A common coupled fixed point theorem using E.A. like property in supernova spaces

K Sridevi, MVR Kameswari and DMK Kiran

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a new space, named Supernova Space and provide an example. We prove a common coupled fixed point theorem for four maps satisfying the E. A. Like property and w-compatibility in this space. We also obtain some corollaries from this result.
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1. Introduction

A fixed point theorem was given by Brouwer [8] in 1912, after that the polish mathematician Stephan Banach [3] proved contraction mapping theorem and named Banach fixed point theorem in 1922. It is an important tool in the theory of metric spaces. Many authors studied, extended, generalized and improved this theory to generalized metric spaces like statistical metric spaces, Menger spaces, d-complete topological spaces, F-complete metric spaces, G-Metric spaces, Fuzzy Metric spaces, Quasi metric spaces, partial metric spaces, b-metric spaces, dislocated quasi b-metric spaces, complex valued metric spaces [6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 14, 16].

Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [4] introduced the concept of coupled fixed points for a given partially ordered set X. After that Lakshmikantham and Ciric [10] defined coincidence point and common coupled fixed point for a pair of maps and Samet et al. [12, 13] proved coupled fixed point theorems. K. P. R. Satistry et al. [15, 17, 18] proved some results on coupled fixed point theorems and G. V. R. Babu et al. [2] proved some results on coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces. Sumitra et al. [21] proved some results on coupled fixed point theorems in Fuzzy metric spaces.

K. Wadhwa et al. [21] introduced the notion of E. A. Like property in fuzzy metric spaces. Abbas et al. [1] introduced the notion of w-compatible mapping.

In this paper, we introduce a new space, named supernova space and we extend the definition of E. A. Like property introduced by K. Wadhwa et al. [21] to supernova space and prove a common coupled fixed point theorem for four maps satisfying w-compatibility in this new supernova space. This paper accepted and presented in International Conference on Mathematical Sciences and Applications by D. M. K. Kiran [19].

2. Preliminaries:

Definition 2.1: Let X be non-empty set, s ≥ 1 and d: X × X → R+ be a function. Consider the following conditions of d

(1.1.1) There exists a unique point x₀ such that \(d(x, y) = d(y, x) \iff x = y = x₀.\)

(1.1.2) \(d(x, y) \leq s[d(x, z) + d(z, y)] \forall x, y, z \in X.\)

Are satisfied. Then \((X, d)\) is called supernova space and s is called a parameter of \((X, d)\). x₀ is called the centre of the supernova.

Example 2.2: Let \(X = [0, 1]\) and \(d(x, y) = |x − y|^2 + |x|\). Then \(d\) is a supernova space with \(s = 2\).
**Definition 2.3:** Let \((X,d)\) be a supernova space. A sequence \(\{x_n\}\) in \((X,d)\) is convergent if there exists some point \(x \in X\) such that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x, x_n) = 0
\]
In this case, \(x\) is the called a limit of \(\{x_n\}\) and we write \(x_n \to x\) as \(n \to \infty\).

**Definition 2.4:** Let \((X,d)\) be a supernova space. A sequence \(\{x_n\}\) in \((X,d)\) is said to be a Cauchy sequence if
\[
\lim_{m,n \to \infty} d(x_m, x_n) = 0
\]

**Note 2.5:** If \(x_n \to x\) and \(y_n \to y\) as \(n \to \infty\) then \(x = y\) and \(x = y = x_0\).

**Notation 2.6:** Write \(\Phi = \{\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+, \varphi \text{ is continuous, increasing and } \varphi(t) < t \text{ for } t > 0\}\). The following Lemma 1.7 can be easily established.

**Lemma 2.7:** Let \((X,d)\) be a supernova space and \(\{x_n\}\) be convergent to \(x \in X\) and \(y \in X\). Then
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf d(x_n, y) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup d(x_n, y) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, y) = sd(x, y)
\]

**Definition 2.8:** An element \((x, y) \in X \times X\) is called a coupled fixed point of a mapping \(P : X \times X \to X\) if \(P(x, y) = x\) and \(P(y, x) = y\).

**Definition 2.9:** An element \((x, y) \in X \times X\) is called a coupled coincidence point of a mapping \(P : X \times X \to X\) and \(R : X \to X\) if \(P(x, y) = Rx\) and \(P(y, x) = Ry\).

