

International Journal of Statistics and Applied Mathematics

ISSN: 2456-1452

Maths 2023; SP-8(5): 595-598

© 2023 Stats & Maths

<https://www.mathsjournal.com>

Received: 16-07-2023

Accepted: 21-08-2023

Khursheed Alam

Department of Vegetable Science,
Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel
University of Agriculture and
Technology, Meerut, Uttar
Pradesh, India

Manoj Kumar Singh

Department of Vegetable Science,
Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel
University of Agriculture and
Technology, Meerut, Uttar
Pradesh, India

Atar Singh

Department of Genetics and Plant
Breeding, Sardar Vallabhbhai
Patel University of Agriculture and
Technology, Meerut, Uttar
Pradesh, India

Muzeev Ahmad

Department of Agriculture,
Faculty of Agricultural Science &
Technology, Integral University,
Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India

Mohd Wamiq

Department of Vegetable Science,
Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel
University of Agriculture and
Technology, Meerut, Uttar
Pradesh, India

Amit Kumar

Department of Fruit Science,
Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel
University of Agriculture and
Technology, Meerut, Uttar
Pradesh, India

Manish Kumar

Department of Vegetable Science,
Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel
University of Agriculture and
Technology, Meerut, Uttar
Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author:**Khursheed Alam**

Department of Vegetable Science,
Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel
University of Agriculture and
Technology, Meerut, Uttar
Pradesh, India

Assessment of gene action for yield and its contributing traits in Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.)

Khursheed Alam, Manoj Kumar Singh, Atar Singh, Muzeev Ahmad, Mohd Wamiq, Amit Kumar and Manish Kumar

Abstract

The present experiment was carried out to assess gene action in fifty-four genotypes comprising fourteen parents and their forty crosses. Analysis of variance exhibited significant differences among the genotypes for all the traits under studied. The data was collected for various morphological characters associated with yield and its contributing traits. Estimates of additive variance (δ^2A) were lower than dominant variance (δ^2D) for different attributes except *viz.*, TSS Brix %, green pods per plant, branches per plant, pod length, pod weight and pod diameter indicating that the presence of additive gene action. The dominance variance (δ^2D) was higher than additive variance (δ^2A) for characters except *viz.*, days to first flowering, days to 50% flowering, days to first green pod picking, pod yield per plant, plant height and number of seeds per pod was indicated as non-additive gene action.

Keywords: Gene action, heterosis, pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) and variance

Introduction

Pea (*Pisum sativum* L. $2n=2x=14$) is one of the most important annual herbaceous and popular winter vegetable crops that belongs to the family Fabaceae (Leguminosae) and sub-family Papilionaceae grown all over the world. All legume crops including pea is originated in Central Asia whereas, Asia Minor is the secondary centre of origin.

Due to their high protein content, advantageous amino acid composition, and low trypsin inhibitor levels, peas can provide the essential nutrients to a variety of age groups (Aysh *et al.*, 2015) [5]. Pea protein is high in lysine and other necessary amino acids but deficient in sulphur-containing cysteine and methionine. (Ceyhan and Avci, 2005) [7].

Garden pea has high nutritive value, particularly proteins, 7.2g per 100 g of an edible portion (Singh, 2007) [7] and other health-building substances like carbohydrates, calcium and phosphorous. Peas are a good human food that may be eaten as a vegetable or in soup. During times of scarcity, a large volume of peas is canned, frozen, or dehydrated for consumption. It is eaten as a grain legume as well as a vegetable and is known as field pea and vegetable pea, respectively

The choice of parents for use in hybridization programmes and the suitable breeding method for the genetic development of certain quantitative traits are both aided by knowledge of gene activity in plant breeding (Sharma *et al.*, 2013) [16]. Therefore, to choose the ideal parents for hybridization and enhance the existing cultivars, knowledge of combining ability and the nature of gene effects is required. There is some information on the additive and non-additive effects related to yield and qualities that influence yield, but it depends on the region, the genetic material involved, and the specific environmental factors.

Materials and Methods

Fifty-four pea genotypes were carried out using a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications during *rabi* season, 2021-22 and 2022-23 at the Horticulture Research Centre of the Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut-250110 (U.P.), The following key traits were recorded for days to first flowering, days to 50% flowering, days to first green pod picking, plant height, branches per plant, green pod per

plant, pod weight, pod length, pod diameter, number of seeds per pod, TSS Brix % and pod yield per plant. All the agronomical practices were taken during the cropping season. The genetic components of variation were calculated by the methods suggested by Jinks and Hayman (1954)^[10], Hayman (1954)^[10] and Aksel and Johnson (1963)^[3].

