

International Journal of Statistics and Applied Mathematics

ISSN: 2456-1452

Maths 2023; SP-8(5): 742-746

© 2023 Stats & Maths

<https://www.mathsjournal.com>

Received: 20-08-2023

Accepted: 22-09-2023

Gour Ruchita

Research Scholar, OPJS
University, Churu, Rajasthan,
India

Sharma Roopesh

Assistant Professor, OPJS
University, Churu, Rajasthan,
India

Thakur Riya

Scientist, Department of
Horticulture, KVK, JNKVV,
Chhindwara, Madhya Pradesh,
India

Corresponding Author:**Gour Ruchita**

Research Scholar, OPJS
University, Churu, Rajasthan,
India

Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) under Malwa Plateau of Madhya Pradesh

Gour Ruchita, Sharma Roopesh and Thakur Riya

Abstract

A field experiment was carried out to study the “Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) under Malwa Plateau of Madhya Pradesh” during Rabi seasons of 2020 and Rabi seasons of 2021 under irrigated conditions at Village –Ratadiya, Block – Ghattiya, Distt – Ujjain Madhya Pradesh. The experiment consist has 12 treatments with control like T₁-Control, T₂-100% inorganic RDF (N:P:K:S:Zn 120:60:80:40:05), T₃-Soil Test Based Fertilizer Recommendation (STV), T₄-75% RDF inorganic + 25% N through Vermicompost, T₅-75% RDF inorganic + 25% N through Poultry manure, T₆-75% RDF inorganic + 25% N through Neem cake, T₇-50% RDF inorganic + 50 N through Vermicompost, T₈-50% RDF inorganic + 50% N through Poultry manure, T₉-50% RDF inorganic + 50% N through Neem cake, T₁₀-100% N through vermicompost (VC) (7.0 t/ha), T₁₁-100% N through poultry manure (PM) (5.0 t/ha), T₁₂-100% N through Neem cake (NC) (3 t/ha) with three replications. The observation to be recorded for plant growth parameters such as plant height (cm) at 45 and 90 DAT and number of leaves 45 and 90 DAT. Plant yield parameters such as Average bulb weight (g), No. of scales per bulb, Bulb yield (q/ha), Bolting percentage and Harvest Index. The result revealed that the maximum plant height (cm) at 45 and 90 DAT (38.99 and 49.20 cm) and length of leaf (cm) 45 and 90 DAT (7.85 and 10.65). Plant yield parameters such as Average bulb weight (58.26 g), No. of scales per bulb (11.07), Bulb yield (382.85 q/ha), Bolting percentage (1.65) and Harvest Index (102.31) and the minimum was found under the Control.

Keywords: Onion, growth, bulb, organic and STV

Introduction

Onion (*Allium cepa*) is one of the most important commercial vegetables. The onion (*Allium cepa* L., from Latin *cepa* "onion"), is a vegetable crop that is the most widely cultivated species of the genus *Allium*. It is a close relatives to include the garlic, shallot, leek, scallionchive, and Chinese onion.

Onion is a cool season crop. However, it can be grown with a wide range of climatic conditions. It growing well under mild climate without extreme heat or cold or excessive rainfall. In areas where average annual rainfall exceed 75-100 cm in the monsoon periods. The optimal temperature range for onion crops is between 12.8 °C and 21 °C prior to bulbing, and between 15.5 °C and 25 °C during bulb growth. Early on, very low temperatures encourage bolting, but a sharp increase in temperature encourages early development in Rabi, which results in tiny bulbs.

Integrated nutrient management (INM) has been presented as a viable technique for tackling such difficulties, given the rising food needs of a growing human population and the need for an ecologically benign plan for sustainable agricultural growth. INM offers a diverse potential for improving plant performance and resource efficiency while also allowing for environmental and resource quality preservation. When compared to traditional approaches, INM boosts crop yields by 8-150 percent, increases water-use efficiency, and increases farmers' economic returns, all while enhancing grain quality and soil health and sustainability. Advanced INM methods significantly minimize reactive nitrogen losses and (Greenhouse Gas) emissions, according to model simulation and fate assessment.

