

International Journal of Statistics and Applied Mathematics

ISSN: 2456-1452
 Maths 2017; 2(4): 22-27
 © 2017 Stats & Maths
 www.mathsjournal.com
 Received: 07-05-2017
 Accepted: 08-06-2017

RS Wali

Department of Mathematics,
 Bhandari and Rath College,
 Guledagudd, Karnataka, India

Vijayalaxmi R Patil

Department of Mathematics,
 Rani Channamma University
 Belagavi, Karnataka, India

On $rgw\alpha$ -Homeomorphism in topological spaces

RS Wali and Vijayalaxmi R Patil

Abstract

A bijection $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called regular generalized weakly α -homeomorphism if f and f^{-1} are $rgw\alpha$ -continuous. Also we introduce the new class of maps, namely $rgw\alpha^*$ -homeomorphisms which form a subclass of $rgw\alpha$ -homeomorphisms. The class of $rgw\alpha$ -homeomorphism and $rgw\alpha^*$ -homeomorphism maps are closed under composition of maps. We prove that the set of all $rgw\alpha$ -homeomorphism and $rgw\alpha^*$ -homeomorphisms forms a group under the operation composition of maps.

Keywords: $rgw\alpha$ -closed set, $rgw\alpha$ -open set, $rgw\alpha$ -continuous mapping, $rgw\alpha$ -homeomorphism, $rgw\alpha^*$ -homeomorphism

1. Introduction

The homeomorphism in topological space plays a significant role in the study of topology. First we provide basic requirement for better understanding of $rgw\alpha$ -Homeomorphism in topological space. In this paper, we define new class of homeomorphism called $rgw\alpha$ -Homeomorphism and study the properties of this map and also compare this homeomorphism with well-known homeomorphisms w -homeomorphisms, g -homeomorphisms, $rg\alpha$ -homeomorphisms and rwg -homeomorphism etc. Also we introduce new class of maps $rgw\alpha^*$ -homeomorphisms which form a subclass of $rgw\alpha$ -homeomorphisms. The class of $rgw\alpha$ -homeomorphism and $rgw\alpha^*$ -homeomorphism maps are closed under composition of maps. We prove that the set of all $rgw\alpha$ -homeomorphism and $rgw\alpha^*$ -homeomorphism maps forms a group under the operation composition of maps.

2. Preliminaries

Let us recall the following definition which we shall require later.

Definition 2.1: A subset A of a space (X, τ) is called

- i. Regular open ^[18] if $A = \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$ and regular closed if $A = \text{cl}(\text{int}(A))$.
- ii. Pre-open ^[16] if $A \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$ and pre-closed if $\text{cl}(\text{int}(A)) \subset A$.
- iii. Semi-open ^[9] if $A \subset \text{cl}(\text{int}(A))$ and semiclosed if $\text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) \subset A$.
- iv. α -open ^[13] if $A \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(A)))$ and α -closed if $\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A))) \subset A$.
- v. Semi-pre-open ^[10, 24] if $A \subset \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A)))$ and a semi-preclosed if $\text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(A))) \subset A$.
- vi. Regular α -open ^[4] if there is a regular open set U such that $U \subset A \subset \alpha\text{cl}(U)$.
- vii. Regular semi open ^[8] if there is a regular open set U such that $U \subset A \subset \text{cl}(U)$.

Definition 2.2: A subset A of a space (X, τ) is called

- i. Generalized closed set (briefly, g -closed) ^[22] if $\text{cl}(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and U is open in X .
- ii. Generalized α -closed set (briefly, $g\alpha$ -closed) ^[3] if $\alpha\text{cl}(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and U is α -open in X .
- iii. α -generalized closed set (briefly, ag -closed) ^[2] if $\alpha\text{cl}(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and U is open in X .
- iv. Regular generalized closed set (briefly, rg -closed) ^[14] if $\text{cl}(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and U is regular open in X .

Correspondence

Vijayalaxmi R Patil
 Department of Mathematics,
 Rani Channamma University
 Belagavi, Karnataka, India

- v. Generalized pre α -regular closed set (briefly, gpr-closed) ^[12] if $\text{pcl}(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and U is regular open in X .
- vi. Weakly generalized closed set (briefly, ω g-closed) ^[9, 14] if $\text{clint}(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and U is open in X .
- vii. Weakly closed sets (briefly, ω -closed) ^[7, 11] if $\text{cl}(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and U is semi open in X .
- viii. Regular weakly generalized closed set (briefly, $\text{r}\omega$ g-closed) ^[1] if $\text{cl}(\text{int}(A)) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and U is regular open in X .
- ix. Regular generalized α -closed set (briefly, $\text{rg}\alpha$ -closed) ^[4] if $\text{acl}(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and U is regular α - open in X .
- x. Regular ω -closed (briefly, $\text{r}\omega$ -closed) ^[11] if $\text{cl}(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and U is regular semi open in X .
- xi. Regular generalized weakly α -closed set (briefly $\text{rgw}\alpha$ -closed) ^[19] if $\text{r}\alpha\text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ & U is weak α -open set in X .

