

# International Journal of Statistics and Applied Mathematics



ISSN: 2456-1452  
Maths 2018; 3(1): 252-258  
© 2018 Stats & Maths  
www.mathsjournal.com  
Received: 15-11-2017  
Accepted: 17-12-2017

**Dinesh Tiwari**  
School of Research &  
Technology, People's University  
Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

## A hybrid approach for solving real life transportation problems using robust methods

**Dinesh Tiwari**

### Abstract

A lot of fundamentals are illustrated for resolving fuzzy transportation problem (FTP). But this present work define a crucial method for unfolding fuzzy FTP using robust technique to manifest the parameters by fuzzy numbers. As per literature it is little bit tough to limit the membership function to the normal form. In this paper, a new approach is illustrated for solving FTP using allocation table method (ATM) to determine the initial basic feasible solution. Moreover, a modified distribution method algorithm (MDMA) is proposed to optimize the best possible solution for real life fuzzy transportation problems. The minimal fuzzy transportation cost calculation has been represented with numerical examples.

**Keywords:** hybrid approach, real life transportation problems, robust methods

### 1. Introduction

In modern competitive market either mathematics or economics, the thrust of organizations to achieve better solutions to generate and convey better values to consumers become stronger. Transportation concepts are a platform to deliver a Robust framework to meet the requirements. How and when to send the goods to the consumers in the bulk with cheap in cost manner, become more demanding. Hitchcock has illustrated this fundamental theory of transportation problems <sup>[1]</sup>.

Fuzzy transportation problem is a singular type of linear programming problem (LPP) in which products are shifted from a set of origin point to the destinations w.r.t. the supply & demand <sup>[2]</sup>. There are two types of transportation problem known as balanced or unbalanced based on demand and supply which can be resolved by the simplex method. Further, Charnes & Cooper proposed the stepping stone concept which imparts an alternative way to determine the simplex method. Later in 1963, Dantzig and Thapa manipulated the simplex method to the FTP as the primitive simplex transportation method <sup>[3]</sup>. An initial basic feasible solution for FTP can be acquired by using the north-west corner concept, least cost concept and Vogel's approximation concepts. The MDMA is quite convenient method for getting the optimal solution for FTP.

The transportation problem is unfolded with parameters like cost, supply as well as demand. The random information may be described by fuzzy (crisp values). Zimmermann, Oheigeartaigh, and Chanas <sup>[46]</sup> represented a LP model for resolving FTP with crisp cost coefficients as well as fuzzy demand-supply values. These crisp values may be normal, general, triangular & trapezoidal. Ranking method is applied to convert the fuzzy number into crisp form. In real world, there are various situations due to unpredictability in judgments, lack of proof etc. Although it is tough to reveal precise data for the cost parameter. Fuzzy number is used to represent this uncertain data. The main concern of FTP is to get the minimal transportation cost of some commodities through a capacitated network.

Liu and Kao <sup>[7]</sup> denoted a technique for solving FTP based on extension principle. Later, a two stage cost minimizing FTP in which availability & requirements are trapezoidal fuzzy numbers. A parametric approximation is used to find a solution & to minimize transportation costs in the two levels. Dinagar and Palanivel <sup>[8]</sup> unveiled FTP for trapezoidal fuzzy numbers. Fuzzy MDMA is presented to achieve the optimum output in terms of fuzzy numbers. Solairaju and Natarajan <sup>[9]</sup> also proposed fuzzy zero point algorithm (FZPA) for trapezoidal

**Correspondence**  
**Dinesh Tiwari**  
School of Research &  
Technology, People's University  
Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

fuzzy numbers in terms of cost, availability & requirement.

Chen mentioned a point that it is quite tough to restrict the membership function in general form, so he introduced a new concept of generalized numbers for FTP. In literature, it has been seen that normalization is used to convert general term into normal form which requires to solve real problem. Moreover, this process is mathematically correct but have some disadvantages in term of loss of information. There are a lot of research work in which generalized fuzzy numbers are utilized for resolving real situation but still having scope for solving the transportation problems.

In this proposed work, the FTP solving method using robust ranking for the representative value of the fuzzy number. Further, we are using ATM by Ahmed in 2016 illustrated a new approach for FTP solution using ATM. This method also enhance optimality of MDMA. Furthermore, the concept is described with suitable mathematical example. In Section 2, operations of fuzzy number with some definitions on TrFN is unveiled. In Section 3, a concept to achieve initial basis feasible solution (IBFS) as well as optimal FTP solution is revealed. Section 4 give mathematical examples to demonstrate the FTP solution. Lastly, Section 5 represents the conclusion.