Advanced INM procedures resulted in fewer chemical fertilizer inputs and, as a result, decreased environmental and human costs (such as intensity of land use, N consumption, reactive N losses, and green-house gas emissions) without sacrificing crop yields. INM practise might be an innovative and ecologically beneficial technique for global sustainable agriculture, according to strong and persuasive data (Wu, W., & Ma, B. (2015) [21]. For a healthy yield, onions need a lot of fertiliser and manure. Fertilizer needs, on the other hand, are determined by the soil type and crop type. Continuous use of inorganic fertilisers has a negative impact on soil health, resulting in decreased yields of poor quality. Organic materials are great for cultivation since they nourish the soil and help to maintain a healthy environment. Plants that have good soil have healthy plants. An organic soil filled with microorganisms and fungus gives nutrients to plants slowly, just as it does in nature. By adding organic nutrients to the soil and promoting the development of naturally existing beneficial organisms.

Plant nutrients come from the feed, supplements, medicine, and water that animals ingest. Using chicken dung as a fertiliser for crops might provide some or all of the plant's nutritional needs. Poultry dung is an excellent organic fertiliser source. It enhances the soil's physical properties, as well as nutrient absorption and crop yield (Ojeniyi *et al.*, 2013; Mbah and Nnej 2011) [15, 11]. Organic additions, such as chicken manure, enhance soil qualities by increasing organic matter content, which has a stimulatory influence on structure and aggregate stability, resulting in improved aeration, soil response buffering, water holding capacity, and microbial activity (Bauer and Black 1992) [3]. Poultry 7 manure with a high concentration of organic carbon enhances soil organic matter and maintains a significant quantity of soil water, resulting in an increase in soil water content after application of the manure (Mohamed *et al.*, 2010) [12].

Materials and Methods

A field experiment was carried out to study the "Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) under Malwa Plateau of Madhya Pradesh" during Rabi seasons of 2020 and Rabi seasons of 2021 under irrigated conditions at Village –Ratadiya, Block – Ghattiya, Distt – Ujjain Madhya Pradesh. The experiment consist has 12 treatments with control like T₁-Control, T₂-100% inorganic RDF (N: P: K: S: Zn 120:60:80:40:05), T₃-Soil Test Based Fertilizer Recommendation (STV), T₄-75% RDF inorganic + 25% N through Vermicompost, T₅-75% RDF inorganic + 25% N through Poultry manure, T₆-75% RDF inorganic + 25% N through Neem cake, T₇-50% RDF inorganic + 50 N through Vermicompost, T₈-50% RDF inorganic + 50% N through Poultry manure, T₉-50% RDF inorganic + 50% N through Neem cake, T₁₀-100% N through vermicompost (VC) (7.0 t/ha), T₁₁-100% N through poultry manure (PM) (5.0 t/ha), T₁₂-100% N through Neem cake (NC) (3 t/ha) with three replications. The observation to be recorded for plant growth parameters such as plant height (cm) at 45 and 90 DAT and number of leaves 45 and 90 DAT. Plant yield parameters such as Average bulb weight (g), No. of scales per bulb, Bulb yield (q/ha), Bolting percentage and Harvest Index.

Harvest index (%) was computed using the following formula:

$$\text{Harvest Index (H.I.)} = \frac{\text{Seed yield (kg/ha)}}{\text{Biological yield (kg/ha)}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Bulb yield (q/ha)} = \frac{\text{Bulb yield (kg/plot)} \times 10,000}{\text{Net area of plot (m}^2\text{)} \times 100}$$

Result and Discussion

Plant Height (cm) at 45 and 90 DAT

In the application of fertilizers the treatment T₃ [Soil Test Based Fertilizer Recommendation (STV)] has showed maximum plant height at 45 DAT and 90 DAT. Followed by the treatment T₂ (100% inorganic RDF (N: P: K: S: Zn 120:60:80:40:05) and T₄ (75% RDF inorganic + 25% N through Vermicompost). While the minimum plant height at 45 DAT and 90 DAT, was recorded in treatment T₁ (Control). This result similar with the Pandy and Ekpo (1991) [16] who reported that highest plant height was obtained with highest nitrogen ha⁻¹. The higher nitrogen fertilizer concentration in NPS fertilizers, that encourages too much crop development and crop length, may be the cause of the variation in onion crop yields following enhanced NPS fertilization. Furthermore, the genetic composition of onion plants determines the height of the plants. Kitila *et al.*, (2022) [9]. A possible reason for crop failure could be high nitrogen use, which performs an important part in the production of amino acids, proteins, chlorophyll, and cells that support the growth of onions. This finding is consistent with studies by Nasreen *et al.*, (2007) [14], Gustafson (2010) [7], and Birhanu *et al.*, (2014) [4] that found that applying nitrogen fertilizer accelerated the vegetative growth of onions by increasing the rate of photosynthetic. When N is used, plants may grow taller because of improved vegetative growth, resulting in the benefits from the N supply increasing and causing the plant to use more cells to support growth and make protoplasm from carbohydrates.

