

International Journal of Statistics and Applied Mathematics

ISSN: 2456-1452
 Maths 2019; 4(5): 08-10
 © 2019 Stats & Maths
 www.mathsjournal.com
 Received: 05-07-2019
 Accepted: 07-08-2019

Farhat Jabeen
 M.Phil Research Scholar,
 Department of Mathematics,
 Rabindranath Tagore
 University, Bhopal, Madhya
 Pradesh, India

Dr. Chitra Singh
 Professor, Department of
 Mathematics, Rabindranath
 Tagore University, Bhopal,
 Madhya Pradesh, India

Integral in topological spaces

Farhat Jabeen and Dr. Chitra Singh

Abstract

Let X, Y be Banach spaces (or either topological vector spaces) and let us consider the function space $C(S, X)$ of all continuous functions $f: S \rightarrow X$, from the compact (locally compact) space S into X , equipped with some appropriate topology. Put $C(S, X) = C(S)$ if $X = \mathbb{R}$. In this work we will mainly be concerned with the problem of representing linear bounded operators $T: C(S, X) \rightarrow Y$ in an integral form: $Tf = \int_S f d\mu$, for some integration process with respect to a measure μ on the Borel σ -field \mathcal{B}_S of S . The prototype of such representation is the theorem of F. Riesz according to which every continuous functional $T: C(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has the Lebesgue integral form $Tf = \int_S f d\mu$. This paper is intended to present various extensions of this theorem to the Banach spaces setting alluded to above, and to the context of locally convex spaces.

Keywords: Lebesgue integral Riemann integral, topological space

Introduction

A definition of an integral by Majorants and Minorants was first given by Perron in [8] for functions of one real variable. Perron's definition was generalized by Bauer in [1] and later on by Mafik in [7], for the case of functions of several real variables whose domains of definition are compact intervals. This generalization, however, was not sufficient, because no adequate substitution theorem holds: even a very simple transformation, e.g., a rotation, of a compact interval is not necessarily a compact interval again. A generalization which admits a relatively suitable substitution theorem was given by the author in [9] and [10]. Although the integral there is defined in a locally compact first countable Hausdorff space, the main emphases are on applications to Euclidean spaces; also only functions with compact domains of definition are integrated. In this paper we shall define a Perron-like integral in an arbitrary topological space and without any restrictions on the domains of integrable functions. Such generality, of course, causes some changes in basic definitions. Because of omission of the first axiom of countability, the derivative has to be defined by convergence of nets rather than convergence of sequences. Also the possibility of noncompact domains of integration requires a different definition of the majorant. Throughout P is a topological space and $P^\sim = P \cup \{\infty\}$ is a one-point compactification of P . If $A \subseteq P^\sim$, \bar{A} and A^\sim denote the closure of A in P and P^\sim , respectively. For $x \in P^\sim$, \mathcal{T}_x^* is a local base at x in P^\sim (see [6, p. 50]). Let \mathcal{a} be a nonempty system of subsets of P such that for every $A, B \in \mathcal{a}$, $A \cap B \in \mathcal{a}$ and $A \cup B \in \mathcal{a}$ where G, \dots, C_n are disjoint sets from \mathcal{a} . We shall assume that \mathcal{a} is a σ -system and that for each $T \in \mathcal{a}$ there are disjoint sets Z_1, \dots, Z_n from \mathcal{a} such that $T = \bigcup_{i=1}^n Z_i$ where the integer n is independent of T .

The algebra generated by \mathcal{a} is denoted by \mathcal{a}^* ; clearly $\mathcal{a}^* \subseteq \mathcal{a}$. If \mathcal{B} is a collection of subsets of P and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{a}^*$, we let $h(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \{B \in \mathcal{a} : B \subseteq A\}$. A system \mathcal{S} is said to be Semihereditary if and only if $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{S}$ for every finite disjoint collection \mathcal{B} whose union belongs to \mathcal{S} . A system \mathcal{S} is said to be stable if and only if $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{S}$ and for every $G \in \mathcal{S}$ and every $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{a}^*$ there is a $f \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{B} \subseteq f$. By a function we shall mean an extended real-valued function. A function F defined on \mathcal{S} is said to be superadditive or additive whenever $F(U \cup T) = F(U) + F(T)$ or $F(U \cup T) \geq F(U) + F(T)$, respectively, for every disjoint collection $\{U, T\}$ from \mathcal{S} for which $U \cup T \in \mathcal{S}$ and $X \setminus (U \cup T) \in \mathcal{a}^*$ as meaning. Semihereditary and stable systems and their connection with superadditive functions were investigated in [11, 12], and [13]. From now on we shall assume that there is given a nonnegative additive function G defined on \mathcal{a}^* and such

