

International Journal of Statistics and Applied Mathematics



ISSN: 2456-1452
 Maths 2018; 3(2): 427-433
 © 2018 Stats & Maths
www.mathsjournal.com
 Received: 12-01-2018
 Accepted: 13-02-2018

K Sridevi
 Asst. Professor of Mathematics,
 Dr. B. R. Ambedkar Open
 University, Jubilee Hills,
 Hyderabad, Telangana, India

MVR Kameswari
 Associate Professor, Department
 of Engineering Mathematics,
 GIT, Gitam University, Andhra
 Pradesh, Visakhapatnam,
 Andhra Pradesh, India

DMK Kiran
 Asst. Professor in Mathematics,
 Department of Mathemaics,
 Vizag Institute of Technology,
 Affiliated to JNTUK, Andhra
 Pradesh, Visakhapatnam,
 Andhra Pradesh, India

Correspondence
DMK Kiran
 Asst. Professor in Mathematics,
 Department of Mathemaics,
 Vizag Institute of Technology,
 Affiliated to JNTUK, Andhra
 Pradesh, Visakhapatnam,
 Andhra Pradesh, India

A common coupled fixed point theorem using E.A. like property in supernova spaces

K Sridevi, MVR Kameswari and DMK Kiran

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a new space, named Supernova Space and provide an example. We prove a common coupled fixed point theorem for four maps satisfying the E. A. Like property and w-compatibility in this space. We also obtain some corollaries from this result.

Keywords: supernova space, center of supernova space, coupled fixed point, E. A. like property, W-compatible.

1. Introduction

A fixed point theorem was given by Brouwer [5] in 1912, after that the polish mathematician Stephan Banach [3] proved contraction mapping theorem and named Banach fixed point theorem in 1922. It is an important tool in the theory of metric spaces. Many authors studied, extended, generalized and improved this theory to generalized metric spaces like statistical metric spaces, Menger spaces, d-complete topological spaces, F-complete metric spaces, G-Metric spaces, Fuzzy Metric spaces, Quasi metric spaces, partial metric spaces, b-metric spaces, dislocated quasi b-metric spaces, complex valued metric spaces [6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 14, 16].

Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [4] introduced the concept of coupled fixed points for a given partially ordered set X . After that Lakshmikantham and Cirić [10] defined coupled coincidence point and common coupled fixed point for a pair of maps and Samet *et al.* [12, 13] proved coupled fixed point theorems. K. P. R. Satyshy *et al.* [15, 17, 18] proved some results on coupled fixed point theorems and G. V. R. Babu *et al.* [2] proved some results on coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces. Sumitra *et al.* [21] proved some results on coupled fixed point theorems in Fuzzy metric spaces.

K. Wadhwa *et al.* [21] introduced the notion of E. A. Like property in fuzzy metric spaces. Abbas *et al.* [1] introduced the notion of w-compatible mapping.

In this paper, we introduce a new space, named *supernova* space and we extend the definition of E. A. Like property introduced by K. Wadhwa *et al.* [21] to *supernova* space and prove a common coupled fixed point theorem for four maps satisfying w-compatibility in this new *supernova* space. This paper accepted and presented in International Conference on Mathematical Sciences and Applications by D. M. K. Kiran [19].

2. Preliminaries:

Definition 2.1: Let X be non-empty set, $s \geq 1$ and $d: X \times X \rightarrow R^+$ be a function. Consider the following conditions of d

(1.1.1) There exists a unique point x_0 such that $d(x, y) = d(y, x) = 0 \Rightarrow x = y = x_0$.

(1.1.2) $d(x, y) \leq s[d(x, z) + d(z, y)]$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Are satisfied. Then (X, d) is called *supernova* space and s is called a parameter of (X, d) , x_0 is called the centre of the *supernova*.

Example 2.2: Let $X = [0, 1]$ and $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2 + |x|$. Then d is a *supernova* space with $s = 2$.

Definition 2.3: Let (X, d) be a supernova space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in (X, d) is convergent if there exists some point $x \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, x_n) = 0$$

In this case, x is called a limit of $\{x_n\}$ and we write $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 2.4: Let (X, d) be a supernova space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in (X, d) is said to be a Cauchy sequence if $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_m) = \lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_m, x_n) = 0$

Note 2.5: if $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $y_n \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $x = y$ and $x = y = x_0$.

