beta = 1$, then (2.1) reduces to Tsalli’s-Havrda-Charvat entropy.

$$\text{i.e., } {}_{\alpha}H(P) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \sum p_i^\alpha \right]. \tag{2.3}$$

(iii) When $\alpha \rightarrow 1$, and $\beta = 1$, then (2.1) reduces to a measure of ‘useful’ information due to Hooda and Bhaker [1].

$$\text{i.e., } H(U; P) = - \frac{\sum u_i p_i \log(p_i)}{\sum u_i p_i}. \tag{2.4}$$

(iv) When $u_i = 1$ for each i , then (2.1) reduced to Satish and Arun [13] entropy.

$$\text{i.e., } {}_{\alpha\beta}H(U; P) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \frac{\sum p_i^{\alpha\beta}}{\sum p_i^\beta} \right]. \tag{2.5}$$

(v) When $u_i = 1$ for each i , i.e., When the utility aspect is ignored, $\sum p_i = 1, \beta = 1$, and $\alpha \rightarrow 1$, the measure (2.1) reduces to Shannon’s entropy [15].

$$\text{i.e., } H(P) = - \sum p_i \log(p_i). \tag{2.6}$$

Further consider,

Definition: The ‘useful’ mean length ${}_{\alpha\beta}L_u$ with respect to ‘useful’ R-norm information measure is defined as :

$${}_{\alpha\beta}L_u = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \frac{\sum u_i p_i^\beta D^{-n_i(\alpha-1)}}{\sum u_i p_i^\beta} \right], \tag{2.7}$$

$$\text{under the condition, } \sum u_i D^{-n_i\alpha} \leq \sum u_i p_i^{\alpha\beta}. \tag{2.8}$$

Clearly the inequality (2.8) is the generalization of Kraft’s inequality (1.3). A code satisfying (2.8) would be termed as a ‘useful’ personal probability code. $D (D > 2)$ is the size of the code alphabet. When, $u_i = 1$ for each i and $\beta = 1, \alpha = 1$, (2.8) reduces to (1.3).

- (i) For $u_i = 1$ for each i and $\beta = 1$, and $\alpha \rightarrow 1$, ${}_{\alpha}L_u$ becomes the optimal code length defined by Shannon ^[15].
- (ii) For $u_i = 1$ for each i and $\beta = 1$, then (2.7) becomes a new mean code word length corresponding to the Tsalli's entropy.

$$\text{i.e., } {}_{\alpha}L = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \sum p_i D^{-n_i(\alpha-1)} \right]. \tag{2.9}$$

- (iii) If $\beta = 1$, then (2.7) becomes a new mean codewords length corresponding to the entropy (2.2).

$$\text{i.e., } {}_{\alpha}L_u = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \frac{\sum u_i p_i D^{-n_i(\alpha-1)}}{\sum u_i p_i} \right].$$

- (iv) If $u_i = 1$, then (2.7) becomes a mean codewords length corresponding to the entropy (2.5).

$$\text{i.e., } {}_{\alpha\beta}L = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \frac{\sum p_i^{\beta} D^{-n_i(\alpha-1)}}{\sum p_i^{\beta}} \right].$$

We establish a result, that in a sense, provides a characterization of ${}_{\alpha\beta}H(U; P)$ under the condition of unique decipherability.

Theorem 2.1. Let $u_i, p_i, n_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, satisfy the inequality (2.8). Then

$${}_{\alpha\beta}L_u \geq {}_{\alpha\beta}H(U; P), \quad 1 \neq \alpha > 0, \beta > 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Proof: By Holder's inequality, we have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N y_i^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^N x_i y_i, \tag{2.11}$$

where $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1; p(\neq 0) < 1, q < 0$ or $q(\neq 0) < 1, p < 0; x_i, y_i > 0$ for each i .