## 2. Operations of Fuzzy Number

**Definition 1:** Let us say  $Z$  a nonempty set of universe. A fuzzy set  $\tilde{f}$  in  $Z$  represented as

$$\tilde{f} = \{(z, \mu_{\tilde{f}}(z)) \mid z \in Z\}$$

, where the function

$$\mu_{\tilde{f}} : Z \rightarrow [0,1]$$

$\mu_{\tilde{f}}(z)$  is the degree of membership of element  $z$  in fuzzy set  $\tilde{f}$ . So, degree function  $\mu_{\tilde{f}}(z)$  having value over unit interval.

**Definition 2:** A fuzzy number  $\tilde{f}$  has following properties.

1. This fuzzy no. is subset of real line.
2. It is always continuous.
3. It is convex function i.e. for any  $a, b \in R$  and  $\beta \in [0,1]$

$$\mu_{\tilde{f}}(\beta a + (1 - \beta)b) \geq \min(\mu_{\tilde{f}}(a), \mu_{\tilde{f}}(b)).$$

4. It is in normal form. i.e. there lies at least one  $a \in R$  in such a manner that  $\mu_{\tilde{f}}(a) = 1$ .

**Definition 3:** Assume,  $\tilde{f}_1$  and  $\tilde{f}_2$  are two fuzzy no. & suppose  $\Phi \in R$  is real in nature. Then the addition of these two numbers and the scalar multiplication of these two ( $\Phi, \tilde{f}_1$ ) also define the membership function in following manner:

$$\mu_{\tilde{f}_1 + \tilde{f}_2}(x) = \sup_{x=y+w} \min\{\mu_{\tilde{f}_1}(y) + \mu_{\tilde{f}_1}(z)\},$$

$$\mu_{\beta \tilde{f}_1}(x) = \max\{\sup_{z=\beta y} \mu_{\tilde{f}_1}(y), 0\}$$

, where  $\sup\{\Phi\} = -\infty$ .

**Definition 4:** A GFN  $\tilde{f} = (p, a, b, q, \tau)$  is known to be a GTrFN (generalized trapezoidal fuzzy number) if its membership function is represented as

$$\mu_{\tilde{f}_1}(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\tau(x-p)}{a-p} \dots\dots\dots, p \leq x \leq a \\ \tau \dots\dots\dots, a \leq x \leq b \\ \frac{\tau(q-p)}{q-b} \dots\dots\dots, b \leq x \leq q \\ 0 \dots\dots\dots, \text{Otherwise} \end{array} \right\}$$

If  $\tau = 1$ , then  $\tilde{f} = (p, a, b, q; 1)$  is an NTrFN (normalized trapezoidal fuzzy number) and if  $0 < \omega < 1$ , as a particular case if  $a = b$ , the trapezoidal fuzzy number reduces to a triangular fuzzy number given by  $\tilde{f} = (p, a, q; 1)$ .

**Definition 5:** The  $\gamma$ -cut set of trapezoidal fuzzy no. (TrFN)  $\tilde{f} = (p, a, b, q)$  is crisp fuzzy values in  $R$ . Which is denoted by:

$$\tilde{f}_\gamma = \{x \mid \mu_{\tilde{f}}(x) \geq \gamma\}, \text{ where } \gamma \in [0,1].$$

From above definition, let's say  $\gamma$ -cut set of any TrFN  $\tilde{f}$  is  $\tilde{f}_\gamma = [f_\gamma^M, f_\gamma^N]$ . The crisp interval for this fuzzy no. illustrated by following relation:

$$\frac{p_\gamma - p}{a - p} = \gamma \quad \text{, and} \quad \frac{q - b_\gamma}{q - b} = \gamma$$

Likewise,  $f_\gamma^M = p + (a - p)\gamma$  and  $f_\gamma^N = q - (q - b)\gamma$

Thus, it is find that

$$\tilde{f}_\gamma = [p + (a - p)\gamma, q - (q - b)\gamma] \tag{1}$$

**Robust Ranking Technique**

Yager, in 1981 illustrated a concept known as robust ranking technique in a following manner:

Let us assume that  $\tilde{f}$  be a convex fuzzy no. & the Robust's ranking index is denoted as

$$R(\tilde{f}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 [f_\gamma^M + f_\gamma^N] d\gamma$$

Where,  $[f_\gamma^M, f_\gamma^N]$  is  $\gamma$  level cut set of fuzzy no.  $\tilde{f}$ .