Notation 2.6: Write $\Phi = \{\varphi \mid \varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+, \varphi \text{ is continuous, increasing and } \varphi(t) < t \text{ for } t > 0\}$. The following Lemma 1.7 can be easily established.

Lemma 2.7: Let (X, d) be a supernova space and $\{x_n\}$ be convergent to $x \in X$ and $y \in X$. Then

$$\frac{1}{s}d(x, y) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y) \leq sd(x, y)$$

$$\frac{1}{s}d(y, x) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y, x_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y, x_n) \leq sd(y, x)$$

Definition 2.8 [4]: An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled fixed point of a mapping $P : X \times X \rightarrow X$ if $P(x, y) = x$ and $P(y, x) = y$.

Definition 2.9 [10]: An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled coincidence point of a mapping $P : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $R : X \rightarrow X$ if $P(x, y) = Rx$ and $P(y, x) = Ry$.

Definition 2.10 [10]: An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled fixed point of the mappings $P : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $R : X \rightarrow X$ if $P(x, y) = Rx = x$ and $P(y, x) = Ry = y$.

Definition 2.11 [1]: Let X be a non-empty set. The mappings $P : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $R : X \rightarrow X$ are called w – compatible if $R(P(x, y)) = P(Rx, Ry)$ and $R(P(y, x)) = P(Ry, Rx)$ whenever there exist $x, y \in X$ such that $P(x, y) = Rx$ and $P(y, x) = Ry$. Now we extend the definition as E.A.Like property due to K. Wadhwa [21] to supernova spaces.

Definition 2.12: Let (X, d) be a supernova space and $P : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $R : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings. The pair (P, R) is said to satisfy common E.A.Like property if there exist sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(x_n, y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Rx_n = t$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(y_n, x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ry_n = t$$

$t \in R(X)$ or $P(X \times X)$.

Definition 2.13: Let (X, d) be a supernova space and $P, Q : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $R, S : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings. The pairs (P, R) and (Q, S) satisfy common E. A. Like property, if there exist sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{z_n\}, \{w_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(x_n, y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Rx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q(z_n, w_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sz_n = t$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(y_n, x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ry_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q(w_n, z_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sw_n = t$$

Where $t \in R(X) \cap S(X)$ or $P(X \times X) \cap Q(X \times X)$.

Note 2.14: In this case, we note that $t = x_0$ is the center of the (X, d) .

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1: Let (X, d) be a supernova space with centre x_0 and parameter $s \geq 1$ and $P, Q : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $R, S : X \rightarrow X$ be mapping satisfying

(2.1.1)

$$d(P(x, y), Q(u, v)) \leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s^2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(Rx, Su), d(Ry, Sv), \frac{1}{2} d(Rx, P(x, y)), \\ \frac{1}{2} d(Ry, P(y, x)), \frac{1}{2} d(Su, Q(u, v)), \frac{1}{2} d(Sv, Q(v, u)), \\ d(Rx, Q(u, v)), d(Ry, Q(v, u)), d(Su, P(x, y)), d(Sv, P(y, x)) \end{array} \right\} \right) \quad (2.1.2)$$

$$d(Q(x, y), P(u, v)) \leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s^2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(Sx, Ru), d(Sy, Rv), \frac{1}{2} d(Sx, Q(x, y)), \\ \frac{1}{2} d(Sy, Q(y, x)), \frac{1}{2} d(Ru, P(u, v)), \frac{1}{2} d(Rv, P(v, u)), \\ d(Sx, P(u, v)), d(Sy, P(v, u)), d(Ru, Q(x, y)), d(Rv, Q(y, x)) \end{array} \right\} \right)$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ and for some $\varphi \in \Phi$

(2.1.3) the pairs (P, R) and (Q, S) satisfy common E. A. Like property and

(2.1.4) the pairs (P, R) and (Q, S) are *weakly compatible*.

Then the centre x_0 is the common unique coupled fixed point of P, Q, R, S in $X \times X$.

Proof: Since the pairs (P, R) and (Q, S) satisfy common E. A. Like property, there exist sequence $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{z_n\}, \{w_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(x_n, y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Rx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q(z_n, w_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sz_n = t \quad (2.1.5)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(y_n, x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ry_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q(w_n, z_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sw_n = t \quad (2.1.6)$$

For somet $t \in R(X) \cap S(X)$ or $P(X \times X) \cap Q(X \times X)$.