Setting, $p = \frac{(\alpha - 1)}{\alpha}, q = 1 - \alpha$, and

$$x_i = \left(\frac{u_i p_i^{\beta}}{\sum u_i p_i^{\beta}} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} D^{-n_i \alpha}, \quad y_i = \left(\frac{u_i p_i^{\alpha\beta}}{\sum u_i p_i^{\beta}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}, \tag{2.12}$$

Putting these values in (2.11) and using the inequality (2.8), we get

$$\left(\frac{\sum u_i p_i^{\beta} D^{-n_i(\alpha-1)}}{\sum u_i p_i^{\beta}} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \left(\frac{\sum u_i p_i^{\alpha\beta}}{\sum u_i p_i^{\beta}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}} \leq \frac{\sum u_i p_i^{\alpha\beta}}{\sum u_i p_i^{\beta}}. \tag{2.13}$$

It implies

$$\left(\frac{\sum u_i p_i^{\alpha\beta}}{\sum u_i p_i^{\beta}} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} \leq \left(\frac{\sum u_i p_i^{\beta} D^{-n_i(\alpha-1)}}{\sum u_i p_i^{\beta}} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}. \tag{2.14}$$

Now consider two cases:

Case 1: Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. Raising both sides of (2.14) to the power $(\alpha - 1)/\alpha$, we get

$$\frac{\sum u_i p_i^{\alpha\beta}}{\sum u_i p_i^{\beta}} \leq \frac{\sum u_i p_i^{\beta} D^{-n_i(\alpha-1)}}{\sum u_i p_i^{\beta}} \tag{2.15}$$

Since, $1/(\alpha - 1) < 0$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$, we get from (2.15) the inequality (2.10).

Case 2: Let $\alpha > 1$. The proof follows on the same lines.

It is clear that the equality in (2.10) is true if and only if

$$D^{-n_i} = p_i^{\beta}$$

which implies that

$$n_i = \log_D \frac{1}{p_i^{\beta}} \tag{2.16}$$

Thus, it is always possible to have a codeword satisfying the requirement

$$\log_D \frac{1}{p_i^\beta} \leq n_i < \log_D \frac{1}{p_i^\beta} + 1,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{p_i^\beta} \leq D^{n_i} < \frac{D}{p_i^\beta}. \tag{2.17}$$

In the following theorem, we give an upper bound for ${}_{\alpha\beta}L_u$ in terms of ${}_{\alpha\beta}H(U; P)$.

Theorem 2.2. By properly choosing the lengths n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N in the code of Theorem 2.1, ${}_{\alpha\beta}L_u$ can be made to satisfy the following inequality:

$${}_{\alpha\beta}L_u < D^{(1-\alpha)} [{}_{\alpha\beta}H(U; P)] + \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} (1 - D^{(1-\alpha)}) \tag{2.18}$$

Proof: From (2.17), it is clear that

$$D^{-n_i} > D^{-1} p_i^\beta. \tag{2.19}$$

We have again the following two possibilities.

(i) Let $\alpha > 1$. Raising both sides of (2.19) to the power $(\alpha - 1)$, we have

$$D^{-n_i(\alpha-1)} > D^{1-\alpha} p_i^{\beta(\alpha-1)}.$$

Multiplying both sides by $u_i p_i^\beta$ and then summing over i . we get

$$\sum u_i p_i^\beta D^{-n_i(\alpha-1)} > D^{(1-\alpha)} \sum u_i p_i^{\alpha\beta}. \tag{2.20}$$

Obviously (2.20) can be written as

$$\frac{\sum u_i p_i^\beta D^{-n_i(\alpha-1)}}{\sum u_i p_i^\beta} > D^{(1-\alpha)} \frac{\sum u_i p_i^{\alpha\beta}}{\sum u_i p_i^\beta} \tag{2.21}$$

Since $\alpha - 1 > 0$ for $\alpha > 1$, we get the inequality (2.18) from (2.21).

(ii) If $0 < \alpha < 1$, the proof follows similarly. But the inequality (2.21) is reversed.