Since by means of all above definitions 1-5 as well as ranking index relation we conclude eq. 1 such that

$$\begin{aligned} R(\tilde{f}) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 [f_\gamma^M + f_\gamma^N] d\gamma \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 [(p + (a - p)\gamma) + (q - (q - b)\gamma)] d\gamma \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The proposed paper give  $R(\tilde{f})$  index as a representative value of fuzzy no.  $\tilde{f}$ .

Likewise, for  $R(\tilde{C}_{ij})$  index the fuzzy cost value is  $\tilde{C}_{ij}$ .

**3. Fuzzy Transportation Problem**

Let us say, the mathematical fuzzy problem is

$$\text{Minimize } \Phi = \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^q c_{ij} z_{ij} \dots \text{subject - to}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^q z_{ij} \leq \sigma_{ij}, i = 1, 2, 3 \dots p$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^p z_{ij} \geq \delta_{ij}, j = 1, 2, 3 \dots q$$

$$z_{ij} \geq 0, \text{ for - all .i, j}$$

Where,

$C_{ij}$  → Unit cost of transportation from  $i^{\text{th}}$  supply to  $j^{\text{th}}$  demand.

$Z_{ij}$  → Quantity transportation from  $i^{\text{th}}$  supply to  $j^{\text{th}}$  demand.

$\sigma_{ij}$  → Total items availability at  $i^{\text{th}}$  supply point.

$\delta_{ij}$  → Total items requirement at  $j^{\text{th}}$  demand point.

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^q c_{ij} z_{ij} \rightarrow \text{Total FTP}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \sigma_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^q \delta_{ij} \rightarrow \text{Balanced FTP}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \sigma_{ij} \neq \sum_{j=1}^q \delta_{ij} \rightarrow \text{Unbalanced FTP}$$

**Initial Basic Feasible Solution (IBFS) Algorithmic Steps using ATM**

1. First, convert fuzzy LPP into fuzzy transportation table (FTT). The transportation cost to be put on the table allocation using Robust’s ranking technique.
2. Second, check whether the given FTP is balanced or unbalanced. It should be balanced.
3. Third, Find minimum odd cost in FTT. If there does not exist odd cost in the FTT, every cells cost divide by 2 until reaches on atleast one odd value.
4. Fourth, Draw another table known as allocation table having the minimum odd cost and subtract selected minimum odd cost only from each of the odd cost valued cells of the FTT.
5. Fifth, Start with minimum odd cost in allocation table to exhaust the row(availability) or column(demand).
6. Sixth, Specify the least allocation cell cost and allocate minimum of availability/requirement at the place of selected allocation cell value in the allocation table. In case of similar allocation cell cost, select the allocation cell cost where minimum allocation can be made.
7. Repeat Step-6 as far as the requirement as well as availability are depleted.
8. At last, from the FTT, the total fuzzy transportation cost can be calculated.

**Modified Distribution Method Algorithm (MDMA) for finding optimal solution**

- a. Compute IBFS by proposed allocation tabular method.
- b. Find  $A_i$  and  $B_j$  for all row and column which satisfy the following  $A_i + B_j = C_{ij}$ , set  $A_1 = 0$ .
- c. Get the improvement index value for all unoccupied cell by  $E_{ij} = C_{ij} - A_i - B_j$
- d. The  $E_{ij}$  value decide the IBFS is optimal solution or not.

**Case1:** if  $E_{ij} \geq 0$ , for all unoccupied cell. IBFS solution is optimal.

**Case2:** if  $E_{ij} < 0$ , for at least one unoccupied cell. IBFS solution is not optimal.

- e. Find out the unoccupied cell with high negative value of  $E_{ij}$ .
- f. Then design the closed loop below.
- g. Starting this closed loop by choosing the empty cell and progress vertical and horizontal moves with corner cells occupied and come back to close the loop. “+” sign for empty cell and “-” for the non-empty cell.
- h. The minimum allocation value from the cells which have “-” sign. Then, assign this value to the empty cell and rest cell will be modified with their sign.
- i. These new values provide improved basic feasible solution.
- j. This process will be continued till  $E_{ij} \geq 0$  for all the empty cell.