Correspondence
Farhat Jabeen
 M. phil Research Scholar,
 Department of Mathematics,
 Rabindranath Tagore
 University, Bhopal (M.P.), India

that $G(\mathcal{E}) < +\infty$ for every $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{T}X: X \subseteq P$. Intuitively, G is a finitely additive locally finite measure in P . With every point $x \in P$ we associate a certain family KX of nets $\{B_u^* \mid u \in \mathcal{U}(x)\}$ where $\mathcal{U}(x)$ is a cofinal subset of $\mathcal{Y}(x)$ (see [6, Chapter 2]). The collection $K = \{KX: X \subseteq P\}$ is called a convergence (or some-times a derivation basis—see [4, 1.1]). For $x \in P$ and $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$, $\mathcal{K}(x, \mathcal{C}) = \{\mathcal{E} \mid \mathcal{E} \in KX: \{S^*\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^*\}$. Throughout we shall assume that the convergence K satisfies the following conditions: 3.5C1. For every $x \in P$, $\{\mathcal{U}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{T}X, \mathcal{C}\} \in G^{**}$ and for every integer i , $1 \leq i \leq \infty$, $\{\mathcal{U}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{T}X, \mathcal{C}\} \in G^{**}$, 3C2. If $s \in G^*$ and $\{B_u \mid u \in \mathcal{U}(x), \mathcal{C}\} \in G^{**}$, then for every $f \in I^*$ there is a $f/r \in G$ such that $BVC \in V$ for all $U \in \mathcal{T}$ for which $\{7C \ J7F. \ 5C3$. If $x \in P$, $\{S^* \in G^{**}$, and T is a cofinal subset of \mathcal{T} , then also $\{B_u \mid u \in T \subseteq \mathcal{U}(x), \mathcal{C}\} \in G^{**}$, and $\wedge G^0$, then also $\{BUC \setminus A\} \in G^{**}$. 5C6. If $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^*$ is a nonempty semihereditary, stable system, then the set $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{E}LP \sim: Kx \setminus \{h\} \wedge \mathcal{O})$ is uncountable. If for every $x \in P$, \mathcal{K} consists of all nets $\{B_v\}$ which satisfy condition JC2, then the convergence K is called the natural convergence and is denoted by K° . It follows from [12, 2.4] that the natural convergence already satisfies all conditions JC1-JC5. Therefore, conditions

