

International Journal of Statistics and Applied Mathematics



ISSN: 2456-1452
 Maths 2016; 1(4): 34-36
 © 2016 Stats & Maths
 www.mathsjournal.com
 Received: 20-09-2016
 Accepted: 22-10-2016

M Vijaya
 Department of Mathematics
 Queen Mary's College, Chennai,
 Tamil Nadu, India

Hyers – Ulam stability of linear difference equations of first order

M Vijaya

Abstract

We prove the Hyers – Ulam stability of linear difference equations of first order of the form $\phi(t)\Delta y(t) = y(t)$.

Keywords: Hyes – Ulam stability, difference equation, first order.

1. Introduction

The theory of difference equations and their applications have been receiving intensive attention. See, for example [1-3] and the references cited therein.

In this paper we consider the first order difference equation of the form

$$\phi(t)\Delta y(t) = y(t), t \in I \tag{1}$$

where, $I = N(a) = \{a, a + 1, a + 2, \dots\}$, (a is a fixed nonnegative integer), Δ is the forward difference operator defined by $\Delta y(t) = y(t + 1) - y(t)$. Assume further that $\phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a given function. By a solution of equation (1) we mean a sequence $\{y(t)\}$ which is defined for $t \in I$, and satisfies equation (1). S.M Jung the author [4] who investigated the Hyers –Ulam stability of linear differential equation of first order $\phi(t)y'(t) = y(t)$, which was the improvement of the papers [5, 6]. Indeed they dealt with the Hyers Ulam stability of differential equation $y'(t) = \lambda y(t)$, while Alsina and Ger investigated the differential equation $y'(t) = y(t)$.

The aim of this paper is to investigate the Hyers –ulam stability of the linear difference equation of first order (1). More precisely, we prove that if $\phi(t) > 0$ or $\phi(t) < -1$ hold for all $t \in I$ and further if the function $y(t)$ satisfies $|\phi(t)\Delta y(t) - y(t)| < \epsilon \forall t \in I$ then there exists a real number c such that $|y(t) - c \prod_{s=a}^{t-1} \frac{1+\phi(s)}{\phi(s)}| \leq \epsilon$ for any $t \in I$.

2. Preliminaries

Following an idea of Scon – Mo Jung [4] we prove the following lemma

Lemma:2.1 Assume that a function $z: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given, The inequality $z(t) \leq \phi(t)\Delta z(t)$ is true for $t \in I$, if and only if there exists a function $\alpha: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Delta \alpha(t)\phi(t) > 0$ and $z(t) = \alpha(t) \prod_{s=a}^{t-1} \frac{1+\phi(s)}{\phi(s)} \forall t \in I$.

Proof: Assume the inequality $z(t) \leq \phi(t)\Delta z(t)$ holds true for all $t \in I$. Let us define function $\alpha: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\alpha(t) = z(t) \prod_{s=a}^{t-1} \frac{\phi(s)}{1+\phi(s)}$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \alpha(t) &= \Delta \left(\prod_{s=a}^{t-1} \frac{\phi(s)}{1+\phi(s)} \right) z(t) + \prod_{s=a}^{t-1} \frac{\phi(s)}{1+\phi(s)} \Delta z(t) \\ &= \prod_{s=a}^{t-1} \frac{\phi(s)}{1+\phi(s)} [\Delta z(t)] + z(t+1) \frac{\phi(t)}{1+\phi(t)} \end{aligned}$$

Correspondence
M Vijaya
 Department of Mathematics
 Queen Mary's College, Chennai,
 Tamil Nadu, India

$$\varphi(t)\Delta\alpha(t)=\varphi(t)\Delta z(t)\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{\varphi(s)}{1+\varphi(s)}+z(t+1)\frac{\varphi(t)^2}{1+\varphi(t)}$$