**4. Mathematical Problem**

**Numerical:** A fuzzy transportation problem (FTP) consists of three sources as well as destinations  $S_1, S_2, S_3$  and  $D_1, D_2, D_3$  respectively. The required data to calculate the cost is shown in Table 1. Compute the optimum cost of FTP?

SOURCE	DESTINATION			Supply	
	$(3, 5, 7, 14)$	$(2, 4, 8, 13)$	$(3, 5, 9, 15)$		35
	$(2, 5, 8, 10)$	$(3, 6, 9, 12)$	$(4, 7, 10, 16)$		40
	$(3, 6, 8, 13)$	$(4, 8, 10, 15)$	$(5, 9, 13, 15)$		50
Demand	45	55	25	125	

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^3 \delta_{ij} = 125, \text{ so this problem is balanced.}$$

Now, we required robust ranking method to find membership function of Trapezoidal fuzzy no. First calculate the  $\gamma$ -level cut set for  $(3, 5, 7, 14)$  using equation 2 is shown below:

$$[f_{11\gamma}^M, f_{11\gamma}^N] = [3 + (5-3)\gamma, 14 - (14-7)\gamma] = [3 + 2\gamma, 14 - 7\gamma].$$

Thus, the ranking index

$$R(\tilde{f}_{11}) = R[3, 5, 7, 14]$$

$$\begin{aligned} R(\tilde{f}_{11}) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 [f_{11\gamma}^M + f_{11\gamma}^N] d\gamma \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 [(3 + (5 - 3)\gamma) + (14 - (14 - 7)\gamma)] d\gamma \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 [17 - 5\gamma] d\gamma = 7.25 \end{aligned}$$

In this manner rest of the ranking index values can be calculated as follows:

$$R(\tilde{f}_{12})=6.75, R(\tilde{f}_{13})=8,$$

$$R(\tilde{f}_{21})=6.25, R(\tilde{f}_{22})=7.5, R(\tilde{f}_{23})=9.25$$

$$R(\tilde{f}_{31})=7.5, R(\tilde{f}_{32})=9.25, R(\tilde{f}_{33})=10.5$$

Now, the fuzzy transportation table after ranking technique

SOURCE	DESTINATION			Supply
	7.25	6.75	8	
6.25	7.5	9.25	40	
7.5	9.25	10.5	50	
Demand	45	55	25	125

Thus, move to next step and find minimum odd cost which is nothing but  $\tilde{f}_{21}=6.25$ .

As per step mentioned in IBFS ATM procedure allocate 40 (min. of demand/supply) to  $\tilde{f}_{21}$ . Now this row is to be exhausted.

In next step only first and third rows is to be considered where  $\tilde{f}_{12}$  having least value among all available cells. So allocate 5 to  $\tilde{f}_{12}$  because  $\tilde{f}_{32}$  contains minimum 50. So column 2 is exhausted.

Then next minimum is  $\tilde{f}_{11}$  allocated value 5 because of  $\tilde{f}_{13}$  must allocate 25. So first column also exhausted. Therefore initial basic feasible solution is

$$y_{11}=5, y_{12}=5, y_{13}=25, y_{21}=40, y_{32}=50.$$

Since, overall fuzzy transportation cost is given by

$$7.25 * 5 + 6.75 * 5 + 8 * 25 + 6.25 * 40 + 9.25 * 50 = \mathbf{982.50 Rs}$$

To optimize this IBFS apply MDMA as discussed above in previous section.

**Step 1**

SOURCE	DESTINATION			Supply		
	7.25	5	6.75		5	8
6.25	40	7.5		9.25		40
7.5		9.25	50	10.5		50
Demand	45	55		25		125

**Step 2:**

$$A1 + B1 = 7.25, A1 + B2 = 6.75, A1 + B3 = 8$$

$$A2 + B1 = 6.25, A3 + B2 = 9.25$$

Let say  $A1=0$ ,

$$\text{We get } B1 = 7.25, B2 = 6.75, B3 = 8, A2 = -1, A3 = 2.5$$

**Step 3:** Now, improvement index for every unused cell

$E_{22} = 7.5 - (-1) - 6.75 = 1.75,$   
 $E_{23} = 9.25 - (-1) - 8 = 2.25,$   
 $E_{31} = 7.5 - 2.5 - 7.25 = -2.25$   
 and  $E_{33} = 10.5 - 2.5 - 8 = 0$

**Step 4:** Since one of the indices is not positive i.e. solution is not optimal. Thus, it is requires to draw one closed path.