We observe that $t = x_0$, form note after definition 1.4.

Without loss of generality assume that $t \in R(X) \cap S(X)$. Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Rx_n = t \in R(X) \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Rx_n = t \in R(X) \quad (2.1.7)$$

$$\text{There exist } u, v \in X \text{ such that } t = Ru \text{ and } Sv = t \quad (2.1.8)$$

Now from Lemma 1.7, (2.1.1), for infinity many n , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{s} d(t, P(u, u)) \leq d(Q(z_n, w_n), P(u, u)) \\ & \leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s^2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(Sz_n, Ru), d(Sw_n, Ru), \frac{1}{2} d(Sz_n, Q(z_n, w_n)), \\ \frac{1}{2} d(Sw_n, Q(w_n, z_n)), \frac{1}{2} d(Ru, P(u, u)), \frac{1}{2} d(Ru, P(u, u)), \\ d(Sz_n, P(u, u)), d(Sw_n, P(u, u)), d(Ru, Q(z_n, w_n)), d(Ru, Q(w_n, z_n)) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ & = \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s^2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(Sz_n, t), d(Sw_n, t), s \max \{d(Sz_n, t), d(t, Q(z_n, w_n))\}, \\ s \max \{d(Sw_n, t), d(t, Q(w_n, z_n))\}, \frac{1}{2} d(t, P(u, u)), \frac{1}{2} d(t, P(u, u)), \\ d(Sz_n, P(u, u)), d(Sw_n, P(u, u)), d(t, Q(z_n, w_n)), d(t, Q(w_n, z_n)) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ & \leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s^2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(t, t), d(t, t), sd(t, t), sd(t, t), \frac{1}{2} d(t, P(u, u)), \\ \frac{1}{2} d(t, P(u, u)), sd(t, P(u, u)), sd(t, P(u, u)), d(t, t), d(t, t) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ & \leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s^2} (s d(t, P(u, u))) \right) = \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s} (d(t, P(u, u))) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{s} d(t, P(u, u)) \leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s} (d(t, P(u, u))) \right) \quad (2.1.9)$$

$$\text{Therefore, } d(t, P(u, u)) = 0 \quad (2.1.10)$$

Again for infinitely many n ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{s} d(P(u, u), t) \leq d(P(u, u), Q(z_n, w_n)) \\
 & \leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s^2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(Ru, Sz_n), d(Ru, Sw_n), \frac{1}{2} d(Ru, P(u, u)), \\ \frac{1}{2} d(Ru, P(u, u)), \frac{1}{2} d(Sz_n, Q(z_n, w_n)), \frac{1}{2} d(Sw_n, Q(w_n, z_n)), \\ d(Ru, Q(z_n, w_n)), d(Ru, Q(w_n, z_n)), d(Sz_n, P(u, u)), d(Sw_n, P(u, u)) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\
 & = \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s^2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(t, Sz_n), d(t, Sw_n), \frac{1}{2} d(t, P(u, u)), \frac{1}{2} d(t, P(u, u)), \\ s \max \{d(Sz_n, t), d(t, Q(z_n, w_n))\}, s \max \{d(Sw_n, t), d(t, Q(w_n, z_n))\}, \\ d(t, Q(z_n, w_n)), d(t, Q(w_n, z_n)), d(Sz_n, P(u, u)), d(Sw_n, P(u, u)) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\
 & \leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s^2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(t, t), d(t, t), \frac{1}{2} d(t, P(u, u)), \frac{1}{2} d(t, P(u, u)), sd(t, t), \\ sd(t, t), d(t, t), d(t, t), sd(t, P(u, u)), sd(t, P(u, u)) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\
 & \leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s^2} (sd(t, P(u, u))) \right) = \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s} (d(t, P(u, u))) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{s} d(P(u, u), t) \leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s} (d(t, P(u, u))) \right) \quad \text{--- (2.1.11)}$$

Now from (2.1.10) and (2.1.11), we get

$$d(P(u, u), t) = 0 \quad \text{--- (2.1.12)}$$

From (2.1.10), (2.1.12) and (1.1.1), we write

$$d(t, P(u, u)) = d(P(u, u), t) = 0 \implies P(u, u) = t = x_0 \quad \text{--- (2.1.13)}$$