**Definition 2.10:** An element \((x, y) \in X \times X\) is called a coupled fixed point of the mappings \(P : X \times X \to X\) and \(R : X \to X\) if \(P(x, y) = Rx = x\) and \(P(y, x) = Ry = y\).

**Definition 2.11:** Let \(X\) be a non-empty set. The mappings \(P : X \times X \to X\) and \(R : X \to X\) are called \(w\)-compatible if
\[
R(P(x, y)) = P(Rx, Ry)\quad \text{and}\quad R(P(y, x)) = P(Ry, Rx)
\]
whenever there exist \(x, y \in X\) such that \(P(x, y) = Rx = x\) and \(P(y, x) = Ry = y\). Now we extend the definition as E.A.Like property due to K. Wadhwa to supernova spaces.

**Definition 2.12:** Let \((X,d)\) be a supernova space and \(P : X \times X \to X\) and \(R : X \to X\) be mappings. The pair \((P, R)\) is said to satisfy common E.A.Like property if there exist sequence \(\{x_n\}\), \(\{y_n\}\) in \(X\) such that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} P(x_n, y_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} Rx_n = t
\]
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} P(y_n, x_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} Ry_n = t
\]
\(t \in R(X)\) or \(P(X \times X)\).

**Definition 2.13:** Let \((X,d)\) be a supernova space and \(P, Q : X \times X \to X\) and \(R, S : X \to X\) be mappings. The pairs \((P, R)\) and \((Q, S)\) satisfy common E. A. Like property, if there exist sequences \(\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{z_n\}, \{w_n\}\) in \(X\) such that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} P(x_n, y_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} Rx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Q(z_n, w_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sz_n = t
\]
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} P(y_n, x_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} Ry_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Q(w_n, z_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sw_n = t
\]
\(t \in R(X) \cap S(X)\) or \(P(X \times X) \cap Q(X \times X)\).

**Note 2.14:** In this case, we note that \(t = x_0\) is the center of the \((X,d)\).

**3. Main Results**

**Theorem 3.1:** Let \((X,d)\) be a supernova space with centre \(x_0\) and parameter \(s \geq 1\) and \(P, Q : X \times X \to X\) and \(R, S : X \to X\) be mappings satisfying

\[(2.1.1)\]
\[ d(P(x, y), Q(u, v)) \leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s^2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(Rx, Su), d(Ry, Sv), \frac{1}{2} d(Rx, P(x, y)) , \\
\frac{1}{2} d(Ry, P(y, x)), \frac{1}{2} d(Su, Q(u, v)), \frac{1}{2} d(Sv, Q(v, u)), \\
\frac{1}{2} d(Rx, Q(u, v)), d(Ry, Q(v, u)), d(Su, P(x, y)), d(Sv, P(y, x)) \end{array} \right\} \right) \]

(2.1.2)

\[ d(Q(x, y), P(u, v)) \leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s^2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(Sx, Ru), d(Sy, Rv), \frac{1}{2} d(Sx, Q(x, y)) , \\
\frac{1}{2} d(Sy, Q(y, x)), \frac{1}{2} d(Ru, P(u, v)), \frac{1}{2} d(Rv, P(v, u)), \\
\frac{1}{2} d(Sx, P(u, v)), d(Sy, P(v, u)), d(Ru, Q(x, y)), d(Rv, Q(y, x)) \end{array} \right\} \right) \]

for all \( x, y, u, v \in X \) and for some \( \varphi \in \Phi \).

(2.1.3) the pairs \((P, R)\) and \((Q, S)\) satisfy common E. A. Like property and

(2.1.4) the pairs \((P, R)\) and \((Q, S)\) are \( \alpha \) compatible.

Then the centre \( x_0 \) is the unique common coupled fixed point of \( P, Q, R, S \) in \( X \times X \).

**Proof:** Since the pairs \((P, R)\) and \((Q, S)\) satisfy common E. A. Like property, there exist sequence \( \{x_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{z_n\}, \{w_n\}\) in \( X \) such that

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} P(x_n, y_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} Rx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Q(z_n, w_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sz_n = t \quad (2.1.5) \]

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} P(y_n, x_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} Ry_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Q(w_n, z_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sw_n = t \quad (2.1.6) \]

For some \( t \in R(X) \cap S(X) \) or \( P(X \times X) \cap Q(X \times X) \).