Definitions 2.3: A map $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be

- i. continuous ^[15] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is closed in X , for every closed set V in Y .
- ii. ω -continuous ^[1] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is ω -closed in X , for every closed set V in Y .
- iii. α -continuous ^[11] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is α -closed in X , for every closed set V in Y .
- iv. $\text{g}\alpha$ -continuous ^[3] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is $\text{g}\alpha$ -closed in X , for every closed set V in Y .
- v. $\text{rg}\alpha$ -continuous ^[4] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is $\text{rg}\alpha$ -closed in X , for every closed set V in Y .
- vi. swg -continuous ^[11] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is swg -closed in X , for every closed set V in Y .
- vii. rwg -continuous ^[11] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is rwg -closed in X , for every closed set V in Y .
- viii. $\text{rgw}\alpha$ -continuous ^[20] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is $\text{rgw}\alpha$ -closed in X , for every closed set V in Y .

Definitions 2.4: A map $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be

- i. irresolute ^[21] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is semi-open in X , for every semi-open set V in Y .
- ii. ω -irresolute ^[13] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is ω -closed in X , for every ω -closed set V in Y .
- iii. $\text{rg}\alpha$ -irresolute ^[5] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is $\text{rg}\alpha$ -closed in X , for every $\text{rg}\alpha$ -closed set V in Y .
- iv. $\text{rgw}\alpha$ -irresolute ^[20] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is $\text{rgw}\alpha$ -closed in X , for every $\text{rgw}\alpha$ -closed set V in Y .

Definition 2.5: A bijective function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called

- (i) generalized homeomorphism (g-homeomorphism) ^[9] if both f and f^{-1} are g-continuous,
- (ii) w-homeomorphism ^[13] if both f and f^{-1} are w-continuous.
- (iii) w*-homeomorphism ^[13] if both f and f^{-1} are w- irresolute,
- (iv) gc-homeomorphism ^[9] if both f and f^{-1} are gc-irresolute,
- (v) rwg -homeomorphism ^[16] if both f and f^{-1} are rwg -continuous,
- (vi) $\text{rg}\alpha$ -homeomorphism ^[16] if both f and f^{-1} are $\text{rg}\alpha$ - continuous.
- (vii) $\text{wgr}\alpha$ -homeomorphism ^[23] if both f and f^{-1} are $\text{wgr}\alpha$ - continuous

3. $\text{rgw}\alpha$ -homeomorphisms in Topological Spaces

We introduce the following definition

Definition 3.1: A bijection $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called regular generalized weakly α -homeomorphism (briefly, $\text{rgw}\alpha$ -homeomorphism) if f and f^{-1} are $\text{rgw}\alpha$ -continuous.

The family of all homeomorphism and $\text{rgw}\alpha$ -homeomorphism of a topological space

(X, τ_1) onto itself is denoted by $\text{h}(X, \tau)$ and $\text{rgw}\alpha\text{-h}(X, \tau)$ respectively.

Example 3.2: Let $X=Y=\{a, b, c, d, e\}$, $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{e\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, e\}, \{d, e\}, \{a, d, e\}$ and $\sigma = \{Y, \phi, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{e\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, e\}, \{d, e\}, \{a, d, e\}$. Let map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $f(a)=c, f(b)=a, f(c)=b, f(d)=d, f(e)=e$, then f bijection, $\text{rgw}\alpha$ -continuous and f^{-1} is $\text{rgw}\alpha$ - continuous. Therefore f is $\text{rgw}\alpha$ -homeomorphism.

Theorem 3.3: Every homeomorphism is a $\text{rgw}\alpha$ -homeomorphism, but not conversely.

Proof: Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a homeomorphism. Then f and f^{-1} are continuous and f is bijection. As every continuous function is $\text{rgw}\alpha$ -continuous, we have f and f^{-1} are $\text{rgw}\alpha$ -continuous. Therefore f is $\text{rgw}\alpha$ -homeomorphism.

The converse of the above Theorem need not be true, as seen from the following example.

Example 3.4: Let $X=Y=\{a, b, c, d, e\}$, $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{e\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, e\}, \{d, e\}, \{a, d, e\}$ and $\sigma = \{Y, \phi, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{e\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, e\}, \{d, e\}, \{a, d, e\}$. Let map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $f(a)=c, f(b)=a, f(c)=b, f(d)=d, f(e)=e$, then f is bijection, $\text{rgw}\alpha$ -continuous and f^{-1} is $\text{rgw}\alpha$ - continuous. Therefore f is $\text{rgw}\alpha$ -homeomorphism but it is not homeomorphism as closed set $F = \{a, c, e\}$ in Y , then $f^{-1}(F) = \{a, b, e\}$ in X which is not closed set in X .

Theorem 3.5: For any topological space (X, τ) , $\text{h}(X, \tau) \subseteq \text{rgw}\alpha\text{-h}(X, \tau)$.

Proof: Let us consider $f \in \text{h}(X, \tau)$, then by the definition of homeomorphism f and f^{-1} are continuous. Since every continuous function is $\text{rgw}\alpha$ -continuous so f and f^{-1} are $\text{rgw}\alpha$ -continuous map. Now by the definition of $\text{rgw}\alpha$ -homeomorphism we can say that $f \in \text{rgw}\alpha\text{-h}(X, \tau)$.