Genetic component and their magnitude

$$\text{Cov. Half sib (line)} = \frac{M_f - M_{fm}}{rm}$$

$$\text{Cov. Half sib (tester)} = \frac{M_m - M_{fm}}{rf}$$

$$\text{Cov. Half sib (average)} = \frac{1}{r(2fm - f - m)} \left[\frac{(f-1)M_f + (m-1)M_m - M_{fm}}{f+m-2} \right]$$

$$\text{Cov. F.S. (average)} = \frac{(M_f - M_e) + (M_m - M_e) + (M_{fm} - M_e)}{3r}$$

$$\frac{6r \text{ Cov. H.S. (average)} - r(f+m) \text{ Cov. H.S. (average)}}{3r}$$

Where,

- M_f = Mean squares due to lines (females)
- M_m = Mean squares due to testers (males)
- M_{fm} = Mean squares due to line × tester interactions
- M_e = Mean squares due to error
- r = Number of replications
- f = Number of lines
- m = Number of testers

$$\text{gca variance } (\sigma^2_{\text{gca}}) = \text{Cov. H.S. (average)} = \left[\frac{1+F}{4} \right] \sigma^2_A$$

Therefore,

Additive genetic variance (σ^2_A) = 2 Cov. H.S. (average), if F = 1 and (σ^2_A) = 4 Cov. H.S. (average), if F = 0

$$\text{sca variance } (\sigma^2_{\text{sca}}) = \frac{(M_{fm} - M_e)}{r}$$

$$\sigma^2_{\text{sca}} = \left[\frac{1+F}{2} \right]^2 \sigma^2_D$$

Therefore,

Dominance variance (σ^2_D) = σ^2_{sca} with F = 1, and σ^2_D = $4\sigma^2_{\text{sca}}$, if F = 0

Where,

F = Inbreeding coefficient

Proportional contribution of lines, testers and their interactions

$$\text{Contribution of lines (\%)} = \frac{\text{S.S. (lines)}}{\text{S.S. (crosses)}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Contribution of testers (\%)} = \frac{\text{S.S. (testers)}}{\text{S.S. (crosses)}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Contribution of lines} \times \text{testers} = \frac{\text{S.S. (lines} \times \text{testers)}}{\text{S.S. (crosses)}} \times 100$$

Results and Discussion

Genetic components and their magnitude

The estimates of GCA and SCA variance, predictability ratio, average degree of dominance, narrow heritability and proportional of lines, testers and lines x testers are given in Table-1.

The estimates of δ^2_g due to males were found to be higher than δ^2_g due to females for TSS Brix % (1.58), number of seeds per pod (0.18) and pod weight (0.13) a comparison of relative magnitude of these expressed to focus that additive gene action. However, the δ^2_g due to females showed higher than the δ^2_g due to males for plant height (32.61), pod yield per plant (24.68), days to first green pod picking (6.18), days to first flowering (4.68), days to 50% flowering (4.36), green pod per plant (0.57), branches per plant (0.39), pod length (0.14) and pod diameter (0.01) which indicated that the predominance role of additive gene action.

The estimates of δ^2_s were found to be higher than the δ^2_g (pooled) for all the characters except days to first flowering (6.59), days to 50% flowering (5.49), days to first green pod picking (5.38). In reference to estimates of additive variance (δ^2_A) was lower than dominant variance (δ^2_D) for different attributes except viz., TSS Brix % (0.126), green pods per plant (0.061), branches per plant (0.044), pod length (0.023), pod weight (0.019) and pod diameter (0.0008) indicating that presence of additive gene action. The dominance variance (δ^2_D) was higher than additive variance (δ^2_A) for characters except viz., days to first flowering (6.587), days to 50% flowering (5.490), days to first green pod picking (5.382), pod yield per plant (4.643), plant height (4.358) and number of seeds per pod (0.099) was indicated as non-additive gene action. The pedigree approach of breeding may be better suitable for utilizing additive genetic variance to improve such traits. Similar results for many of these characteristics were also investigated by Brar *et al.* (2012)^[6], Kumar *et al.* (2016)^[11], Devi *et al.* (2017)^[9], Nageshwar *et al.* (2018)^[14], Tampha *et al.* (2018)^[20], Suchitra *et al.* (2022)^[18].