Since by hypothesis $\varphi(t)\Delta z(t) \geq z(t)$

$$\varphi(t)\Delta\alpha(t) \geq z(t)\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{\varphi(s)}{1+\varphi(s)}+z(t+1)\frac{\varphi(t)^2}{1+\varphi(t)} > 0 \text{ and}$$

$$\alpha(t)\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)} = z(t)\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{\varphi(s)}{1+\varphi(s)}\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)} = z(t).$$

Conversely, assume that there exists a function $\alpha: I \rightarrow R$ such that $\varphi(t)\Delta\alpha(t) \geq 0$ for each $t \in I$. Let us define a function $z: I \rightarrow R$ by,

$$z(t)=\alpha(t)\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)}, \text{ then,}$$

$$\Delta z(t)=\Delta\alpha(t)\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)} + \alpha(t+1)\Delta\left(\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)}\right)$$

Therefore $\varphi(t)\Delta z(t)=\varphi(t)\Delta\alpha(t)\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)} + \alpha(t+1)\left[\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)}\right]$

Since by hypothesis $\varphi(t)\Delta\alpha(t) \geq 0$, we have

$$\varphi(t)\Delta z(t) \geq \alpha(t+1)\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)} \geq z(t), \forall t \in I$$

which proves lemma.

Lemma:2.2 Assume that a function $z: I \rightarrow R$ is given, The inequality $z(t) \geq \varphi(t)\Delta z(t)$ holds true for any $t \in I$, if and only if there exists a function $\beta: I \rightarrow R$ such that $\Delta\beta(t)\varphi(t) < 0$ and $z(t)=\beta(t)\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)}, \forall t \in I$.

Proof: Assume the inequality $z(t) \geq \varphi(t)\Delta z(t)$ holds for all $t \in I$. Let us define $\beta: I \rightarrow R$ to be $\beta(t)=\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{\varphi(s)}{1+\varphi(s)}z(t)$ then,

$$\Delta\beta(t)=\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{\varphi(s)}{1+\varphi(s)}\Delta z(t)+z(t+1)\Delta\left(\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{\varphi(s)}{1+\varphi(s)}\right)$$

$$\varphi(t)\Delta\beta(t)=\varphi(t)\Delta z(t)\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{\varphi(s)}{1+\varphi(s)}-z(t+1)\left[\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{\varphi(s)}{1+\varphi(s)}\right]$$

Since, $\varphi(t)\Delta z(t) \leq z(t)$

$$\varphi(t)\Delta\beta(t) \leq z(t)\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{\varphi(s)}{1+\varphi(s)}-z(t+1)\left[\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{\varphi(s)}{1+\varphi(s)}\right] < 0, \text{ and}$$

$$\beta(t)\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)} = z(t)\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{\varphi(s)}{1+\varphi(s)}\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)} = z(t).$$

Conversely, assume that $\Delta\beta(t)\varphi(t) \leq 0$ for each $t \in I$ and let us define a function

$$z: I \rightarrow R \text{ by } z(t)=\beta(t)\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)} \text{ then,}$$

$$\varphi(t)\Delta z(t) = \varphi(t)\Delta\beta(t)\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)} + \beta(t+1)\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)}$$

since $\varphi(t)\Delta\beta(t) \leq 0$,

$$\varphi(t)\Delta z(t) \leq \beta(t+1)\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)}$$

Therefore $\varphi(t)\Delta z(t) \leq z(t)$. Hence the proof.

Theorem: 2.3 Given an $\epsilon > 0$, a function $y: I \rightarrow R$ is a solution of the following inequality

$$|\varphi(t)\Delta y(t)-y(t)| \leq \epsilon \text{ for all } t \in I \tag{2}$$

if and only if there exists a function $\alpha: I \rightarrow R$ such that

$$y(t)=\epsilon+\alpha(t)\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)} \tag{3}$$

$$\text{and } 0 \leq \Delta\alpha(t)\varphi(t) \leq 2\epsilon\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{\varphi(s)}{1+\varphi(s)}, \forall t \in I. \tag{4}$$