Theorem 3.6: A bijection $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be $\text{rgw}\alpha$ -homeomorphism and (X, τ) and (Y, σ) are $\tau_{\text{rgw}\alpha}$ - space, then f is homeomorphism.

Proof: It follows from fact that in $\tau_{\text{rgw}\alpha}$ - space, every $\text{rgw}\alpha$ -closed set is closed.

Theorem 3.7: Every α -homeomorphism is a $\text{rgw}\alpha$ -homeomorphism but not conversely.

Proof: Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a α -homeomorphism. Then f and f^{-1} are α -continuous and f is bijection. As every α -continuous function is $\text{rgw}\alpha$ -continuous, we have f and f^{-1} is $\text{rgw}\alpha$ -continuous. Therefore f is $\text{rgw}\alpha$ -homeomorphism.

The converse of the above Theorem is not true in general as seen from the following example.

Example 3.8: Consider $X=Y=\{a, b, c, d, e\}$, $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{e\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, e\}, \{d, e\}, \{a, d, e\}$ and $\sigma = \{Y, \phi, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{e\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, e\}, \{d, e\}, \{a, d, e\}$. Let map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $f(a)=c, f(b)=a, f(c)=b, f(d)=d, f(e)=e$, then f is $\text{rgw}\alpha$ - homeomorphism but not α -homeomorphism, as closed set $F = \{a, c, e\}$ in Y , then $f^{-1}(F) = \{a, b, e\}$ in X which is not α -closed set in X .

Theorem 3.9: Every w - homeomorphism (resp. r - homeomorphism, β - homeomorphism, rw - homeomorphism, rs - homeomorphism, $r\alpha$ - homeomorphism, $w\alpha$ - homeomorphism, $g\alpha$ - homeomorphism, $rg\alpha$ - homeomorphism) is a $rgw\alpha$ -homomorphism but not conversely.

Proof: Proof similar to the theorem 3.7.

Example 3.10: Let $X=Y=\{a,b,c,d,e\}$, $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{e\}, \{a,d\}, \{a,e\}, \{d,e\}, \{a,d,e\}\}$ and $\sigma = \{Y, \phi, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{e\}, \{a,d\}, \{a,e\}, \{d,e\}, \{a,d,e\}\}$. Let map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $f(a)=c, f(b)=a, f(c)=b, f(d)=d, f(e)=e$, then f is $rgw\alpha$ - homeomorphism but not w - homeomorphism r - homeomorphism, β - homeomorphism, rw - homeomorphism, rs - homeomorphism, $r\alpha$ - homeomorphism, $w\alpha$ - homeomorphism, $g\alpha$ - homeomorphism, $rg\alpha$ - homeomorphism as closed set $F= \{b,c,d,e\}$ in Y , then $f^{-1}(F)=\{a,c,d,e\}$ in X which is $rgw\alpha$ -closed but not r -closed, w -closed, β -closed, rs -closed and $r\alpha$ -closed set in X . and closed set $F=\{b,c,d\}$ in Y $f^{-1}(F) = \{a,b,d\}$ which is not rw -closed, $w\alpha$ -closed, $g\alpha$ - closed, $rg\alpha$ - closed set in X .

Theorem 3.11: Every $rgw\alpha$ -homeomorphism is a $g\beta$ -homeomorphism but not conversely.

Proof: Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be $rgw\alpha$ -homeomorphism. Then f and f^{-1} are $rgw\alpha$ -continuous and f is bijection. Since every $rgw\alpha$ -continuous function is $g\beta$ -continuous, we have f and f^{-1} are $g\beta$ -continuous. Therefore f is $g\beta$ -homeomorphism. The converse of the above Theorem is not true in general as seen from the following example.

Example 3.12: Consider $X=Y= \{a,b,c\}$, $\tau=\{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b,c\}\}$ and $\sigma = \{Y, \phi, \{a\}\}$. Let map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $f(a)=b, f(b)=a, f(c)=c$, then f is $g\beta$ - homeomorphism but not $rgw\alpha$ -homeomorphism as a closed set $F=\{b,c\}$ in Y , $f^{-1}(F)= f^{-1}\{b,c\} = \{a,c\}$ which is not $rgw\alpha$ -closed set.

Remark 3.13: $rgw\alpha$ -homeomorphism and g -homeomorphism are independent as seen from the following example.

Example 3.14: Let $X=Y= \{a,b,c\}$, $\tau=\{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b,c\}\}$ and $\sigma = \{Y, \phi, \{a\}\}$. Let map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $f(a)=b, f(b)=a, f(c)=c$, then f is g - homeomorphism but not $rgw\alpha$ -homeomorphism, as a closed set $F=\{b,c\}$ in Y , $f^{-1}(F)= f^{-1}\{b,c\} = \{a,c\}$ is not $rgw\alpha$ -closed set.