Average degree of dominance exhibited partial dominance for green pods per plant (0.99), branches per plant (0.91), pod weight (0.86) and pod diameter (0.35). However, over dominance was observed for days to first flowering (4.00), days to 50% flowering (3.78), days to first green pod picking (3.23), number of seeds per pod (2.66), pod yield per plant (1.24) and plant height (1.15). The mean degree of dominance was greater found unity for all traits under study. The predictability ratio was observed less than unity for all characters. Narrow sense heritability was observed for branches per plant (29.43%) followed by TSS Brix % (27.44%), pod length (24.00%), plant height (23.48%), pod diameter (18.33%), green pods per plant (17.72%), pod yield per plant (17.31%), pod weight (16.27%), number of seeds per pod (6.45%), days to 50% flowering (4.59%), days to first flowering (4.44%) and days to first green pod picking (4.17%). These results, when applied to a number of characters, closely matched by Brar *et al.* (2012)^[6], Suman *et al.* (2017)^[19], Abdulla, S. M. S. (2018)^[1] and Kumar *et al.* (2021)^[13].

Proportional contribution of lines, testers and line x tester for all the characters under study revealed that the proportional contribution of lines for all the characters range varied from 79.64% (plant height) to 5.10% (TSS Brix %). The highest contribution of lines was recorded for plant height (79.64%) followed by branches per plant (74.00%), green pods per plant (73.36%), pod diameter (71.36%), pod yield per plant (64.23%), days to first green pod picking (58.35%), pod length (55.51%), days to 50% flowering (53.62%), days to first flowering (52.10%), pod weight (44.12%), number of seeds per pod (11.28%) and TSS Brix % (5.10%). The proportional contribution of testers for all the characters range varied from 94.15% (TSS Brix %) to 0.79% (days to first green pod picking). The highest contribution of testers was observed for TSS Brix % (94.15%) followed by number of seeds per plant (55.16%), pod weight (43.52%), pod length (43.35%), pod yield per plant (21.55%), pod diameter

(19.10%), branches per plant (17.86%), green pods per plant (14.29%), plant height (9.09%), days to first flowering (3.80%), days to 50% flowering (3.57%) and days to first green pod picking (0.79%). The proportional contribution of line x tester for all the characters range varied from 44.10% (days to first flowering) to 0.75% (TSS Brix %). The highest contribution of line x tester was found for days to first flowering (44.10%) followed by days to 50% flowering (42.81%), days to first green pod picking (40.86%), number of seeds per pod (33.55%), pod yield per plant (14.22%), pod weight (12.36%), green pods per plant (12.35%), plant height (11.27%), pod diameter (9.55%), branches per plant (8.13%), pod length (1.14%) and TSS Brix % (0.75%). Similar result was also reported earlier by Santra and Chaudhary (2012)^[15], Dalia *et al.* (2013)^[8], Suman *et al.* (2017)^[19], Kumar *et al.* (2017)^[12], Abdulla, S. M. S. (2018)^[1], Askandar *et al.* (2018)^[4], Tampha *et al.* (2018)^[20] and Ahmed *et al.* (2022)^[2].

Table 1: Estimate of genetic components and their magnitude for 12 quantitative characters in Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.)

Components	DDF	DFPF	DFGPP	PH (cm)	BPP	GPPP	PW (g)	PL (cm)	PD (mm)	NSPP	TBP	PYPP (g)
σ^2A	0.413	0.384	0.515	3.271	0.044	0.061	0.019	0.023	0.0008	0.014	0.126	2.998
σ^2D	6.587	5.490	5.382	4.358	0.036	0.060	0.014	-0.030	0.0001	0.099	-0.145	4.643
σ^2g (female)	4.68	4.36	6.18	32.61	0.39	0.57	0.10	0.14	0.01	0.00	0.07	24.68
σ^2g (male)	-0.17	-0.16	-0.62	4.04	0.11	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.18	1.58	9.95
σ^2g (pooled)	1.22	1.13	1.32	12.20	0.19	0.25	0.12	0.14	0.00	0.13	1.15	14.16
σ^2s (sca)	6.59	5.49	5.38	4.36	0.04	0.06	0.01	-0.03	0.00	0.10	-0.15	4.64
Average Degree of Dominance	4.00	3.78	3.23	1.15	0.91	0.99	0.86	-	0.35	2.66	-	1.24
Predictability ratio	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.43	0.55	0.50	0.57	-3.05	0.89	0.12	-6.44	0.39
% contribution (line)	52.10	53.62	58.35	79.64	74.00	73.36	44.12	55.51	71.36	11.28	5.10	64.23
% contribution (tester)	3.80	3.57	0.79	9.09	17.86	14.29	43.52	43.35	19.10	55.16	94.15	21.55
% contribution (L x T)	44.10	42.81	40.86	11.27	8.13	12.35	12.36	1.14	9.55	33.55	0.75	14.22
Narrow sense heritability (%)	4.44	4.59	4.17	23.48	29.43	17.72	16.27	24.00	18.33	6.45	27.44	17.31