We observe that \( t = x_0 \), form note after definition 1.4.

Without loss of generality assume that \( t \in R(X) \cap S(X) \). Since

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} Rx_n = t \in R(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} Rconfiguration = t \in R(X) \quad (2.1.7) \]

There exist \( u, v \in X \) such that \( t = Ru \) and \( Sv = t \quad (2.1.8) \)

Now from Lemma 1.7, (2.1.1), for infinity many \( n \), we have,

\[ \frac{1}{s} d(t, P(u, u)) \leq d(Q(z_n, w_n), P(u, u)) \]

\[ \leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s^2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(Sz_n, Ru), d(Sw_n, Ru), \frac{1}{2} d(Sz_n, Q(z_n, w_n)) , \\
\frac{1}{2} d(Sw_n, Q(w_n, z_n)), \frac{1}{2} d(Ru, P(u, u)), \frac{1}{2} d(Ru, P(u, u)), \\
\frac{1}{2} d(Sz_n, P(u, u)), d(Sw_n, P(u, u)), d(Ru, Q(z_n, w_n)), d(Ru, Q(w_n, z_n)) \end{array} \right\} \right) \]

\[ = \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s^2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(Sz_n, t), d(Sw_n, t), s \max \{d(Sz_n, t), d(t, Q(z_n, w_n))\} , \\
\frac{1}{2} d(t, Q(w_n, z_n)), \frac{1}{2} d(t, P(u, u)), \frac{1}{2} d(t, P(u, u)), \\
\frac{1}{2} d(Sz_n, P(u, u)), d(Sw_n, P(u, u)), d(t, Q(z_n, w_n)), d(t, Q(w_n, z_n)) \end{array} \right\} \right) \]

\[ \leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s^2} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(t, t), d(t, t), sd(t, t), sd(t, t), \frac{1}{2} d(t, P(u, u)), \\
\frac{1}{2} d(t, P(u, u)), sd(t, P(u, u)), sd(t, P(u, u)), d(t, t), d(t, t) \end{array} \right\} \right) \]

\[ \leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s^2} \left( s \ d(t, P(u, u)) \right) \right) = \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s^2} \left( d(t, P(u, u)) \right) \right) \]

\[ \frac{1}{s} d(t, P(u, u)) \leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s} \left( d(t, P(u, u)) \right) \right) \quad (2.1.9) \]

Therefore, \( d(t, P(u, u)) = 0 \quad (2.1.10) \)
Again for infinitely many \(n\),

\[
\frac{1}{s} d(P(u, u), t) \leq d(P(u, u), Q(z_n, w_n))
\]

\[
\leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s^2} \max \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2} d(Ru, Sz_n), d(Ru, Sw_n), d(Ru, P(u, u)), \\
\frac{1}{2} d(Sz_n, Kw_n), d(Sw_n, Q(z_n, w_n)), d(Sw_n, Q(w_n, z_n)), \\
d(Ru, Q(z_n, w_n)), d(Ru, Q(w_n, z_n)), d(Sz_n, P(u, u)), d(Sw_n, P(u, u)) \end{cases} \right)
\]

\[
= \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s^2} \max \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2} d(t, Sz_n), d(t, Sw_n), d(t, P(u, u)), d(t, P(u, u)), \\
\frac{1}{2} d(t, P(u, u), sd(t, t), s \max \{d(Sz_n, t), d(t, Q(z_n, w_n))\}, d(t, T(w_n, z_n)), d(t, Q(z_n, w_n)), d(t, Q(w_n, z_n)), d(t, Q(z_n, w_n)), d(Sz_n, P(u, u)), d(Sw_n, P(u, u)) \end{cases} \right)
\]

\[
\leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s^2} \max \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2} d(t, t), d(t, t), d(t, P(u, u)), d(t, P(u, u)), d(t, P(u, u)), s \max \{d(t, P(u, u))\} \end{cases} \right)
\]

\[
\leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s^2} \left( sd(t, P(u, u)) \right) \right) = \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s} \left( d(t, P(u, u)) \right) \right)
\]

Therefore

\[
\frac{1}{s} d(P(u, u), t) \leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s} \left( d(t, P(u, u)) \right) \right) - - - - (2.1.11)
\]