Now from Lemma 1.7, (2.1.2), for infinity manyn, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{s} d(t, Q(v, v)) \leq d(P(x_n, y_n), Q(v, v)) \\
 & \leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s^2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(Rx_n, Sv), d(Ry_n, Sv), \frac{1}{2} d(Rx_n, P(x_n, y_n)), \\ \frac{1}{2} d(Ry_n, P(y_n, x_n)), \frac{1}{2} d(Sv, Q(v, v)), \frac{1}{2} d(Sv, Q(v, v)), \\ d(Rx_n, Q(v, v)), d(Ry_n, Q(v, v)), d(Sx_n, P(x_n, y_n)), d(Sy_n, P(y_n, x_n)) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\
 & = \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s^2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(Rx_n, t), d(Ry_n, t), s \max \{d(Rx_n, t), d(t, P(x_n, y_n))\}, \\ s \max \{d(Ry_n, t), d(t, P(y_n, x_n))\}, \frac{1}{2} d(Sv, Q(v, v)), \frac{1}{2} d(Sv, Q(v, v)), \\ d(t, Q(v, v)), d(t, Q(v, v)), d(Sx_n, P(x_n, y_n)), d(Sy_n, P(y_n, x_n)) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\
 & \leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s^2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(t, t), d(t, t), sd(t, t), sd(t, t), \frac{1}{2} d(t, Q(v, v)), \\ \frac{1}{2} d(t, Q(v, v)), sd(t, Q(v, v)), sd(t, Q(v, v)), d(t, t), d(t, t) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\
 & \leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s^2} (sd(t, Q(v, v))) \right) = \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s} (d(t, Q(v, v))) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{s} d(t, Q(v, v)) \leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s} (d(t, Q(v, v))) \right) \dots \dots \dots (2.1.14)$$

Therefore, $d(t, Q(v, v)) = 0 \dots \dots \dots (2.1.15)$

Similarly,

$$\frac{1}{s} d(Q(v, v), t) \leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s} (d(t, Q(v, v))) \right) \dots \dots \dots (2.1.16)$$

from (2.1.15), (2.1.16)

$$d(Q(v, v), t) = 0 \dots \dots \dots (2.1.17)$$

From (2.1.15), (2.1.17) and (1.1.1),

$$d(t, Q(v, v)) = d(Q(v, v), t) = 0 \Rightarrow Q(v, v) = t = x_0 \dots \dots \dots (2.1.18)$$

From (2.1.8), (2.1.3), (2.1.18)

$$P(u, u) = t = Ru \dots \dots \dots (2.1.19)$$

$$Q(v, v) = t = Sv \dots \dots \dots (2.1.20)$$

Since the pairs (P, R) and (Q, S) are *weakly compatible*, we have

$$R(P(u, u)) = P(Ru, Ru) = P(t, t) \Rightarrow Rt = P(t, t) \dots \dots \dots (2.1.21)$$

$$S(Q(v, v)) = Q(Sv, Sv) = Q(t, t) \Rightarrow St = Q(t, t) \dots \dots \dots (2.1.22)$$

Consider,

$$d(Rt, St) = d(P(t, t), Q(t, t))$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s^2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(Rt, St), d(Rt, St), \frac{1}{2} d(Rt, P(t, t)), \\ \frac{1}{2} d(Rt, P(t, t)), \frac{1}{2} d(St, Q(t, t)), \frac{1}{2} d(St, Q(t, t)), \\ d(Rt, Q(t, t)), d(Rt, Q(t, t)), d(St, P(t, t)), d(St, P(t, t)) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ &= \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s^2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(Rt, St), d(Rt, St), \frac{1}{2} d(Rt, Rt), \\ \frac{1}{2} d(Rt, Rt), \frac{1}{2} d(St, St), \frac{1}{2} d(St, St), \\ d(Rt, St), d(Rt, St), d(St, Rt), d(St, Rt) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ &= \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s^2} \max \{d(Rt, St), d(St, Rt), \frac{1}{2} d(Rt, Rt), \frac{1}{2} d(St, St)\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore, } d(Rt, St) \leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s^2} \max \{d(Rt, St), d(St, Rt), sd(Rt, St), sd(St, Rt)\} \right)$$

$$\text{Therefore, } d(Rt, St) \leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s} \max \{d(Rt, St), d(St, Rt)\} \right) \dots \dots \dots (2.1.23)$$