DDF= Days to first flowering DFPF= Days to 50% flowering DFGPP= Days to first green pod picking PH= Plant height (cm) BPP= Branches per plant GPPP= green pods per plant PW=Pod weight (g) PL= Pod length (cm) PD= Pod diameter (mm) NSPP= Number of seeds per pod TBP= TSS Brix % PYPP= Pod yield per plant (g)

Conclusion

The studied indicated that all the traits showed significant genotypic differences. Genetic analysis showed that all the traits studied are controlled by the additive type of gene action except *viz.*, TSS Brix %, green pods per plant, branches per plant, pod length, pod weight and pod diameter. Presence of additive gene action in traits like days to first flowering, days to 50% flowering, days to first green pod picking, pod yield per plant, plant height and number of seeds per pod suggested early selection for improvement.

References

1. Abdulla SMS. Combining ability analysis and gene action for some characters of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) genotypes. JZS Special Issue, 2nd Int. Conference of Agricultural Sciences; c2018.
2. Ahmed AY, EL-Sherbeny GAR, Eldakkak AAA, Elsaman HK. Heterosis and gene action of economic traits in pea under Sohag government condition. Journal of Sohag Agriscience (JSAS). 2022;7(2):211-217.
3. Aksel R, Johnson LPV. Analysis of a diallel cross. Advancing frontiers of plant sciences. 1963;2:37-52.
4. Askandar HS, Zibari PAA, Teli ZA. Heterosis, combining ability and gene action estimation in Pea (*Pisum sativa* L.) Using full diallel crosses. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2018;49(4):969-976.
5. Aysh FM, Habib NJ, Najla S, Murshed R, Trabj B. Genetic variability and association of quality character and pod yield in garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Walailak Journal of Science and Technology. 2015;12(3):259-265.
6. Brar PS, Dhall RK, Dinesh. Heterosis and combining ability in garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) for yield and its contributing traits. Vegetable Science. 2012;39(1):51-54.
7. Ceyhan E, Avci MA. Combining ability and heterosis for grain yield and some yield components in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Pakistan Journal of Biological Science. 2005;8(10):1447-1452.
8. Dalia MT, El-Rawy MA. Analysis of Gene Effects Controlling Some Traits in Garden Pea (*Pisum Sativum* L.). Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Science. 2013;7(1):537-542.
9. Devi S, Kumar M, Thakur K, Bharat NK, Dogra RK, Nagar A. Variability, correlation and path analysis in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) genotypes under Western Himalayan conditions. Chemical Science Review and Letters. 2017;6(21):555-560.
10. Hayman BI. The analysis of variance of diallel tables. Biometrics. 1954;10(2):235-244.
11. Kumar D, Malik S, Kumar A, Singh SK, Kumar S. Studies on combining ability through line x tester analysis in garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Annals of Horticulture. 2016;9(1):64-72.

12. Kumar M, Jeberson MS, Singh NB, Sharma R. Genetic analysis of seed yield and its contributing traits and pattern of their inheritance in field pea (*Pisum sativum* L). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;6(6):172-181.
13. Kumar S, Katoch V, Bharti A, Sharma S, Sharma A, Kumari V. Heterosis, inbreeding depression and combining ability studies in garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Legume Research-An International Journal. 2021;44(3):268-274.
14. Nageshwar, Kumar B, Suman H, Madakemohekar AH, Tamatam D. Combining ability and Heterosis analysis for grain yield and yield associated traits in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Legume Res. 2018;64:1-7.
15. Santra P, Chaudhary DR. Effect of heterosis and gene action in garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L). Journal of Food Processing and Technology. 2012;3(10):94.
16. Sharma BB, Sharma VK, Dhakar MK, Punetha S. Combining ability and gene action studies for horticultural traits in garden pea: A review. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2013;8(38):4718-4725.
17. Singh B. Horticulture at a Glance. Leguminous vegetables. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi; c2007. p. 333-335.
18. Suchitra, Chauhan DA, Anita. Study of combining ability and gene action in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022;SP-11(4):2039-2042.
19. Suman H, Kumar B, Nageshwar, Rathi M, Tamatam D. Heterosis and combining ability for grain yield and yield associated traits in 10×10 diallel analysis in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;6(12):1574-1585.
20. Tampha S, Jeberson MS, Sastry ED, Shashidhar KS, Sharma PR. Line \times tester analysis for yield and its contributing characters in field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2018;7(9):104-109.