

International Journal of Statistics and Applied Mathematics

ISSN: 2456-1452
 Maths 2017; 2(6): 106-110
 © 2017 Stats & Maths
 www.mathsjournal.com
 Received: 13-09-2017
 Accepted: 15-10-2017

Manita Bhagtani
 Department of Mathematics,
 S.S. Jain Subodh PG
 Autonomous College,
 Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

On certain subclasses of analytic multivalent functions involving generalized integral operator

Manita Bhagtani

Abstract

In this paper several new subclasses of analytic functions which are defined by means of a generalized integral operator have been introduced. Next, inclusion properties for these subclasses are established. Many interesting applications are also discussed.

Keywords: analytic functions, univalent and multivalent functions, starlike functions, convex functions, differential subordination, hadamard product or convolution

Introduction

Let A_p denote the class of functions $f(z)$ normalized by

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (p \in \mathbf{N}) \quad \dots(1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $U = \{z: z \in \mathbf{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. Also let $S_p^*(\alpha)$ and $K_p(\alpha)$ denote, respectively, the subclasses of A_p consisting of p -valent functions which are *starlike* and *convex* of order α in U with $0 \leq \alpha < p$. In particular $S_p^*(0) = S_p^*$ and $K_p(0) = K_p$ are the well-known subclasses of p -valent *starlike* and p -valent *convex* functions in U , respectively. Given two functions f and g , which are analytic in U with $f(0) = g(0)$, the function f is said to be *subordinate* to g in U if there exists a function w , analytic in U , such that $w(0) = 0, |w(z)| < 1 (z \in U)$, and $f(z) = g(w(z)) (z \in U)$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 26 A 33, Secondary 30 C 45.

We denote this subordination by

$$f(z) < g(z) \text{ in } U$$

We also observe that

$$f(z) < g(z) \text{ in } U$$

iff $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(U) \subset g(U)$

whenever g is *univalent* in U .

Let M be the class of analytic functions $\phi(z)$ in U normalized by $\phi(0) = 1$, and let H be the subclass of M consisting of those functions ϕ which are univalent in U and for which $\phi(U)$ is convex and $\operatorname{Re}\{\phi(z)\} > 0 (z \in U)$.

We define the following subclasses $S_p^*(\phi)$ and $k_p(\phi)$ for $\phi \in H$ by

$$S_p^*(\phi) = \left\{ f : f \in A_p \text{ and } \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} < p\phi(z) \text{ in } U \right\}$$

$$k_p(\phi) = \left\{ f : f \in A_p \text{ and } 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} < p\phi(z) \text{ in } U \right\},$$

Correspondence
Manita Bhagtani
 Department of Mathematics,
 S.S. Jain Subodh PG
 Autonomous College,
 Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

Obviously

1. $S_p^* \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) = S_p^*$,
2. $k_p \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) = K_p$
3. $S_p^* \left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right) = S_p^* [A,B] \quad (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$
4. $k_p \left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right) = K_p [A,B] \quad (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$.

We also have $S_p^*[1,-1] = S_p^*$ and $K_p [1,-1] = K_p$. For $p = 1$, the above reduced classes $S^* [A,B]$ and $K [A,B]$ were investigated by Janowski [7] and Goel and Mehrook [4].

Clearly

$$f(z) \in k_p(\phi) \Leftrightarrow z f'(z) \in s_p^*(\phi) .$$

Further suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} h_p[(\alpha_q);(\beta_r);z] &= z^p {}_qF_r(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_r; z) \\ &= z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} B_p^{(\alpha_q),(\beta_r)}(n) z^n \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

$(q \leq r+1; \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}; \beta_j \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; \mathbb{Z}_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\};$
 $i = 1, \dots, q; j = 1, \dots, r; z \in U)$

where ${}_qF_r$ is the generalized hypergeometric function and

$$B_p^{(\alpha_q),(\beta_r)}(n) = \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-p} (\alpha_2)_{n-p} \dots (\alpha_q)_{n-p}}{(\beta_1)_{n-p} (\beta_2)_{n-p} \dots (\beta_r)_{n-p} (n-p)!} . \tag{1.3}$$

Corresponding to the function $h_p[(\alpha_q);(\beta_r);z]$, Dziok and Srivastava [2, p.3, Eq.(3)] introduced a linear operator H_{p,α_q,β_r} defined by the convolution

$$H_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r} f(z) = h_p[(\alpha_q);(\beta_r);z] * f(z) \tag{1.4}$$

or equivalently by

$$H_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r} f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} B_p^{(\alpha_q),(\beta_r)}(n) a_n z^n \quad (z \in U) . \tag{1.5}$$

Here $*$ stands for the convolution of two analytic multivalent functions f and g of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad g(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad (a_n, b_n \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{N})$$

and is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n . \tag{1.6}$$

The linear operator $H_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r} f(z)$ includes various other linear operators considered earlier by Hohlov [6], Carlson-Shaffer [1], Goyal and Bhagtani [5], Ruscheweyh [9] etc.