Number of leaves per plant at 45 DAT and 90 DAT

In the application of fertilizers the treatment T₃ [Soil Test Based Fertilizer Recommendation (STV)] has showed maximum number of leaves per plant at 45 and 90 DAT. Followed by the treatment T₂ (100% inorganic RDF (N: P: K: S: Zn 120:60:80:40:05) and T₄ (75% RDF inorganic + 25% N through Vermicompost). While the minimum number of leaves per plant at 45 DAT and 90 DAT was recorded in treatment T₁ (Control). The quantity of onion leaves grows with the application of more NPKS fertilizer. There could be a mix of growth factors and insufficient nutrients causing the lower leaf yield at low fertilizer doses. With high NPKS fertilizer, there may be more leaves per plant since there are more macro- and micronutrients available to support the leaves' rapid growth. Fertilization with nitrogen and sulfur had the potential to boost plant nutrition intake through increasing nutrient uptake.

The current investigation's outcome aligns with the findings of Nasreen *et al.*, (2007) [14], who observed that an increase of 120 kg N ha⁻¹ greatly increased the number of leaves in onion plants, and that an additional 160 kg N ha⁻¹ increase in nitrogen supply tended to decrease it. According to Yohannes and Pant (2011) [23], fertilizer containing nitrogen greatly influenced how many leaves the onion plant produced. According to Uzma *et al.*, (2016) [19], garlic plants with low phosphorus fertilizer application rates had smaller leaves overall and in terms of both area and number of leaves. Muluneh (2016) [13] found that the combined application of 105:92:16.95 N: P₂O₅: S with a negligible amount of leaves from unfertilized plants resulted in an extremely high number of engraved leaves. One growth characteristic that nitrogen fertilizer may have a major impact on is the number of leaves.

There was a noticeable fluctuation in the effect of NPK fertilizer on the quantity of leaves. Applying NPK fertilizer results in more leaves, which can be explained by the minerals' influence on the various protein components needed for leaf formation. According to Abdissa *et al.*, (2011) [1], the rate at which the maximum leaf number is recorded varies depending on the growing environment and location.

Yield parameters of onions

Average bulb weight (g)

In the application of fertilizers the treatment T₃ [Soil Test Based Fertilizer Recommendation (STV)] has showed maximum average bulb weight. Followed by the treatment T₂ (100% inorganic RDF (N: P: K: S: Zn 120:60:80:40:05) and T₄ (75% RDF inorganic + 25% N through Vermicompost). While the minimum average bulb weight (g) was recorded in treatment T₁ (Control). The results of this study is consistent with the investigations conducted by Kokobe *et al.*, (2013) [10], who discovered that the impacts of N had a substantial impact on onion bulb weight. Shedeed *et al.*, (2014) [17] state that the rise in plant height, number of leaves produced, and leaf length in response to the greatest rate of N could be responsible for the increase in bulb weight.

Bulb yield (q/ha)

The treatment T₃ [Soil Test Based Fertilizer Recommendation (STV)] has showed maximum bulb yield. Followed by the treatment T₂ (100% inorganic RDF (N: P: K: S: Zn 120:60:80:40:05) and T₄ (75% RDF inorganic + 25% N through Vermicompost). While the minimum bulb yield (q/ha) was recorded in treatment T₁ (Control). The application of NPKS fertilizers may have increased bulb weight and size, which in turn may have increased photosynthesis. This might lead to improved growth and expansion of the vegetative growth overall, and eventually much higher carbohydrate content in the bulbs at maturity. The results of Tibebu *et al.*, (2014) [18], who saw high onion bulb yields in response to nitrogen application, are not consistent with this outcome. Additionally, Woldeyohannes *et al.*, (2007) [20] showed a consistent rise in onion bulb output as a result of raising the NPS's nitrogen levels from 0 to 100 kg N ha⁻¹. This outcome was consistent with the research conducted by Bagali *et al.*, (2012) [2], which showed that increased amounts of inorganic fertilizers led to increased onion bulb yields. The application of N may have contributed to the rise in vegetative growth and enhanced assimilate production, which in turn led to an

increase in bulb diameter and average bulb weight and an increase in marketable bulb yield (Girma 2011; Khan *et al.*, 2002; Nasreen *et al.*, 2007) [6,14].