Similarly,

$$d(St, Rt) \leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s} \max \{d(Rt, St), d(St, Rt)\} \right) \dots \dots \dots (2.1.24)$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \max \{d(Rt, St), d(St, Rt)\} \leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s} \max \{d(Rt, St), d(St, Rt)\} \right)$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \max \{d(Rt, St), d(St, Rt)\} = 0$$

$$\text{Therefore, } d(Rt, St) = d(St, Rt) = 0 \dots \dots \dots (2.1.25)$$

From (2.1.25) and (1.1.1), we get $St = Rt = t \dots \dots \dots$ (2.1.26)

From (2.1.21), (2.1.22) and (2.1.26), we get

$$P(t,t) = R t = t$$

$$Q(t, t) = St = t$$

Therefore, (t, t) is a common coupled fixed point of P, Q, R , and S .

Let (u, v) be a common coupled fixed point of P, Q, R and S . Then

$$d(u, v) = d(P(u, v), Q(v, u))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s^2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(Ru, Sv), d(Rv, Su), \frac{1}{2}d(Ru, P(u, v)), \\ \frac{1}{2}d(Rv, P(v, u)), \frac{1}{2}d(Sv, Q(v, u)), \frac{1}{2}d(Su, Q(u, v)), \\ d(Ru, Q(v, u)), d(Rv, Q(u, v)), d(Sv, P(u, v)), d(Su, P(v, u)) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\
&= \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s^2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(u, v), d(v, u), \frac{1}{2}d(u, u), \\ \frac{1}{2}d(v, v), \frac{1}{2}d(v, v), \frac{1}{2}d(u, u), \\ d(u, v), d(v, u), d(v, u), d(u, v) \end{array} \right\} \right) \\
&= \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s^2} \max \{d(u, v), d(v, u), d(u, u), d(v, v)\} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$d(u, v) \leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s^2} \max\{d(u, v), d(v, u), sd(u, v), sd(v, u)\} \right)$$

$$d(u, v) \leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s} \max\{d(u, v), d(v, u)\} \right) \quad \dots \quad (2.1.19)$$

Similarly,

$$d(v, u) \leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s} \max\{d(u, v), d(v, u)\} \right) \quad \dots \quad (2.1.20)$$

Therefore, $\max\{d(u, v), d(v, u)\} \leq \varphi\left(\frac{1}{s}\max\{d(u, v), d(v, u)\}\right)$

Therefore, $\max\{d(u, v), d(v, u)\} = 0$

Therefore, $d(u, v) = d(v, u) = 0 \Rightarrow u = v = t = x_0$

Therefore, the center of *supernova* space is unique common coupled fixed point of P, Q, R and S .

Corollary 3.2: Let (X, d) be a supernova space with centre x_0 and parameter $s \geq 1$ and $P: X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $R: X \rightarrow X$ be mapping satisfying

(2.2.1)

$$d(P(x,y), P(u,v)) \leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{s^2} \max \left\{ d(Rx, Ru), d(Ry, Rv), \frac{1}{2} d(Rx, P(x,y)), \right. \right.$$

$$\left. \left. \frac{1}{2} d(Ry, P(y,x)), \frac{1}{2} d(Ru, P(u,v)), \frac{1}{2} d(Rv, P(v,u)), \right. \right.$$

$$\left. \left. d(Rx, P(u,v)), d(Ry, P(v,u)), d(Ru, P(x,y)), d(Rv, P(y,x)) \right\} \right)$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ and for some $\varphi \in \Phi$.

(2.2.2) the pairs (P, R) satisfy common E. A. Like property and

(2.2.3) the pairs (P, R) are *w-compatible*.

Then the centre x_0 is the common unique coupled fixed point of P, Q in $X \times X$.

Corollary 3.3: Let (X, d) be a supernova space with centre x_0 and parameter $s = 1$ and and $P, Q, R, S: X \rightarrow X$ be mappings satisfying

$$(2.3.1) d(Px, Qy) \leq \varphi \left(\max \left\{ d(Rx, Sy), \frac{1}{2}d(Rx, Px), \frac{1}{2}d(Sy, Qy), d(Rx, Qy), d(Sy, Px) \right\} \right)$$

$$(2.3.2) d(Qx, Py) \leq \varphi \left(\max \left\{ d(Sx, Ry), \frac{1}{2}d(Ry, Py), \frac{1}{2}d(Sx, Qx), d(Ry, Qx), d(Sx, Py) \right\} \right)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and for some $\varphi \in \Phi$

(2.3.3) the pairs (P, R) and (Q, S) satisfy common E. A. Like property and

(2.2.4) the pairs (P, R) are weakly compatible.