Example 3.15: Let $X= \{a,b,c,d\}$ and $Y=\{a,b,c\}$, $\tau=\{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}, \{a,b,c\}\}$ and $\sigma = \{Y, \phi, \{a\}, \{b,c\}\}$. Let map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $f(a)=b, f(b)=a, f(c)=a, f(d)=c$ then f is $rgw\alpha$ - homeomorphism not g -continuous, as a closed set $F=\{a\}$ closed set in Y $f^{-1}(F)=\{b\}$ which is not g -closed set in X .

Remark 3.16: $rgw\alpha$ -homeomorphism and αg -homeomorphism (resp. wg - homeomorphism, rg -homeomorphism, gr - homeomorphism, gpr - homeomorphism rwg - homeomorphism and rgw - homeomorphism) are independent as seen from the following example.

Example 3.17: Let $X=Y= \{a,b,c\}$, $\tau=\{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b,c\}\}$ and $\sigma = \{Y, \phi, \{a\}\}$. Let map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $f(a)=b, f(b)=a, f(c)=c$, then f is αg -homeomorphism, wg - homeomorphism, rg - homeomorphism, gr - homeomorphism, gpr -homeomorphism rwg - homeomorphism and rgw -homeomorphism but not $rgw\alpha$ -homeomorphism as a closed

set $F=\{b,c\}$ in Y $f^{-1}(F)= f^{-1}\{b,c\} = \{a,c\}$ is not $rgw\alpha$ -closed set.

Example 3.18: Let $X= \{a,b,c,d\}$ and $Y= \{a,b,c\}$, $\tau=\{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}, \{a,b,c\}\}$ and $\sigma = \{Y, \phi, \{a\}, \{b,c\}\}$. Let map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $f(a)=b, f(b)=a, f(c)=a, f(d)=c$ then f is $rgw\alpha$ - homeomorphism but not αg -homeomorphism, wg -homeomorphism, rg - homeomorphism, gr - homeomorphism, gpr - homeomorphism rwg - homeomorphism and rgw -homeomorphism as a closed set $F=\{a\}$ closed set in Y $f^{-1}(F)=\{b\}$ which is not αg -closed, wg -closed, rg -closed, gr -closed, gpr -closed, rgw -closed, rwg -closed set.

Theorem 3.19: Let the function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a one-one, onto, $rgw\alpha$ -continuous map. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) The function f is a $rgw\alpha$ -open map.
- (2) The function f is a $rgw\alpha$ -homeomorphism.
- (3) The function f is a $rgw\alpha$ -closed map.

Proof: it follows directly from definitions.

Theorem 3.20: Let the function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ and $g: (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \varphi)$ are $rgw\alpha$ -homeomorphism, then composition of these two function as $gof: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \varphi)$ is also $rgw\alpha$ -homeomorphism.

Proof: Let G be $rgw\alpha$ -open in Z . Now $(gof)^{-1}(G) = f^{-1}(g^{-1}(G)) = f^{-1}(G)$, where $V = g^{-1}(G)$. By given, if G is rgw -open in Z and g is rgw -homeomorphism, then $g^{-1}(G) = H$ is $rgw\alpha$ -open in Y . Again, as given $g^{-1}(G)$ is open in Y and f is $rgw\alpha$ -homeomorphism, then $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(G))$ is $rgw\alpha$ -open in X . Therefore, gof is continuous. Similarly we can show that $(gof)^{-1}$ is also continuous. Hence, by the definition gof is $rgw\alpha$ -homeomorphism.

By the above result we can proof that

Theorem 3.21: The $rgw\alpha$ -homeomorphism is an equivalence relation in the family of all topological spaces.

Proof: It follows from above theorem.

Theorem 3.22: Let (X, τ) be a topological space, then the collection $rgw\alpha$ - $h(X, \tau)$ forms a group under the composition of functions.

Proof: Let a binary operation \circ' : $rgw\alpha$ - $h(X, \tau) \times rgw\alpha$ - $h(X, \tau) \rightarrow rgw\alpha$ - $h(X, \tau)$ defined by $f \circ' g = gof$ for all $f, g \in rgw\alpha$ - $h(X, \tau)$, where $gof: X \rightarrow X$ is composite maps of f and g such that $(gof)(x) = g(f(x))$ for all x in X . By the theorem 3.8, $gof \in rgw\alpha$ - $h(X, \tau)$. The following properties hold by the collection $rgw\alpha$ - $h(X, \tau)$.

(1) Associativity: Since the composition of two $rgw\alpha$ -homeomorphism is again $rgw\alpha$ -homeomorphism, with this easily we can prove it is associative.

$$(f \circ' g) \circ' h = f \circ' (g \circ' h) \text{ for all } f, g, h \in rgw\alpha\text{-}h(X, \tau)$$

(2) Existence of identity: Since the identity map $i_X: X \rightarrow X$ is also $rgw\alpha$ -homeomorphism, then easily we can say that for all element $f \in rgw\alpha$ - $h(X, \tau)$, there exists an element i_X such that

$$f \circ' i_X = i_X \circ' f = f$$

(3) Existence of inverse: We know that the composition of maps is associative and the identity map $i_X: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ belonging to $rgw\alpha$ - $h(X, \tau)$ servers as the identity element. If $f \in rgw\alpha$ - $h(X, \tau)$, then $f^{-1} \in rgw\alpha$ - $h(X, \tau)$ such that $f \circ f^{-1} =$

$f^{-1} \circ f = i$ and so inverse exists for each element of $rgw\alpha\text{-}h(X, \tau)$.