Bolting percentage

In the application of fertilizers the treatment T₃ [Soil Test Based Fertilizer Recommendation (STV)] has showed maximum bolting percentage *i.e.* 1.65%. Followed by the treatment T₂ (100% inorganic RDF (N: P: K: S: Zn 120:60:80:40:05) 1.49% and T₄ (75% RDF inorganic + 25% N through Vermicompost) 1.1.46%. While the minimum bolting percentage was recorded in treatment T₁ (Control) 0.62% respective.

No. of scales per bulb

In the application of fertilizers the treatment T₃ [Soil Test Based Fertilizer Recommendation (STV)] has showed maximum no of scales per bulb *i.e.* 11.07. Followed by the treatment T₂ (100% inorganic RDF (N: P: K: S: Zn 120:60:80:40:05) 10.83 and T₄ (75% RDF inorganic + 25% N through Vermicompost) 10.71. While the minimum no of scales per bulb was recorded in treatment T₁ (Control) 7.53 respective.

Harvest Index (%)

In the application of fertilizers the treatment T₃ [Soil Test Based Fertilizer Recommendation (STV)] has showed maximum harvest Index (%). Followed by the treatment T₂ (100% inorganic RDF (N: P: K: S: Zn 120:60:80:40:05) and T₄ (75% RDF inorganic + 25% N through Vermicompost). While the minimum harvest Index (%) was recorded in treatment T₁ (Control). The outcomes aligned with the findings of Gebretsadik and Dechassa (2016) [5], who reported that the highest harvest index was achieved with N application at 150 kg N ha⁻¹, increasing harvest index relative to the control. In a similar vein, an increase in the rate of N application produced the greatest harvest index of 86% (Abdissa *et al.*, 2011) [1]. Nevertheless, the harvesting index of onions was greatly impacted by fertilizer application; the highest harvesting index was achieved at 30 t ha⁻¹ and is statistically similar at 20 t ha⁻¹. Nonetheless, the control group yielded the lowest harvest index, which is statistically comparable when 10 t ha⁻¹ is used. Girma (2011) [6] published similar results, showing that applying fertilizers at a rate of 0 to 20 t ha⁻¹ boosted the harvest index by around 9%.

Table 1: Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth parameters of onion

Treatment	Plant height (cm) at 45			Plant height (cm) at 90			Number of leaves per plant at 45			Number of leaves per plant at 90		
	I year	II year	Pooled	I year	II year	Pooled	I year	II year	Pooled	I year	II year	Pooled
T ₁	22.18	23.29	22.74	38.35	40.26	39.31	4.27	4.48	4.37	6.13	6.44	6.29
T ₂	32.22	33.83	33.03	44.88	47.12	46.00	6.79	7.13	6.96	9.50	9.98	9.74
T ₃	38.04	39.95	38.99	48.00	50.40	49.20	7.66	8.04	7.85	10.39	10.91	10.65
T ₄	31.74	33.33	32.54	44.63	46.86	45.74	6.60	6.93	6.76	9.39	9.86	9.63
T ₅	26.42	27.74	27.08	39.41	41.38	40.39	5.97	6.27	6.12	7.97	8.37	8.17
T ₆	27.29	28.66	27.98	40.08	42.08	41.08	6.06	6.36	6.21	8.34	8.76	8.55
T ₇	28.99	30.44	29.72	43.85	46.04	44.94	6.38	6.70	6.54	8.95	9.40	9.18
T ₈	25.97	27.27	26.62	38.83	40.77	39.80	5.94	6.23	6.09	7.90	8.30	8.10
T ₉	28.80	30.24	29.52	43.09	45.24	44.16	6.27	6.58	6.42	8.73	9.17	8.95
T ₁₀	27.67	29.06	28.37	42.19	44.30	43.24	6.19	6.50	6.34	8.60	9.03	8.82
T ₁₁	22.59	23.72	23.16	38.63	40.56	39.59	5.81	6.10	5.95	7.50	7.88	7.69
T ₁₂	22.84	23.99	23.41	38.69	40.62	39.65	5.83	6.12	5.97	7.59	7.97	7.78
S.Em±	0.132	0.139	0.096	0.092	0.096	0.066	0.023	0.024	0.016	0.032	0.034	0.023
CD at 5%	0.423	0.444	0.273	0.293	0.308	0.189	0.072	0.076	0.047	0.103	0.108	0.066

Table 2: Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield parameters of onion