The abstract notion of a convergence is the basis for the whole theory. Proposition 4 indicates that we should use a convergence K with KX as small as possible. On the other hand, it is plain that if the KX are too small, we shall not obtain any integral at all. Conditions 3&-3C* form a set of minimal requirements which a convergence K must satisfy in order to give a meaningful integral. C1-3C5 are not contradictory and it was shown in [17], that they are also independent. Other useful examples of convergences which satisfy conditions JC1-5C6 can be found in [9], [10], and [17]. Let $x \in P$, $A \subseteq P$ and let F be a function on A . We call the number $tF(x, A) = \inf \{\liminf F(B_u): \{B_u\} \in KX \setminus \{TA\}\}$ the lower limit of F at x relative to A and the number $*F(x, A) = \sup \{F(B_u): \{B_u\} \in KX \setminus \{TA\}\}$ the lower derivate of F at x relative to A . Let $A \subseteq P$ and let f be a function on A . A superadditive function M on (TA) is said to be a majorant of f on A if and only if there is a countable set $ZMCZA$ such that $\#(-G)(x, -4) \wedge \mathcal{O}$ for all $X \subseteq ZM$, $iM(x, A) \wedge \mathcal{Q}$ for all $x \in ZAAJ(\mathcal{O})$, and $0 \leq M(x, A) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in ZELA \sim ZM^*$. The number $\int_-(f, A) = \inf M(A)$ where the infimum is taken over all majorants of f on A is called the upper integral of f over A . 4. Proposition 1. Let $A \subseteq P$ and let f be a function on A . Iff $\wedge \mathcal{O}$ then $\int_-(f, A) \wedge \mathcal{O}$. Proposition 2. Let $A \subseteq P$ and let f be a function on A . Then the function $\int_-(f, \cdot)$ is additive on A^* . Proposition 3. Let $A \subseteq P$ and let $\{f_n\}$ be an increasing sequence of functions defined on A . If $\int_-(f_n, A) > -\infty$ then $\lim \int_-(f_n, A) = \int_-(\lim f_n, A)$. Definition. Let $A \subseteq P$ and let f be a function on A . Choose disjoint sets A_1, \dots, A_n from A such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = A$ and set $\int_-(f, A) = \int_-(f, A_i)$. When $\int_-(f, A) = \int_-(f, A_i) \pm 00$ this common value is called the integral of f over A and it is denoted by $\int_-(f, A)$. By [12, (1.1)] the sets A_1, \dots, A_n always exist and by Proposition 2 the value of $\int_-(f, A)$ is independent of their choice. Thus the previous definition extends the original meaning of the upper integral. If $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^*$, $\mathcal{U}(A)$ denotes the family of all functions f defined on A for which the integral $\int_-(f, A)$ exists and $\wedge \mathcal{O}(A) = \{f \in \mathcal{U}(A): \int_-(f, A) \wedge \mathcal{O}\}$. Theorem 1. If $A \in G^*$ and $G^*(A) \wedge \mathcal{O}$, then $f \in G^*(A)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{U}(A)$. Theorem 2. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^*$, $f, g \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and let a, b be real numbers. If $h(x) = af(x) + bg(x)$ for all $x \in A$ for which $af(x) + bg(x)$ has meaning, then $\int_-(h, A) = a \int_-(f, A) + b \int_-(g, A)$. Moreover, iff $\int_-(h, A) \wedge \mathcal{O}$ then also $\int_-(f, A) \wedge \mathcal{O}$ and $\int_-(g, A) \wedge \mathcal{O}$. Corollary. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^*$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{U}(A)$. Then $\max(\int_-(f, A), \int_-(g, A)) \wedge \mathcal{O}$ and $\min(\int_-(f, A), \int_-(g, A)) \wedge \mathcal{O}$. Moreover, if