Proof: First we assume that $y: I \rightarrow R$ is a solution of the inequality (2) then y satisfies

$$y(t)-\epsilon \leq \varphi(t)\Delta y(t) \leq y(t)+\epsilon \tag{5}$$

for each $t \in I$. Define $z(t)=y(t)-\epsilon$ then $\Delta z(t)=\Delta y(t)$, the inequality on the L.H.S of (5), becomes $z(t) \leq \varphi(t)\Delta z(t)$ holds

for every $t \in I$. According to lemma 1.1 there exists a function $\alpha: I \rightarrow R$ such that

$$y(t)=\epsilon+\alpha(t)\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)} \text{ for all } t \in I. \tag{6}$$

where α additionally satisfies the condition,

$$\Delta\alpha(t)\varphi(t) > 0, \text{ for any } t \in I \tag{7}$$

Analogously define $z(t)=y(t)+\epsilon$, the inequality on the R.H.S of (5) implies that $z(t) \geq \varphi(t)\Delta y(t)=\varphi(t)\Delta z(t)$ holds for any $t \in I$.

According to lemma 1.2 there exists a function $\beta: I \rightarrow R$ such

$$\text{that } y(t)+\epsilon=z(t)=\beta(t)\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)} \tag{8}$$

$$\text{and } \Delta\beta(t)\varphi(t) < 0 \text{ for all } t \in I. \tag{9}$$

From (6),

$$\Delta y(t) = \alpha(t)\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)}\frac{1}{\varphi(t)} + \prod_{s=a}^t\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)}\Delta\alpha(t) \tag{10}$$

$$\text{From (8), } \Delta y(t) = \left(\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)}\right)\frac{\beta(t)}{\varphi(t)} + \prod_{s=a}^t\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)}\Delta\beta(t) \tag{11}$$

Also, from (8) and (6)

$$\beta(t)\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)} = 2\epsilon + \alpha(t)\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)}$$

substituting in (11)

$$\Delta y(t) = \Delta\beta(t)\prod_{s=a}^t\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)} + \frac{2\epsilon}{\varphi(t)} + \frac{\alpha(t)}{\varphi(t)}\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)}$$

substituting for $\Delta y(t)$ from (10) we get,

$$\Delta\alpha(t)\prod_{s=a}^t\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)} = \Delta\beta(t)\prod_{s=a}^t\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)} + \frac{2\epsilon}{\varphi(t)}$$

$$\Delta\alpha(t) = \Delta\beta(t) + \frac{2\epsilon}{\varphi(t)}\prod_{s=a}^t\frac{\varphi(s)}{1+\varphi(s)}$$

$$\varphi(t)\Delta\beta(t) = \varphi(t)\Delta\alpha(t) - 2\epsilon\prod_{s=a}^t\frac{\varphi(s)}{1+\varphi(s)}$$

from (7) and (9) we get,

$$0 \leq \Delta\alpha(t)\varphi(t) \leq 2\epsilon\prod_{s=a}^t\frac{\varphi(s)}{1+\varphi(s)}, \text{ for any } t \in I, \text{ which proves (4).}$$

Conversely, assume that $y: I \rightarrow R$ is given by (3).

$$y(t)=\epsilon+\alpha(t)\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)} \forall t \in I$$

and a function $\alpha: I \rightarrow R$ satisfies

$$0 \leq \Delta\alpha(t)\varphi(t) \leq 2\epsilon\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)}$$

then from(10),

$$\varphi(t)\Delta y(t)=\alpha(t)\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)}+\varphi(t)\prod_{s=a}^t\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)}\Delta\alpha(t)$$

Using (3) and (4)

$$\varphi(t)\Delta y(t)-y(t)=\varphi(t)\Delta\alpha(t)\prod_{s=a}^t\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)}-\epsilon.$$

By (4) and the last equation, we conclude that

$$|\varphi(t)\Delta z(t)-y(t)| \leq \epsilon. \text{ Which proves the theorem.}$$

3. Hyers – Ulam Stability of difference equation (1)

In the following theorem, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the difference equation (1).