Treatment	Average bulb weight (g)			Bulb yield (q/ha)			Bolting percentage		
	I Year	II Year	Pooled	I Year	II Year	Pooled	I Year	II Year	Pooled
T ₁	42.24	44.35	43.29	276.18	289.99	283.08	0.61	0.64	0.62
T ₂	54.22	56.93	55.57	356.04	373.85	364.95	1.45	1.52	1.49
T ₃	56.84	59.68	58.26	373.51	392.19	382.85	1.61	1.69	1.65
T ₄	52.41	55.03	53.72	343.98	361.18	352.58	1.42	1.49	1.46
T ₅	44.89	47.13	46.01	293.84	308.54	301.19	1.00	1.05	1.02
T ₆	45.26	47.52	46.39	296.31	311.13	303.72	1.05	1.10	1.07
T ₇	51.10	53.65	52.37	335.24	352.01	343.63	1.26	1.33	1.30
T ₈	44.78	47.02	45.90	293.11	307.77	300.44	0.90	0.95	0.92
T ₉	51.01	53.56	52.28	334.64	351.38	343.01	1.21	1.27	1.24
T ₁₀	50.20	52.71	51.45	329.24	345.71	337.48	1.17	1.22	1.20
T ₁₁	42.36	44.47	43.42	276.98	290.83	283.90	0.80	0.84	0.82
T ₁₂	42.48	44.60	43.54	277.78	291.67	284.72	0.82	0.86	0.84
S.Em±	0.146	0.154	0.106	0.975	1.024	0.707	0.013	0.014	0.010
CD at 5%	0.467	0.491	0.302	3.115	3.271	2.014	0.043	0.045	0.028

Table 3: Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield parameters of onion

Treatment	No. of scales per bulb			Harvest Index		
	I Year	II Year	Pooled	I Year	II Year	Pooled
T ₁	7.34	7.71	7.53	97.84	102.73	100.28
T ₂	10.57	11.10	10.83	99.53	104.51	102.02
T ₃	10.80	11.34	11.07	99.82	104.81	102.31
T ₄	10.44	10.97	10.71	99.32	104.29	101.81
T ₅	9.26	9.73	9.50	98.28	103.20	100.74
T ₆	9.48	9.95	9.71	98.34	103.26	100.80
T ₇	10.16	10.66	10.41	99.16	104.12	101.64
T ₈	9.24	9.71	9.48	98.27	103.18	100.72
T ₉	9.84	10.33	10.09	99.15	104.11	101.63
T ₁₀	9.71	10.19	9.95	99.05	104.00	101.53
T ₁₁	7.88	8.28	8.08	97.86	102.75	100.30
T ₁₂	8.21	8.62	8.41	97.88	102.77	100.33
S.Em±	0.024	0.025	0.017	0.0285	0.0300	0.0207
CD at 5%	0.076	0.080	0.049	0.0911	0.0957	0.0589

Conclusion

For the two years of experiments, it is concluded that the In the application of fertilizers the treatment T₃ [Soil Test Based Fertilizer Recommendation (STV)] has showed maximum plant height at 45 DAT and 90 DAT, number of leaves per plant at 45 and 90 DAT, average bulb weight, bulb yield q/ha, harvest Index (%) and no of scales per bulb, followed by the treatment T₂ (100% inorganic RDF (N: P: K: S: Zn 120:60:80:40:05) and T₄ (75% RDF inorganic + 25% N through Vermicompost), While the minimum was recorded in treatment T₁ (Control).