Therefore, $(rgw\alpha\text{-}h(X, \tau), \circ)$ is a group under the operation of composition of maps.

Theorem 3.23: If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is $rgw\alpha\text{-}h$ -homeomorphism, then f induces an isomorphism between $rgw\alpha\text{-}h(X, \tau)$ and $rgw\alpha\text{-}h(Y, \sigma)$ i.e. $rgw\alpha\text{-}h(X, \tau) \cong rgw\alpha\text{-}h(Y, \sigma)$.

Proof: Using the map f , we define a map $\psi_f: rgw\alpha\text{-}h(X, \tau) \rightarrow rgw\alpha\text{-}h(Y, \sigma)$ by $\psi_f(h) = f \circ h \circ f^{-1}$ for every $h \in rgw\alpha\text{-}h(X, \tau)$. Then ψ_f is bijection. Further, for all $h_1, h_2 \in \psi_f, \psi_f(h_1 \circ h_2) = f \circ (h_1 \circ h_2) \circ f^{-1} = (f \circ h_1 \circ f^{-1}) \circ (f \circ h_2 \circ f^{-1}) = \psi_f(h_1) \circ \psi_f(h_2)$. Therefore ψ_f is a homeomorphism and so it is an isomorphism induced by f .

Definition 3.24: A bijection $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be $rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h$ -homeomorphism if both f and f^{-1} are $rgw\alpha\text{-}i$ -irresolute. We say that spaces (X, τ) and (Y, σ) are $rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h$ -homeomorphic if there exists a $rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h$ -homeomorphism from (X, τ) onto (Y, σ) .

We denote the family of all $rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h$ -homeomorphism of a topological space (X, τ) onto itself by $rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h(X, \tau)$.

Theorem 3.25: Every $rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h$ -homeomorphism is a $rgw\alpha\text{-}h$ -homeomorphism but not conversely.

Proof: Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an $rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h$ -homeomorphism. Then f and f^{-1} are $rgw\alpha\text{-}i$ -irresolute and f is bijection. By Theorem 5.3 in [20], f and f^{-1} are $rgw\alpha\text{-}c$ -continuous. Therefore f is $rgw\alpha\text{-}h$ -homeomorphism.

The converse of the above Theorem is not true in general as seen from the following example.

Example 3.26: Consider $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}, Y = \{a, b, c, d\}, \tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{e\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, e\}, \{d, e\}, \{a, d, e\}\}, \sigma = \{Y, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. Let map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ defined by, $f(a)=b, f(b)=c, f(c)=d, f(d)=a, f(e)=d$ then f is $rgw\alpha\text{-}h$ -homeomorphism but f is not $rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h$ -homeomorphism, as $rgw\alpha\text{-}c$ -closed set $F = \{a, b\}$ in Y , then $f^{-1}(F) = \{a, d\}$ in X , which is not $rgw\alpha\text{-}c$ -closed set in X .

Theorem 3.27: Every $rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h$ -homeomorphism is $g\beta\text{-}h$ -homeomorphism but not conversely.

Proof: Proof follows from Theorems 3.24 and 3.11.

Example 3.28: Consider $X=Y = \{a, b, c\}, \tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$ and $\sigma = \{Y, \phi, \{a\}\}$. Let map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $f(a)=b, f(b)=a, f(c)=c$, then f is $g\beta\text{-}h$ -homeomorphism but not $rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h$ -homeomorphism as a $rgw\alpha\text{-}c$ -closed set $F = \{b, c\}$ in $Y, f^{-1}(F) = f^{-1}\{b, c\} = \{a, c\}$ which is not $rgw\alpha\text{-}c$ -closed set.

Remark 3.29: $rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h$ -homeomorphism and $w^*\text{-}h$ -homeomorphism are independent as seen from the following example.

Example 3.30: Let $X=Y = \{a, b, c, d, e\}, \tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{e\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, e\}, \{d, e\}, \{a, d, e\}\}$ and $\sigma = \{Y, \phi, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{e\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, e\}, \{d, e\}, \{a, d, e\}\}$. Let map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $f(a)=f(b)=b, f(c)=c, f(d)=d, f(e)=e$, then f is $rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h$ -homeomorphism but not $w^*\text{-}h$ -homeomorphism as $w\text{-}c$ -closed set $F = \{b, c, d, e\}$ in Y , then $f^{-1}(F) = \{a, c, d, e\}$ in X which is $rgw\alpha\text{-}c$ -closed but not $w\text{-}c$ -closed set in X .

Example 3.31: Let $X=Y = \{a, b, c\}, \tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$ and $\sigma = \{Y, \phi, \{a\}\}$. Let map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $f(a)=b, f(b)=a, f(c)=c$, then f is $w^*\text{-}h$ -homeomorphism but not $rgw\alpha\text{-}h$ -homeomorphism, as a closed set $F = \{b, c\}$ in $Y, f^{-1}(F) = f^{-1}\{b, c\} = \{a, c\}$ is not $rgw\alpha\text{-}c$ -closed set.

homeomorphism, as a closed set $F = \{b, c\}$ in $Y, f^{-1}(F) = f^{-1}\{b, c\} = \{a, c\}$ is not $rgw\alpha\text{-}c$ -closed set.