Now from (2.1.10) and (2.1.11), we get

\[
d(P(u, u), t) = 0 - - - - (2.1.12)
\]

From (2.1.10), (2.1.12) and (1.1.1), we write

\[
d(t, P(u, u)) = d(P(u, u), t) = 0 \implies P(u, u) = t = x_0 - - - - (2.1.13)
\]

Now from Lemma 1.7, (2.1.2), for inﬁnity many \(n\), we have,

\[
\frac{1}{s} d(t, Q(v, v)) \leq d(P(x_n, y_n), Q(v, v))
\]

\[
\leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s^2} \max \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2} d(Rx_n, Sv), d(Ry_n, Sv), d(Rx_n, P(x_n, y_n)), \\
\frac{1}{2} d(Ry_n, P(y_n, x_n), d(Sv, Q(v, v)), d(Sv, Q(v, v)), \\
d(Rx_n, Q(v, v)), d(Ry_n, Q(v, v)), d(Sx_n, P(x_n, y_n)), d(Sy_n, P(y_n, x_n)) \end{cases} \right)
\]

\[
= \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s^2} \max \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2} d(Rx_n, t), d(Ry_n, t), s \max \{d(Rx_n, t), d(t, P(x_n, y_n))\}, \\
\frac{1}{2} d(Ry_n, t), d(t, P(y_n, x_n)), d(Sv, Q(v, v)), d(Sv, Q(v, v)), \\
d(t, Q(v, v)), d(t, Q(v, v)), d(Sx_n, P(x_n, y_n)), d(Sy_n, P(y_n, x_n)) \end{cases} \right)
\]

\[
\leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s^2} \max \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2} d(t, t), d(t, t), s \max \{d(t, t), d(t, t)\}, d(t, Q(v, v)), d(t, Q(v, v)), \\
\frac{1}{2} d(t, Q(v, v)), s \max \{d(t, Q(v, v))\}, d(t, t), d(t, t) \end{cases} \right)
\]

\[
\leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s^2} \left( sd(t, Q(v, v)) \right) \right) = \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s} \left( d(t, Q(v, v)) \right) \right)
\]
Therefore
\[ \frac{1}{s} d(t, Q(v, v)) \leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s} \left( d(t, Q(v, v)) \right) \right) - - - - (2.1.14) \]

Therefore, \( d(t, Q(v, v)) = 0 - - - - (2.1.15) \)

Similarly,
\[ \frac{1}{s} d(Q(v, v), t) \leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s} \left( d(t, Q(v, v)) \right) \right) - - - - (2.1.16) \]

\textit{from} (2.1.15), (2.1.16)
\[ d(Q(v, v), t) = 0 - - - - (2.1.17) \]

From (2.1.15), (2.1.17) and (1.1),
\[ d(t, Q(v, v)) = d(Q(v, v), t) = 0 \implies Q(v, v) = t = x - - - - (2.1.18) \]

From (2.1.8), (2.1.3), (2.1.18)
\[ P(u, u) = t = Ru - - - - (2.1.19) \]
\[ Q(v, v) = t = S v - - - - (2.1.20) \]

Since the pairs \((P, R)\) and \((Q, S)\) are \textit{compatible}, we have
\[ R(P(u, u)) = P(Ru, Ru) = P(t, t) \implies Rt = P(t, t) - - - - (2.1.21) \]
\[ S(Q(v, v)) = Q(Sv, Sv) = Q(t, t) \implies St = Q(t, t) - - - - (2.1.22) \]

Consider,
\[ d(Rt, St) = d(P(t, t), Q(t, t)) \]
\[ \leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s^2} \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2} d(Rt, St), \frac{1}{2} d(Rt, P(t, t)), \frac{1}{2} d(St, Q(t, t)) \right\} \right) \]
\[ = \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s^2} \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2} d(Rt, St), \frac{1}{2} d(Rt, Rt), \frac{1}{2} d(St, St) \right\} \right) \]
\[ = \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s^2} \max \left\{ d(Rt, St), d(St, Rt), \frac{1}{2} d(Rt, Rt), \frac{1}{2} d(St, St) \right\} \right) \]