**Step 5:** Find out the unoccupied cell with high negative value of  $E_{ij}$ . So  $E_{31} = -2.25$ .

**Step 6 to 8:**

SOURCE	DESTINATION			Supply
	7.25 (-) 5	6.75 (+) 5	8 25	
	6.25 40	7.5	9.25	40
	7.5 (+)	9.25 (-) 50	10.5	50
Demand	45	55	25	125

**Step 9:**

SOURCE	DESTINATION			Supply
	7.25 0	6.75 10	8 25	
	6.25 40	7.5	9.25	40
	7.5 5	9.25 45	10.5	50
Demand	45	55	25	125

After repeating all above described steps we get  $E_{22} = E_{23} = E_{33} = 0$ .

Therefore optimal fuzzy solution is

$\tilde{y}_{12} = 10, \tilde{y}_{13} = 25, \tilde{y}_{21} = 40, \tilde{y}_{31} = 5$  and  $\tilde{y}_{32} = 45$ .

Hence, the given allocation provides optimal fuzzy transportation cost. It is given by:

$6.75 * 5 + 8 * 25 + 6.25 * 40 + 7.5 * 5 + 9.25 * 45 = 971.25$  Rs.

**5. Conclusion**

In this paper, the transportation costs are considered as generalized fuzzy numbers. Mathematical formulation of FTP is explained by suitable numerical example. In this present work, the allocation tabular concept is proposed to find initial basic feasible solution for FTP. It is noticeable with the help of  $\gamma$ -level cut set & Robust's ranking method for the representative value of the fuzzy no. based on requirement and availability are real numbers. Moreover, the cost is available by means of trapezoidal fuzzy numbers. Furthermore, MDMA is used to improve IBFS to reach optimality. Since, these methods are quite interesting for solving the real-life transportation problem as they solve triangular and trapezoidal fuzzy number.

**6. References**

- Hitchcock FL. The distribution of a product from several sources to numerous localities. J Math. Phys. 1951; 20:224-230.
- Charnes A, Cooper WW. The stepping-stone method for explaining linear programming calculation in transportation problem, Management Science. 1954, 49-69.
- Dantzig GB, Thapa MN. Springer: Linear Programming: 2: Theory and Extensions”, Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 1963.
- Zimmermann HJ. Fuzzy programming and linear programming with several objective functions Fuzzy Sets Syst. 1978; 1:45-55.
- Oheigeartaigh M. A fuzzy transportation algorithm”, Fuzzy Sets System. 1982; 8:235-243.
- Chanas S, Kolodziejczyk W, Machaj AA. A fuzzy approach to the transportation problem”, Fuzzy Sets System. 1982, 1984; 13:211-221.
- Liu ST, Kao C. Solving fuzzy transportation problems based on extension principle”, European J. Oper. Res. 2004; 153:661-674.
- Dinagar DS, Palanivel K. The transportation problem in fuzzy environment”, International Journal of Algorithms Computing and Mathematics. 2009; 2:65-71.
- Nagarajan R, Solairaju A. Computing improved fuzzy optimal hungarian assignment problem with fuzzy costs under Robust ranking techniques. International Journal of Computer Applications. 2010; 6:6-13.
- Mohanaselvi S, Ganesan K. Fuzzy Optimal Solution to Fuzzy Transportation Problem: A New Approach. International Journal of Computer Science and Engineering. 2012; 4(3):367-375.
- Kaur A, Kumar A. A new approach for solving fuzzy transportation problems using generalized trapezoidal fuzzy numbers. Applied Soft Computing. 2012; 12:1201-1213.

12. Shanmugasudari M, Ganesan K. A Novel Approach for the Fuzzy optimal solution of Fuzzy Transportation”, International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications. 2013; 3(1):1416-1424.
13. Srinivas B, Ganesan G. Optimal solution for intuitionistic fuzzy transportation problem via revised distribution method. International Journal of Mathematics Tends and Technology. 2013, 2015; 19:150-161.
14. Ramanuja Rao K, Rajnesh Mudaliar K. A perfect Mathematical Formulation of Fuzzy Transportation Problem Provides an Optimal Solution Speedily, Asia-Pacific World Congress on Computer Science and Engineering. 2016, 271-278.