Theorem 3.32: Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ and $g: (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ are $rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h$ -homeomorphisms, then their composition $g \circ f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is also $rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h$ -homeomorphism.

Proof: Let U be a $rgw\alpha\text{-}o$ -open set in (Z, η) . Since g is $rgw\alpha\text{-}i$ -irresolute, $g^{-1}(U)$ is $rgw\alpha\text{-}o$ -open in (Y, σ) . Since f is $rgw\alpha\text{-}i$ -irresolute, $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(U)) = (g \circ f)^{-1}(U)$ is $rgw\alpha\text{-}o$ -open set in (X, τ) . Therefore $g \circ f$ is $rgw\alpha\text{-}i$ -irresolute. Also for a $rgw\alpha\text{-}o$ -open set G in (X, τ) , we have $(g \circ f)(G) = g(f(G)) = g(W)$, where $W = f(G)$. By hypothesis, $f(G)$ is $rgw\alpha\text{-}o$ -open in (Y, σ) and so again by hypothesis, $g(f(G))$ is a $rgw\alpha\text{-}o$ -open set in (Z, η) . That is $(g \circ f)(G)$ is a $rgw\alpha\text{-}o$ -open set in (Z, η) and therefore $(g \circ f)^{-1}$ is $rgw\alpha\text{-}i$ -irresolute. Also $g \circ f$ is a bijection. Hence $g \circ f$ is $rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h$ -homeomorphism.

Theorem 3.33: The set $rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h(X, \tau)$ is a group under the composition of maps.

Proof: Define a binary operation $\psi: rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h(X, \tau) \times rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h(X, \tau) \rightarrow rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h(X, \tau)$ by $f * g = g \circ f$ for all $f, g \in rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h(X, \tau)$ and \circ is the usual operation of composition of maps. Then by Theorem 3.7, $g \circ f \in rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h(X, \tau)$. We know that the composition of maps is associative and the identity map $I: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ belonging to $rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h(X, \tau)$ serves as the identity element.

If $f \in rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h(X, \tau)$, then $f^{-1} \in rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h(X, \tau)$ such that $f \circ f^{-1} = f^{-1} \circ f = I$ and so inverse exists for each element of $rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h(X, \tau)$. Therefore $(rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h(X, \tau), \circ)$ is a group under the operation of composition of maps.

Theorem 3.34: Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a $rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h$ -homeomorphism. Then f induces an isomorphism from the group $rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h(X, \tau)$ onto the group $rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h(Y, \sigma)$.

Proof: Using the map f , we define a map $\Psi_f: rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h(X, \tau) \rightarrow rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h(Y, \sigma)$ by $\Psi_f(h) = f \circ h \circ f^{-1}$ for every $h \in rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h(X, \tau)$. Then Ψ_f is a bijection. Further, for all $h_1, h_2 \in rgw\alpha^*\text{-}h(X, \tau), \Psi_f(h_1 \circ h_2) = f \circ (h_1 \circ h_2) \circ f^{-1} = (f \circ h_1 \circ f^{-1}) \circ (f \circ h_2 \circ f^{-1}) = \Psi_f(h_1) \circ \Psi_f(h_2)$. Therefore Ψ_f is a homeomorphism and so it is an isomorphism induced by f .

Theorem 3.35: If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is homeomorphism, then there exists isomorphism between $rgw\alpha\text{-}h(X, \tau)$ and $rgw\alpha\text{-}h(Y, \sigma)$ i.e. $rgw\alpha\text{-}h(X, \tau) \cong rgw\alpha\text{-}h(Y, \sigma)$.

Proof: Using the map f , we define a map $\psi_f: rgw\alpha\text{-}h(X, \tau) \rightarrow rgw\alpha\text{-}h(Y, \sigma)$ by $\psi_f(h) = f \circ h \circ f^{-1}$ for every $h \in rgw\alpha\text{-}h(X, \tau)$. Then ψ_f is bijection. Further, for all $h_1, h_2 \in \psi_f, \psi_f(h_1 \circ h_2) = f \circ (h_1 \circ h_2) \circ f^{-1} = (f \circ h_1 \circ f^{-1}) \circ (f \circ h_2 \circ f^{-1}) = \psi_f(h_1) \circ \psi_f(h_2)$. Therefore ψ_f is a homeomorphism and so it is an isomorphism induced by f .

Definition 3.36: A function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be **contra $rgw\alpha\text{-}i$ -irresolute** if $f^{-1}(V)$ is $rgw\alpha\text{-}c$ -closed in (X, τ) for every $rgw\alpha\text{-}o$ -open set V of (Y, σ) .