$\int_-(h, A) \wedge \mathcal{O}$ and $\int_-(f, A) \wedge \mathcal{O}$, then $\int_-(h, A) \wedge \mathcal{O}$. THEOREM 3. Let $A \subseteq P$, $f, g \in \mathcal{U}(A)$, and let $f \wedge h \wedge g$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. If $\lim h_n = h$ then $\int_-(h, A) \wedge \mathcal{O}$ and $\int_-(h, A) = \lim \int_-(h_n, A)$. EXAMPLE 1. Let P be r -dimensional Euclidean space and let \mathcal{C} be the system of all half-open intervals (bounded, unbounded, or de-generate). For $x \in P$ let KX consist of all sequences $\{K_n\}$ $n = 1, 2, \dots$ which satisfy condition 5C2 and such that either $\# \mathcal{E} \setminus \{i\} \cap K_n \cap \mathcal{O}$ $K_n = 0$ for all sufficiently large n . Then the convergence K satisfies conditions 3C1-3C5 (see [12, 3.2]) and the integral $\int_-(f, A)$ coincides with the integral defined by Maffk in [7]. In particular, if $r = 1$ and if G is the restriction of the Lebesgue measure, then the integral $\int_-(f, A)$ coincides with the classical Perron integral (see [8] or [18]). EXAMPLE 2. Let P be the discrete space of positive integers, let \mathcal{C} consist of the empty set, singletons $\{n\}$, and intervals $[n, +\infty)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and let $K = K^\circ$ be the natural convergence. If G is the counting measure on \mathcal{C} , then $\int_-(f, A) = \sum_{x \in A} f(x)$ if and only if the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f(i)$ is conditionally convergent; $\int_-(f, A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f(i)$ when either side has meaning. Given another convergence $K' = \{K_i: \mathcal{E} \in P\}$ which satisfies conditions JC1-JC5, we can introduce the symbols \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{S} the meaning of which is obvious. The connection between $\int_-(f, A)$ and $\int_-(f, A)$ is given by the following proposition. Proposition 4. Let $KX' \subseteq KX$ for all $x \in P$. Then for every $A \subseteq P$, $\int_-(f, A) \subseteq \int_-(f, A)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{U}(A)$. A point $x \in P$ is said to be simple if and only if $\mathcal{T}x = \{\mathcal{U}(x) \setminus \{i\}, \mathcal{O}\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ and $f \in \mathcal{U}(x)$ for every $\{B_n\}$ and $\{C_n\}$ from KX ($\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{U}(x)$ also $\{B_n \setminus C_n\}$ and $\{B_n - C_n\}$ belong to Kx (\mathcal{C})). Notice that if $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^*$ and $K = K^\circ$ is the natural convergence, then $\mathcal{E} \in P$ is simple whenever $r^* = \{Z \setminus n\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. Theorem 4. Let $A \subseteq P$ and let f be a function on A . Let $x \in P$ be a simple point at which P' is Hausdorff 5 and let either $x \in A$ or $\#(-\mathcal{C})(x, A) \wedge \mathcal{O}$. Further suppose that $f \in \mathcal{U}(x)$ for every $B \in \mathcal{C}$ for which $x \in B$ and that $\int_-(f, A - B_n) = c_j \pm 00$ for every $\{B_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ for which $x \in (A - B_n) \setminus \{i\}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. For the remainder of this paper we shall assume that P is a locally compact Hausdorff space. Unless otherwise specified our terminology concerning measures is that of [3]. If $A \subseteq P$, $\mathcal{X}(A)$ denotes the characteristic function of A . Let $\mathcal{S}(A)$ be the family of all sets AQP for which $\mathcal{X}(A) \in \mathcal{S}(A)$ for every compact set CQP . For 4G2 we let $\int_-(f, A) = \int_-(f, A)$. Theorem 5. The triple $(P, \mathcal{C}, \int_-(f, A))$ is a complete measure space and \mathcal{C} contains all open subsets of P . The measure $\int_-(f, A)$ is inner regular on open sets and outer regular and finite on compact sets. Moreover, if $C \subseteq P$ is compact, then $\int_-(f, C) = \inf \int_-(f, A)$ where the infimum is taken over all sets $A \subseteq P$ whose interior contains C . 6 THEOREM 6. A function f belongs to $\mathcal{S}(A)$ if and only if the Lebesgue integral $\int_-(f, A)$ exists; $\int_-(f, A) = \int_-(f, A)$ when either side has meaning. Moreover, if f or every $A \subseteq P$ and for every function f on A the upper integral $\int_-(f, A)$ is independent of values which f takes on $A - A$, then $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^*$ and a function f belongs to $\mathcal{S}(A)$ if and only if the Lebesgue integral $\int_-(f, A)$ exists; $\int_-(f, A) = \int_-(f, A)$ when either side has meaning. For AQP let $\int_-(f, A) = \inf \int_-(f, A)$ where the infimum is taken over all open sets UQP for which $A \subseteq U$. It is easy to see that $\int_-(f, A)$ is an outer measure in P and we shall denote by \mathcal{X}_0 the family of all $\mathcal{S}(A)$ measurable subsets of P . Theorem 7. The triple $(P, \mathcal{S}(A), \int_-(f, A))$ is a complete measure space and the measure $\int_-(f, A)$ is regular. 7 Moreover, if $(P, \mathcal{S}(A), \int_-(f, A))$ is a measure space with a regular measure $\int_-(f, A)$, $\mathcal{Z}(A)$, and $G(A) = \mathcal{N}(A)$ for every $A \subseteq P$ for which A is compact, then $\mathcal{S}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^*$ and $\mathcal{N}(A) = \mathcal{C}^*$ for every $A \subseteq P$. Theorem 8. Always $\mathcal{X}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{C}^*$ and $\int_-(f, A) = \int_-(f, A)$ for every set $A \subseteq P$ which is \mathcal{L}_∞ -finite. If P is paracompact then $\mathcal{L}_\infty(A) = \mathcal{C}^*$ for every $A \subseteq P$. Theorem 9. Suppose