Next by using the operator H_{p,α_q,β_r} we introduce the following classes of analytic functions for $\phi \in \mathbb{H}; f \in A_p; \alpha_q > -1$ and $\beta_r \geq 1$

$$s_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r}^*(\phi) = \{f : f \in A_p \text{ and } H_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r} f(z) \in s_p^*(\phi)\}$$

$$k_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r}(\phi) = \{f : f \in A_p \text{ and } H_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r} f(z) \in k_p(\phi)\}$$

We also note that

$$f(z) \in k_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r}(\phi) \Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in s_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r}^*(\phi) \tag{1.7}$$

In particular, we set

$$s_{p,r,s,t,2}^* \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) = S_{p,r,s,t}^*,$$

$$s_{p,\lambda,\nu,\eta,\mu}^* \left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right) = S_{p,\lambda,\nu,\eta,\mu}^* [A,B] \quad (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1),$$

$$k_{p,\lambda,\nu,\eta,\mu} \left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right) = K_{p,\lambda,\nu,\eta,\mu} [A,B] \quad (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1),$$

and for $p = 1$, we have

$$\text{if } r = s = n \text{ then } s_{n,2}^* \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) = S_n^*,$$

$$\text{if } \nu = \lambda, \text{ then } s_{\lambda,\mu}^* \left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right) = S_{\lambda,\mu}^* [A,B] \quad (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$$

And
$$k_{\lambda,\mu} \left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right) = K_{\lambda,\mu} [A,B] \quad (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1).$$

2. Inclusion properties involving H_{p,α_q,β_r}

The following result will be required in our investigation:

Lemma (Eenigenburg et al. [3]). Let h be convex univalent in U with $h(0) = 1$ and

$$\Re \{ \beta h(z) + \gamma \} > 0 \quad (\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C})$$

If $p(z)$ is analytic in U with $p(0) = 1$, then

$$p(z) + \frac{z p'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \prec h(z) \text{ in } U$$

implies that $p(z) \prec h(z)$ in U .

Our first inclusion theorem is stated as:

Theorem 1. Let $\alpha_q > -1$ and $\beta_r \geq 1$. Then

$$s_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r+1}^*(\phi) \subset s_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r}^*(\phi) \subset s_{p,\alpha_q+1,\beta_r}^*(\phi) \quad (\phi \in H)$$

Proof. First of all we show that

$$s_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r+1}^*(\phi) \subset s_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r}^*(\phi) \quad (\phi \in H; \alpha_q > -1 \text{ and } \beta_r \geq 1)$$

Let $f(z) \in s_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r+1}^*(\phi)$ and set

$$\frac{z \left(H_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r} f(z) \right)'}{H_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r} f(z)} = p \theta(z), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\theta(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$

Obviously $\theta(z)$ is analytic in U and $\theta(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in U$.

Applying (2.1) in (2.1), we obtain

$$(\beta_r + p - 1) \frac{\left(H_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r+1} f(z) \right)'}{H_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r} f(z)} = p \theta(z) + \beta_r - 1 \tag{2.2}$$

By using the logarithmic differentiation on both sides of (2.2) and multiplying with z , we have

$$\frac{z(H_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r+1} f(z))'}{H_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r} f(z)} = p\theta(z) + \frac{pz\theta'(z)}{p\theta(z) + \beta_r - 1} \quad \dots(2.3)$$

Since $\beta_r \geq 1$, $\phi(z) \in H$ and $f(z) \in s_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r}^*(\phi)$ from (2.3), we see that

$$R\{p\phi(z) + \beta_r - 1\} > 0 \quad (z \in U)$$

and $p\theta(z) + \frac{pz\theta'(z)}{p\theta(z) + \beta_r - 1} < p\phi(z)$ in U

Thus by using the Lemma and (2.1) we observe that $p\theta(z) < p\phi(z)$ in U

so that $f(z) \in s_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r}^*(\phi)$

This implies that

$$s_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r+1}^*(\phi) \subset s_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r}^*(\phi).$$