Theorem 2.3. For arbitrary $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \neq \alpha > 0, \beta > 0$, and for every codeword lengths $n_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ of Theorem 2.1, ${}_{\alpha\beta}L_u$ can be made to satisfy the following inequality:

$${}_{\alpha\beta}L_u \geq {}_{\alpha\beta}H(U; P) > D [{}_{\alpha\beta}H(U; P)] + \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} (1 - D). \tag{2.22}$$

Proof: Suppose,

$$\bar{n}_i = \log_D \frac{1}{p_i^\beta}, \beta > 0. \tag{2.23}$$

Clearly \bar{n}_i and $\bar{n}_i + 1$ satisfy the equality in Holder's inequality (2.11). Moreover, \bar{n}_i satisfies (2.8). Suppose \bar{n}_i is the unique integer between \bar{n}_i and $\bar{n}_i + 1$, then obviously, \bar{n}_i satisfies (2.8).

Since $1 \neq \alpha > 0, \beta > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sum u_i p_i^\beta D^{-n_i(\alpha-1)}}{\sum u_i p_i^\beta} &\leq \frac{\sum u_i p_i^\beta D^{-\bar{n}_i(\alpha-1)}}{\sum u_i p_i^\beta} \\ &< D \left(\frac{\sum u_i p_i^\beta D^{-\bar{n}_i(\alpha-1)}}{\sum u_i p_i^\beta} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

Since, $\frac{\sum u_i p_i^\beta D^{-\bar{n}_i(\alpha-1)}}{\sum u_i p_i^\beta} = \frac{\sum u_i p_i^{\alpha\beta}}{\sum u_i p_i^\beta}$.

Hence (2.24) becomes

$$\frac{\sum u_i p_i^\beta D^{-n_i(\alpha-1)}}{\sum u_i p_i^\beta} \leq \left(\frac{\sum u_i p_i^{\alpha\beta}}{\sum u_i p_i^\beta} \right) < D \left(\frac{\sum u_i p_i^{\alpha\beta}}{\sum u_i p_i^\beta} \right).$$

Which gives (2.22).

3. References

1. Bhaker US, Hooda DS. Mean value Characterization of ‘useful’ information measures, Tamkang J Math. 1993; 24:283-294.
2. Belis M, Guiasu S. A Qualitative-Quantitative Measure of Information in Cybernetics Systems, IEEE Trans. Information Theory, 1968; IT-14:593-594.
3. Feinstein A. Foundation of Information Theory, McGraw Hill, New York. 1958.
4. Guiasu S, Picard CF. Borne Infericutre de la Longueur Utile de Certain Codes, C.R. Acad. Sci, Paris, 1971; 273A:248-251.
5. Gurdial, Pessoa F. On Useful Information of Order α , J. Comb. Information and Syst. Sci. 1977; 2:158-162.
6. Havrda JF, Charvat F. Qualification Method of Classification Process the concept of Structural α -Entropy, Kybernetika, 1967; 3:30-35, 279.
7. Kumar S. Some more results on R-Norm information measure, Tamkang Journal of Mathematics, 2009; 40(1):41-58.
8. Kumar S. Some more results on a generalized ‘useful’ R-Norm information measure, Tamkang Journal of Mathematics, 2009; 40(2):211-216.
9. Kumar S, Choudhary A. Some More Noiseless Coding Theorem on Generalized R-Norm Entropy, Journal of Mathematics Research. 2011; 3(1):125-130.
10. Kumar S, Choudhary A. Coding Theorem Connected on R-Norm Entropy, International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences. 2011; 6(17):825-831.
11. Kumar S, Choudhary A. Some Coding Theorems Based on Three Types of the Exponential Form of Cost Functions, Open Systems and Information Dynamics, 2012; 19(4):1-14.
12. Kumar S, Kumar R, Choudhary A. Some more results on a generalized parametric R-norm information measure of type Alpha. Journal of Applied Science and Engg. 2014; 17(4):447-453.
13. Kumar S, Choudhary A. Some coding theorems on generalized Havrda-Charvat and Tsalli’s entropy, Tamkang journal of mathematics, 2012; 43(3):437-444.
14. Longo G. A Noiseless Coding Theorem for Sources Having Utilities, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 1976; 30(4):732-738.
15. Shannon CE. A Mathematical Theory of Communication, Bell System Tech-J. 1948; 27:394-423, 623-656.
16. Shisha O. Inequalities, Academic Press, New York. 1967.
17. Tsalli’s C. Possible generalization of Boltzmann–Gibbs statistics J Stat Phys. 1988; 52:480-487.