Lemma 3.37: Let two function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ and $g: (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \varphi)$ defined on topological spaces (X, τ) and (Y, σ) respectively, then

- (1) If functions f and g are contra $rgw\alpha\text{-}i$ -irresolute, then the composition $g \circ f$ is also $rgw\alpha\text{-}i$ -irresolute.
- (2) If function f is $rgw\alpha\text{-}i$ -irresolute (resp. contra $rgw\alpha\text{-}i$ -irresolute) and g are contra $rgw\alpha\text{-}i$ -irresolute (resp. $rgw\alpha\text{-}i$ -irresolute), then the composition function $g \circ f$ is contra $rgw\alpha\text{-}i$ -irresolute.

Definition 3.38: A bijection $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called contra regular generalized weakly α -homeomorphism (briefly, contra $rgw\alpha$ -homeomorphism) if f is contra $rgw\alpha$ -irresolute and f^{-1} are $rgw\alpha$ -irresolute.

The family of all contra $rgw\alpha$ -homeomorphism of a topological space (X, τ) onto itself is denoted by $con-rgw\alpha-h(X, \tau)$. i. e.

$Con-rgw\alpha-h(X, \tau) = \{f: f(X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \tau) \text{ is a contra } rgw\alpha\text{-irresolute bijection and } f^{-1} \text{ is } rgw\alpha\text{-irresolute}\}$

For a topological space (X, τ) , we construct alternative groups, say $rgw\alpha-h(X, \tau) \cup con-rgw\alpha-h(X, \tau)$.

Theorem 3.39: If (X, τ) be a topological space, then union of two collections, $rgw\alpha-h(X, \tau) \cup con-rgw\alpha-h(X, \tau)$, forms a group under the composition of functions.

Proof: Let us $B_X = rgw\alpha-h(X, \tau) \cup con-rgw\alpha-h(X, \tau)$. A binary operation $w_X: B_X \times B_X \rightarrow B_X$ is well defined by $w_X(a, b) = boa$, where $boa: X \rightarrow X$ is the composite function of the functions a and b . Indeed, let $(a, b) \in B_X$; if $a \in rgw\alpha-h(X, \tau)$ and $b \in con-rgw\alpha-h(X, \tau)$, then $boa: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ a contra $rgw\alpha$ -irresolute bijection and $(boa)^{-1}$ is also contra $rgw\alpha$ -irresolute and so $w_X(a, b) = boa \in rgw\alpha-h(X, \tau) \subset B_X$, if $a \in rgw\alpha-h(X, \tau)$ and $b \in rgw\alpha-h(X, \tau)$ then $boa: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ is a $rgw\alpha$ -irresolute bijection and so $w_X(a, b) = boa \in rgw\alpha-h(X, \tau) \subseteq B_X$, if $a \in con-rgw\alpha-h(X, \tau)$ and $b \in con-rgw\alpha-h(X, \tau)$, then $boa: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ is a $rgw\alpha$ -irresolute bijection and $(boa)^{-1}$ is also $rgw\alpha$ -irresolute and so $w_X(a, b) = boa \in rgw\alpha-h(X, \tau) \subset B_X$ is a $a \in con-rgw\alpha-h(X, \tau)$ and $b \in rgw\alpha-h(X, \tau)$ then $boa: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ is a contra $rgw\alpha$ -irresolute bijection and $(boa)^{-1}$ is also $rgw\alpha$ -irresolute and so $w_X(a, b) = boa \in con-rgw\alpha-h(X, \tau) \subseteq B_X$. By the similar arguments, it is claimed that the binary operation $w_X: B_X \times B_X \rightarrow B_X$ satisfies the axiom of group; for the identity element e of B_X , $e = 1_X: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$. Thus the pair (B_X, w_X) forms a group under the composition of functions, i.e., $rgw\alpha-h(X, \tau) \cup con-rgw\alpha-h(X, \tau)$ is a group.

Theorem 3.40: The homeomorphism group $h(X, \tau)$ is a subgroup of $rgw\alpha-h(X, \tau) \cup con-rgw\alpha-h(X, \tau)$.

Proof: By Theorem 3.11, it can be show that $h(X, \tau)$ is a subgroup of $rgw-h(X, \tau) \cup con-rgw-h(X, \tau)$.

Theorem 3.41: Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is $rgw\alpha$ -continuous and collection of functions f is defined as $G_g(f) = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : y = f(x)\}$, where $X \times Y$ is product topological space and $G_g(f)$ is called $rgw\alpha$ -graph f . Then the following properties are satisfied:

- (1) $G_g(f)$, as a subspace of $X \times Y$, $rgw\alpha$ -homeomorphism to X .
- (2) If Y is $rgw\alpha$ -Housdroff space, then $G_g(f)$ is $rgw\alpha$ -closed in $X \times Y$.