Therefore, \( d(Rt, St) \leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s^2} \max \{ d(Rt, St), d(St, Rt), sd(Rt, St), sd(St, Rt) \} \right) \)

Therefore, \( d(Rt, St) \leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s} \max \{ d(Rt, St), d(St, Rt) \} \right) - - - - (2.1.23) \)

Similarly,
\[ d(St, Rt) \leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s} \max \{ d(St, Rt), d(St, St) \} \right) - - - - (2.1.24) \]

Therefore, \( \max \{ d(Rt, St), d(St, Rt) \} \leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s} \max \{ d(Rt, St), d(St, Rt) \} \right) \)

Therefore, \( \max \{ d(Rt, St), d(St, Rt) \} = 0 \)

Therefore, \( d(Rt, St) = d(St, Rt) = 0 - - - - (2.1.25) \)
From (2.1.25) and (1.1.1), we get $St = Rt = t - t$ (2.1.26)

From (2.1.21), (2.1.22) and (2.1.26), we get

$P(t, t) = Rt = t$

$Q(t, t) = St = t$

Therefore, $(t, t)$ is a common coupled fixed point of $P, Q, R,$ and $S$.

Let $(u, v)$ be a common coupled fixed point of $P, Q, R$ and $S$. Then

$$d(u, v) = d(P(u, v), Q(v, u))$$

$$\leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s^2} \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2} d(Ru, Sv), \frac{1}{2} d(Rv, Su), \frac{1}{2} d(Ru, P(u, v)), \frac{1}{2} d(Rv, P(v, u)) \right\} \right)$$

$$= \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s^2} \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2} d(u, v), \frac{1}{2} d(u, v), \frac{1}{2} d(u, v) \right\} \right)$$

$$= \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s^2} \max \{d(u, v), d(u, v), d(u, v), d(v, v)\} \right)$$

$$d(u, v) \leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s^2} \max \{d(u, v), d(u, v), sd(u, v), sd(v, v)\} \right)$$

(2.1.19)

Similarly,

$$d(v, u) \leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s^2} \max \{d(u, v), d(u, v)\} \right)$$

(2.1.20)

Therefore,

$$\max \{d(u, v), d(v, u)\} \leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s} \max \{d(u, v), d(v, u)\} \right)$$

Therefore,

$$\max \{d(u, v), d(v, u)\} = 0$$

Therefore,

$$d(u, v) = d(v, u) = 0 \Rightarrow u = v = t = x_0$$

Therefore, the center of supernova space is unique common coupled fixed point of $P, Q, R$ and $S$.

**Corollary 3.2:** Let $(X, d)$ be a supernova space with centre $x_0$ and parameter $s \geq 1$ and $P : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $R : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings satisfying

(2.2.1)

$$d(P(x, y), P(u, v)) \leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s^2} \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2} d(Rx, Ru), \frac{1}{2} d(Ry, Rv), \frac{1}{2} d(Rx, P(x, y)), \frac{1}{2} d(Ry, P(y, x)), \frac{1}{2} d(Ru, P(u, v)), \frac{1}{2} d(Rv, P(v, u)) \right\} \right)$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ and for some $\varphi \in \Phi$.

(2.2.2) the pairs $(P, R)$ satisfy common E. A. Like property and

(2.2.3) the pairs $(P, R)$ are $w$-compatible.

Then the centre $x_0$ is the common unique coupled fixed point of $P, Q$ in $X \times X$. 
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Corollary 3.3: Let \((X,d)\) be a supernova space with centre \(x_0\) and parameter \(s = 1\) and and \(P, Q, R, S : X \rightarrow X\) be mappings satisfying

\[
\begin{align*}
(2.3.1) \quad & d(Px,Qy) \leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ d(Rx,Sy), \frac{1}{2} d(Rx,Px), \frac{1}{2} d(Sy,Qy), d(Rx,Qy), d(Sy,Px) \right\} \right) \\
(2.3.2) \quad & d(Qx, Py) \leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ d(Sx,Ry), \frac{1}{2} d(Ry,Px), \frac{1}{2} d(Sx,Qx), d(Ry,Qx), d(Sx, Py) \right\} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

for all \(x, y \in X\) and for some \(\varphi \in \Phi\).

Then the centre \(x_0\) is the common unique fixed point of \(P, Q, R, S\) in \(X\).
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