Theorem: 3.1 If either $\varphi(t) > 0$ holds for all $t \in I$, or $1 + \varphi(t) < 0$ holds for all $t \in I$, and if a function $y: I \rightarrow R$ satisfies the inequality (2) then there exists a real number c such that $|y(t)-c\prod_{s=a}^{t-1}\frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)}| \leq \epsilon$ for any $t \in I$. (12)

Proof: First we assume that $\varphi(t) > 0$ holds for all $t \in I$ and a function $y: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the inequality (2) for all $t \in I$. Let $\alpha: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that (3) and (4) hold, using Theorem 2.3

$$y(t) = \epsilon + \alpha(t) \prod_{s=a}^{t-1} \frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)} \text{ and}$$

$$0 \leq \Delta\alpha(t)(\varphi(t)) \leq 2\epsilon \prod_{s=a}^{t-1} \frac{\varphi(s)}{1+\varphi(s)}$$

Define $c = \lim_{t \rightarrow a} \alpha(t)$. Also we use $\prod_{s=a}^{a-1} \frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)} = 1$.

Now we can divide the above inequality by $-(\varphi(t))$ we get,

$$0 \geq -\Delta\alpha(t) \geq \frac{-2\epsilon}{(\varphi(t))} \prod_{s=a}^{t-1} \frac{\varphi(s)}{1+\varphi(s)}$$

Since $1+\varphi(t) > \varphi(t)$

Taking the summation on both sides, we get

$$0 \geq -\sum \Delta\alpha(t) \geq 2\epsilon \sum \frac{-1}{(1+\varphi(t))} \prod_{s=a}^{t-1} \frac{\varphi(s)}{1+\varphi(s)}$$

$$0 \geq -\alpha(t)+c \geq 2\epsilon \left(\prod_{s=a}^{t-1} \frac{\varphi(s)}{1+\varphi(s)} \right)$$

$$-c \geq -\alpha(t) \prod_{s=a}^{t-1} \frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)} + c \prod_{s=a}^{t-1} \frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)} - \epsilon \geq \epsilon$$

$$-\epsilon \leq \alpha(t) \prod_{s=a}^{t-1} \frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)} + \epsilon - c \prod_{s=a}^{t-1} \frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)} \leq \epsilon$$

$$-\epsilon \leq y(t) - c \prod_{s=a}^{t-1} \frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)} \leq \epsilon,$$

$$\left| y(t) - c \prod_{s=a}^{t-1} \frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)} \right| \leq \epsilon \text{ for any } t \in I, \text{ which proves (12).}$$

If we assume that $1 + \varphi(t) < 0$ holds true for all $t \in I$, then the proof is similar to the above procedure, hence we omit it.

4. Remark: Here, we notice that $y(a) \prod_{s=a}^{t-1} \varphi(s)$ is the general solution of the difference equation $\varphi(t)\Delta y(t) = y(t)$, where $\varphi(s) = \frac{1+\varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)}$.

5. References

1. Agarwal RP. Difference Equations and Inequalities, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000.
2. Difference Equations: An Introduction with Applications Walter G. Kelly, Allan C. Peterson, Academic Press, 2001.
3. Elayadi S. An Introduction to Difference Equations, Third Edition, Springer, New York, 2005.
4. Jung SM. Hyers-Ulam Stability of Linear Differential Equations of First Order, Applied mathematics Letters. 2004; 17:1135-1140.
5. Alsina C, Ger R. On some inequalities and stability results related to the exponential function, J. Inequal. Appl. 1998; 2:373-380.
6. Takahasi SE, Miura T, Miyajima S. On the Hyers-Ulam stability of the Banach space- valued differential equation $y'(t) = \lambda y(t)$, Bull. Korean math. Soc. 2002; 39:309-315.