Proof:

(1) Consider the function $g: X \rightarrow G_g(f)$ is defined by $g(x) = (x, f(x))$ for each $x \in X$ is $rgw\alpha$ -continuous and g^{-1} is also $rgw\alpha$ -continuous. It is obvious that g is an injective function. Let P and Q are an arbitrary neighbourhood $x \in X$ and $(x, f(x))$ in $G_g(f)$ respectively. So, there exists two $rgw\alpha$ -open sets U and V in X and Y respectively containing x and $f(x)$ for which $(U \times V) \cap G_g(f) \subset Q$ and $U \subset P$ and $f(U) \subset V$. Let $N = (U \times V) \cap G_g(f)$, then $(x, f(x)) \in N$ and $x \in g^{-1}(N) \subset U \subset P$. This shows that g^{-1} is $rgw\alpha$ -continuous. Therefore, $g(U) \subset (U \times V) \cap G_g(f) \subset Q$. Hence g is $rgw\alpha$ -continuous which means that g is a $rgw\alpha$ -homeomorphism.

(2) Let $(x, y) \notin G_g(f)$. Then $y_1 = f(x) = y$. By hypothesis, there exist disjoint $rgw\alpha$ -open sets V_1 and V in Y

such that $y_1 \in V_1, y \in V$. Since f is $rgw\alpha$ -continuous, there exists an open set U in X containing x such that $f(U) \subset V_1$. Then $g(U) \subset U \times V_1$. It follows from this and the fact that $V_1 \cap V = \emptyset$ that $(U \times V) \cap G_g(f) = \emptyset$.

4. Conclusion

In this paper we have introduced and studied the properties of $rgw\alpha$ -Homeomorphism and $rgw\alpha^*$ -Homeomorphisms. Our future extension is to study Locally $rgw\alpha$ -Homeomorphism and Locally $rgw\alpha^*$ - Homeomorphism in Topological Spaces.

5. Acknowledgement

The Authors would like to thank the referees for useful comments and suggestions.

6. References

1. Pushpalatha A. Studies On Generalizations of Mappings in Topological Spaces, Ph.D. Thesis Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, 2000.
2. Mashhour AS, Hasanein IA, EI-Deeb SN. α -continuous and α -open mappings, Acta. Math. Hung, 1983; 41:213-218.
3. Mashhour AS, Abd ME. EI-Monsef, EI-Deeb SN. On Pre- continuous Mappings and Weak Pre-continuous Mappings, Proc. Math. Phy. Soc.
4. Vadivel A, Vairamanickam K. $rg\alpha$ -closed Sets and $rg\alpha$ -open Sets in Topological Spaces. Int. Journal of Math. Analysis. 2009; 3(37):1803-1819.
5. Vadivel A, Vairamanickam K. $rg\alpha$ -continuous Maps and Some of Their Properties, Acta Ciencia Indica, (in press).
6. Vadivel A, Vairamanickam K. $rg\alpha$ -Homeomorphisms in Topological Spaces. Int. Journal of Math. Analysis. 2010; 4(18):881-890.
7. Andrijevic D. Semi-preopen Sets, Mat. Vesnik, 1986; 38:24-32.
8. Cameron DE. Properties of S-closed Spaces, Proc. Amer Math. Soc. 1978; 72:581-586.
9. Maki H, Sundaram P, Balachandran K. On Generalized Homeomorphisms in Topological Spaces, Bull. Fukuoka Univ. Ed, 1991; III(40):13-21.
10. Arockiarani I. Studies On Generalizations of Generalized Closed Sets and Maps in Topological Spaces Ph.D Thesis, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, 1997.
11. Balachandran K, Sundram P, Maki H. On Generalized Continuous Maps In Topological Spaces, Mem. I ac Sci. Kochi Univ. Math. 1991; 12:5-13.
12. Singal MK, Singal AR. Almost Continuous Mappings, Yokohama Math. J. 1968; 16:63-73.
13. Sheik John M. A Study On Generalizations of Closed Sets On Continuous Maps in Topological and Bitopological Spaces, Ph.D, Thesis Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, 2002.
14. Sheik John M. On w-closed Sets in Topology, Acta Ciencia Indica, 2000; 4:389-392.
15. Levine N. Generalized Closed Sets in Topology, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, 1970, 19.
16. Nagaveni N. Studies On Generalizations of Homeomorphisms in Topological spaces Ph.D., Thesis, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, 1999.
17. Njastad O. On Some Classes of Nearly Open Sets, Pacific J. Math. 1965; 15:961-970.
18. Sundaram P. Studies on Generalizations of Continuous Maps in Topological Spaces, Ph.D, Thesis, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, 1991.

19. Wali RS, Vijayalaxmi R. Patil On $rgw\alpha$ -closed sets in Topological Spaces. *Jl. of comp. and math. sci.* 2017; 8(3):62-70.
20. Wali RS, Vijayalaxmi R. Patil On $rgw\alpha$ -continuous and $rgw\alpha$ -irresolute Maps in Topological Spaces, processing.
21. Crossley SG, Hildebrand SK. Semi-topological Properties, *Fund. Math.* 1972; 74:233-254.
22. Malghan SR. Generalized Closed Maps, *J. Karnat Univ. Sci.* 1982; 27:82-88. *Egypt.* 1982; 53:47-53.
23. Sakthivel S, Uma N. On $wgr\alpha$ -Homeomorphism in topological Spaces. *Int. Jl. Math. Trend and tech.* 2014, 5.
24. Gnanambal Y. Studies On Generalized Pre-regular Closed Sets and Generalization of Locally Closed Sets, Ph. D, Thesis, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, 1998.