Then the centre x_0 is the common unique fixed point of P, Q, R, S in X .

4. References

1. Abbas M, Alikhan M, Radenovic S. Common coupled fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces for w-compatible mappings, *Appl. Math. comput.* 2010; 217(1):195-202.
2. GVR Babu, YJ Cho, Kameswari MVR. Common Coupled Fixed Points of a Pair of Compatible Mappings satisfying the Condition (B) with a Rational Expression in Partially Ordered Metric Spaces *Thai Journal of Mathematics*. 2014; 13(3):561-579.
3. Banach S. Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits ET leurs applications aux equations integrals, *Fund. Math.* 1922; 3:133-181.
4. Bhaskar TG, Lakshmikantham V, Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*. 2006; 65(7):1379-1393.
5. Brouwer LEJ. über Abbildungen Von Mannigfaltigkeiten, *Math. Ann.* 1912; 77:97-115.
6. Choudhury BS, Das KP. A new contraction principle in Menger spaces, *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series*. 2008; 24(8):1379-1386.
7. Choudhury BS, Kumar S, Asha Das K. Some fixed point theorems in G-metric spaces, *Math. Sci. Lett.* 2012; 1(1):25-31.
8. Edelstein M. An Extension of Banach's Contraction Principle, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 1961; 12:7-10.
9. Hicks TL. Fixed point theorems for d – complete topological spaces 1, *Internat. J Math. and Mat. Sci.* 1992; 15(3):435-440.
10. Lakshmikantham V, Cirić Lj. Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*. 2009; 70(12):4341-4349.
11. Pant VRP. Pant fixed point theorems in Fuzzy Metric for non-compatible maps, *Soocho J Mathematics*. RP. 2007; 33(4):647-665.
12. Samet B, Vetro C. Coupled fixed point theorems for multi-valued nonlinear contractiuon mappings in partially ordered metric spaces. *Nonlinear Analysis, TMA*. 2011; 74(12):4260-4268.
13. Samet B, Karanipar E, Aydi H, Cojbasin V. Discussion on some coupled fixed point theorems: Fixed Point Theory & Applications. 2013, 50.
14. Sastry KPR, Srinivasa Rao CH. A unique fixed point theorem for a self-map on F – complete topological spaces, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.* 2000; 92(1):71-74.
15. Sastry KPR, Sarma KKM. Ch. Srinivasa Rao, Vedula Perraju: Common Coupled Fixed Point Theorems for Geraghty-Type Contraction Mappings Using Monotone Property in Partially Ordered Partial b-Metric Spaces, *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online)*. Index Copernicus Value, 2013, 2319-7064.
16. Sastry KPR, Kameswari MVR, Srinivasa Rao CH, Venkata Bhaskar R. Banach Type Fixed Point Theorems for set valued map on a Fuzzy metric spaces, *Gen. Math. Notes*. 2014; 23(1):32-44.
17. Sastry KPR, Sarma KKM, Srinivasarao Ch, Vedula Perraju. Coupled fixed point theorems for α - ψ contractive type mappings in partially ordered partial metric spaces, *International J. of Pure and Engg. Mathematics (IJPEM) ISSN*. 2015; 3(1):245-262, 2348-3881.
18. Sastry KPR, Sarma KKM, S Lakshmana Rao. Coupled fixed point theorems for self-maps on partially ordered multiplicative metric spaces, *IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM) e-ISSN: 2278-5728, p-ISSN: 2319-765X*. 2017; 13(5):78-84.
19. Sridevi K, Kameswari MVR, Kiran DMK. A Common Coupled Fixed Point Theorem Using E. A. Like Property in Supernova Spaces, accepted and presented in International Conference on Mathematical Sciences and Applications (ICMSA-2018) February, 2018.
20. Sumitra, Ibtisam Masmali, Coupled fixed point theorems with clrg property in fuzzy metric spaces, *IJRRAS*, 2013; 15(3).
21. Wadhwa K, Dubey H, Jain R. Impact of E. A. Like property on common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, *J Adv. Stud. Topology*. 2012; 3(1):52-59.