To prove the second part, let $f(z) \in s_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r}^*(\phi)$ ($\alpha_q > -1$ and $\beta_r \geq 1$) and put

$$\frac{z(H_{p,\alpha_q+1,\beta_r} f(z))'}{H_{p,\alpha_q+1,\beta_r} f(z)} = p\Psi(z)$$

where $\Psi(z) = 1 + d_1z + d_2z^2 + \dots$ is analytic in U and $\Psi(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in U$. Now by using arguments similar to those detailed above, it follows that

$$p\Psi(z) < p\phi(z) \text{ in } U,$$

which implies that $f(z) \in s_{p,\alpha_q+1,\beta_r}^*(\phi)$. Hence we conclude that

$$s_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r+1}^*(\phi) \subset s_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r}^*(\phi) \subset s_{p,\alpha_q+1,\beta_r}^*(\phi) \quad (\phi \in H)$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1

Remark. By putting

$$q = 2, r = 2; \alpha_1 = r, \alpha_2 = s, \beta_1 = t, \beta_2 = 2, (r, s, t \in \mathbb{N}_0), \mu = 2 \text{ and } \phi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}, z \in U \text{ in Theorem 1, we obtain}$$

$$S_{p,r,s,t}^* \subset S_{p,r+1,s+1,t}^*$$

Further if $p = 1$ and $r = s = n$ then $S_n^* \subset S_{n+1}^*$ which was asserted earlier by Noor [8].

Theorem 2. Let $\alpha_q > -1$ and $\beta_r \geq 1$,

$$k_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r+1}(\phi) \subset k_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r}(\phi) \subset k_{p,\alpha_q+1,\beta_r}(\phi) \quad (\phi \in H)$$

Proof. Applying (1.7) and Theorem 1, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) \in k_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r+1}(\phi) &\Leftrightarrow H_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r+1} + 1f(z) \in k_p(\phi) \\ &\Leftrightarrow z(H_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r+1} f(z))' \in s_p^*(\phi) \Leftrightarrow H_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r+1}(zf'(z)) \in s_p^*(\phi) \\ &\Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in s_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r+1}^*(\phi) \Rightarrow zf'(z) \in s_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r}^*(\phi) \Leftrightarrow H_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r}(zf'(z)) \in s_p^*(\phi) \\ &\Leftrightarrow z(H_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r} f(z))' \in s_p^*(\phi) \Leftrightarrow H_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r} f(z) \in k_p(\phi) \Leftrightarrow f(z) \in k_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r}(\phi) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$f(z) \in k_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r}(\phi) \Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in s_{p,\alpha_q,\beta_r}^*(\phi)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow z f'(z) \in S_{p, \alpha_q+1, \beta_r}^*(\phi) &\Leftrightarrow z (H_{p, \alpha_q+1, \beta_r} f(z))' \in S_p^*(\phi) \\ \Leftrightarrow H_{p, \alpha_q+1, \beta_r} f(z) \in k_p(\phi) &\Leftrightarrow f(z) \in k_{p, \alpha_q+1, \beta_r}(\phi) \end{aligned}$$

which evidently proves Theorem 2.

Taking

$$\phi(z) = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1; z \in U)$$

in Theorems 1 and 2, we have

Corollary. Let $\alpha_q > -1$ and $\beta_r \geq 1$ and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. Then

$$S_{p, \alpha_q, \beta_r+1}^*[A, B] \subset S_{p, \alpha_q, \beta_r}^*[A, B] \subset S_{p, \alpha_q+1, \beta_r}^*[A, B]$$

and

$$K_{p, \alpha_q, \beta_r+1}[A, B] \subset K_{p, \alpha_q, \beta_r}[A, B] \subset K_{p, \alpha_q+1, \beta_r}[A, B]$$

References

1. Carlson BC, Shaffer DB. Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 1984; 15:737-745.
2. Dziok J, Srivastava HM. Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, Appl. Math. Comput. 1999; 103:1-13.
3. Eenigenburg P, Miller SS, Mocanu PT, Reade MO. On a Briot-Bouquet differential subordination, in: General Inequalities 3, in: International Series of Numerical Mathematics, Birkhäuser, Basel. 1983; 64:339-348.
4. Goel RM, Mehrotra BS. On the coefficients of a subclass of starlike functions, Indian J Pure Appl. Math. 1981; 12:634-647.
5. Goyal SP, Bhagtani, Manita, Applications of certain integral operators to a class of analytic multivalent functions, Journal of Rajasthan Academy of Physical Sciences. 2007; 6:223-236.
6. Hohlov, Yu E. Operators and operations in the class of univalent functions, Izv. Vyss. Uchebn. Matematika. 1978; 10:83-89.
7. Janowski W. Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 1973; 21:17-25.
8. Noor KI. On new classes of integral operators, J Natur. Geom. 1999; 16:71-80.
9. Ruscheweyh S. New criteria for univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 1975; 49:109-115.