References

1. Abdissa Y, Tekalign T, Pant L. Growth, bulb yield and quality of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) as influenced by nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization on vertisol I. Growth attribute biomass production and bulb yield. Afr J Agric Res. 2011;6(14):3252–3258.
2. Bagali AN, Patil HB, Chimmad VP, Patil PL, Patil RV. Effect of inorganics and organics on growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Karnataka J Agric Sci. 2012;25(1):112-115.
3. Bauer A, Black AL. Organic carbon effects on available water capacity of three soil textural groups. Soil Science Society of America Journal. 1992;56:248-254.
4. Birhanu A, Anteneh A, Dereje A. Response of irrigated onion (*Allium cepa* L.) To nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers at Ribb and Koga irrigation schemes in Amhara region, North West Ethiopia. Journal of Agricultural Science, Soil Science. 2014;4(5):95. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.14303/irjas.2014.028>.
5. Gebretsadik K, Dechassa N. Agronomic and economic evaluation of nitrogen fertilizer rates and intra row spacing on growth and bulb yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) under rainfall condition. Environment. 2016;6(21):1–10.
6. Girma Z. Response of onion (*Allium cepa* L. Var. Cepa) to organic and inorganic fertilizers at gode, South-Eastern Ethiopia. Doctoral dissertation, School of Graduate Studies, Haramaya University, Ethiopia; c2011.
7. Gustafson AA. Handbook of fertilizers, their source, makeup, effect and use (Saerchinger Press; Illustrated edition); c2010. p. 77–86.
8. Jayakumar PC, Shouche YS, Patole MS. Functional analysis of Drosophila melanogaster hexokinase Hex-A locus: multiple Initiator-like elements enhance DPE containing promoter activity. Insect Mol. Biol. 2007;16(1):3-13.
9. Chala K, Abraham A, Shuma S. Growth and bulb yield of some onion (*Allium cepa* L.) varieties as influenced by NPS fertilizer at Dambi Dollo University Research site, Western Ethiopia, Cogent Food & Agriculture. 2022;8(1):2097606. DOI: 10.1080/23311932.2022.2097606.
10. Kokobe WY, Derbew B, Adugna D. Effect of farmyard manure and nitrogen fertilizer rates on growth, yield and yield components of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) at Jimma, Southwest Ethiopia. Asian J Plant Sci. 2013;12:228–234.

11. Mbah CN, Nnej IR. Effect of different crop residue management technique on selected soil properties and grain production of maize. *AJAR*. 2011;6(7):4149-4152. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR09.746>.
12. Mohamed AM, Sekar S, Muthukrishnan P. Prospects and potential of poultry manure. *Asian J Plant Sci*. 2010;9:172–182. <https://doi.org/10.3923/ajps.2010.172.182>.
13. Muluneh N, Alemayheu M, Sellassie AH. Optimum rate of NPS fertilizer for economical production of irrigated onion (*Allium cepa* L.) In Dembyia district of Amhara region, Ethiopia. *Ethiopian Journal of Science and Technology*. 2018;11(2):113–127.
14. Nasreen S, Haque MM, Hossain MA, Farid A. Nutrient uptake and yield of onion as influenced by nitrogen and sulfur fertilization. *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2007;32(3):413–420. <https://doi.org/10.3329/bjar.v32i3.543>.
15. Ojeniyi SO, Amusan OA, Adekiya AO. Effect of poultry manure on soil physical properties, nutrient uptake and yield of cocoyam (*Xanthosoma sagittifolium*) in southwest Nigeria. *Am Eur J Agric Environ Sci*. 2013;13(1):121-125. <https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.aejaes.2013.13.01.1861>.
16. Pandey UC, Ekpo U. Response of nitrogen on growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) in Maiduguri region of Borno State. *Niger. Res. Dev. Rep*. 1991;8:5-9.
17. Shedeed SI, El-Sayed SAA, Bash DMA. Effectiveness of bio-fertilizers with organic matter on the growth, yield and nutrient content of Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) plants. *Eur Intl J Sci Technol*. 2014;3(9):115–122.
18. Tibebe S, Melese T, Abrham S, Samuel U. The effect of varying, Nitrogen and Phosphorus fertilization on growth and bulb yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) At Wolaita, southern Ethiopia. *Journal of Biological Agriculture and Healthcare*. 2014;4(11):89–96.
19. Uzma A, Sayed H, Syed Z. Interactive effect of phosphorus and zinc on the growth, yield and nutrient uptake of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) Variety Gulabi. *Asian Journal of Agri Food*. 4.
20. Woldeyohannes K, Belew D, Debela A. Effect of farmyard manure and nitrogen fertilizer rates of growth, yield and components of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) In Jimma, South West Ethiopia. *Asian Journal of Plant Science*, 2007, 1-6. DOI: 10.3923/ajps.2013.228.234.
21. Wu W, Ma B. Integrated nutrient management (INM) for sustaining crop productivity and reducing environmental impact: A review. *Science of the Total Environment*. 2015, 512–513, 415–427. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.12.101>.
22. Yohannes GK, Kebede W, Arvind C, Fikreyohannes G. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, bulb yield and storability of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) under irrigation at Selekeleka, Northern Ethiopia. *Int J Life Sci*. 2017;5(2):151–160.
23. Yohannes A, Pant L. Growth, bulb yield and quality of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) As influenced by nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization on vertisol. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2011;6(14):3252–3258 DOI: 10.5897/AJAR10.1024.