that aX_0 and that $G(A) = iQ(A)$ for every $A \in \mathcal{C}$ for which A is compact. Let $A \in \mathcal{C}$ and let f be a function on A for which the Lebesgue integral $\int_A f d\mu$ exists. If A is i -finite then $f \in \mathcal{S}_0(A)$ and $I(f, A) = \int_A f d\mu$. Corollary. Let $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{C}_0$ and let $G(A) = i0$ for every $A \in \mathcal{C}$ for which A is compact. If $i0$ is a -finite then for every $A \in \mathcal{C}$ and for every f function f on A the upper integral $I_u(f, A)$ is independent of values which f takes on A . Let $K = K^\circ$ be the natural convergence. It was proved in [17] that in this case $(P, \%, i) = (P, X_0, \text{to})$ and every function $f \in \mathcal{T}_y(A)$ is t -measurable. Moreover, if $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^*$ then $\mathcal{T}_y(A) = \mathcal{S}_0(A)$ for every $A \in \mathcal{C}$. Example 3. Let $P = (-\infty, +\infty)$ and let \mathcal{C} consist of all intervals which either do not contain 0 in their closures or are of the form $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ where $\epsilon > 0$. Let $K_X = K_\%$ for $x \neq 0$ and let K_0 consist of the net $\{(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \mid \epsilon > 0\}$ and the trivial net $\{0\}$. Finally, let G be the restriction of the Lebesgue measure. Then K satisfies conditions 3C1-3C6 and f belongs to $\mathcal{S}_0(P)$ if and only if the Lebesgue integral $\int_A f d\mu$ exists; $f \in \mathcal{T}_y(P)$ when either side has meaning. On the other hand, f belongs to $\mathcal{S}(P)$ if and only if the Cauchy principle value $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} f(x) dx = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (\int_{-\epsilon}^0 f + \int_0^{\epsilon} f)$ exists; $f \in \mathcal{T}_y(P)$ when either side has meaning. Notice that 0 is not a simple point of P . Complete proofs of the results stated here will be given in [13], [14] and [16].

References

1. Bauer H. Der Perronsche Integralbegriff und seine Beziehung zum Lebesgue-Integral, Monatsh. Math. Phys. 1915; 26:153-198.
2. Bogdanowicz WM. A generalization of the Lebesgue-Bochner-Stieltjes integral and a new approach to the theory of integration Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1965; 53:492-498.
3. Halmos PR. Measure theory, Van Nostrand, New York, 1950.
4. Hayes CA, Pauc CY. Full individual and class differentiation theorems in their relations to halo and Vitali properties, Canad. J Math. 1955; 7:221-274.
5. Hewitt E, Stromberg K. Real and abstract analysis. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1965.
6. Kelley JL. General topology, Van Nostrand, New York, 1955.
7. Mafik J. Foundation of the theory of an integral in Euclidean spaces. I-III, Casopis Pest. Mat. 1951; 77:1-51, 125-145, 267-300.
8. Perron Über den O, Integralbegriff SB. Heidelberger Acad. Wiss. Math.-Natur. Kl, 1914, 16.
9. Pfeffer WF. The Perron integral in topological spaces, Casopis Pest. Mat. 1963; 88:322-348.
10. On a definition of the integral in topological spaces. I, II, Casopis Pest. Mat. 1964; 89:129-147, 257-277.
11. A note on the lower derivate of a set function and sentiheditary systems of sets, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 1967; 18:1020-1025.
12. On the lower derivate of a set function, Canad. J Math. 1968; 20:1489-1498.
13. On the integral in topological spaces. I and II (to appear).
14. Singular integrals are Perron integrals of a certain type (to appear).
15. Pfeffer WF, Wilbur WJ. A note on cluster points of a Sentiheditary stable system of sets, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc, 21, 1969.
16. On the measurability of Perron integrable functions (to appear).

17. Wilbur WJ. On non-absolute integration in topological spaces, Ph.D. thesis, University of California, Davis, Calif.
18. Saks S. Theory of the integral, Hafner, New York, 1937.