# International Journal of Statistics and Applied Mathematics

ISSN: 2456-1452 Maths 2023; SP-8(5): 1055-1058 © 2023 Stats & Maths https://www.mathsjournal.com

Received: 25-05-2023 Accepted: 01-07-2023

#### **DL Parmar**

Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Jagudan, Mehsana, Gujarat, India

#### Pivush Verma

Principal and Dean, College of Horticulture, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Jagudan, Mehsana, Gujarat, India

#### JH Brahmbhatt

Gram Sevak, Vadodara, Gujarat, India

### Corresponding Author: DL Parmar

Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Jagudan, Mehsana, Gujarat, India

## Economic analysis of fenugreek as affected by different growing conditions and sowing time

#### DL Parmar, Piyush Verma and JH Brahmbhatt

#### **Abstract**

Effect of various growing conditions and sowing time on growth, yield and quality of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) experiment was carried out during September 2019 to January 2020 at College of Horticulture, SDAU, Jagudan (Gujarat). In this investigation comprising of two factor, first one is different growing conditions which have 4 sub factors *viz*. Open field, 50 percent green shadenet, 50 percent white shadenet and Naturally Ventilated Polyhouse and second one is different sowing time which also have 4 sub factors *viz*. 3<sup>rd</sup> week of Sept, 1<sup>st</sup> week of Oct, 3<sup>rd</sup> week of Oct and 1<sup>st</sup> week of Nov which were tested in the SPD with three replications. On the basis of economics, sowing of fenugreek under 50% white shade net on 3<sup>rd</sup> week of October treatment was found beneficial for higher yield and net return.

Keywords: Fenugreek, growing condition, sowing time, economics, benefit cost ratio

#### Introduction

An important leafy vegetable, fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.), commonly called as 'Greek hay' and also called as 'methi' in Hindi, occupies a prime position among the leafy vegetable and seed spices grown in India. One of the important factor responsible for yield enhancement of methi is proper time of sowing, which exerts a distinct effect on growth promote. Summer or hot days are one of the limiting factor in fenugreek production. The aim of protected cultivation is to achieve independence of climate and weather and to allow crop production in climate where the natural environment limits or prohibits plant growth. Further low cost structures may be most economical for farmers to produce a remunerative crop production. In the present scenario of perpetual demand of vegetable and shrinking land holding drastically, protected cultivation is the best alternative and drudgery-less approach for using land and other resources more efficiently. In protected environment (Green house, net house), the natural environment is modified to suitable condition for optimum plant growth, which ultimately provides quality vegetables (Sirohi and Behera, 2000) [12]. From protected structures crop yield is several time more, than the yield obtain from outdoor cultivation. Because of environmental control, any crop can be grown at any time of the year, and even one type of crop can be raised round the year, if needed. Sowing in the plains is generally taken up in late October to November while in the hills, it is grown from March which limits it's availability for a shorter period of year. Another benefit of harvesting green leaves lies in its very short crop span of 25 to 30 days. With the introduction of liberalized and farmers friendly government policy i.e. subsidy on protected structures, the area under protected structures is increasing rapidly. In the North-Gujarat also farmers are equipping with different types of protected structures.

#### **Materials and Methods**

In this experiment we have taken two factors, one is growing condition with four levels *viz*. Open field, 50 percent green shade net, 50 percent white shade net and Naturally Ventilated Polyhouse and second factor is sowing time with four levels *viz*. 3<sup>rd</sup> week of Sept, 1<sup>st</sup> week of Oct, 3<sup>rd</sup> week of Oct and 1<sup>st</sup> week of Nov were experimented for fenugreek cv. Pusa Early Bunching. All the growing conditions and sowing time were evaluated on the basis of growth (days taken to germination, plant height and days taken to cutting), yield attributes (number of

cutting, yield per cuttings, yield per hectare in all cuttings, total yield per plot, total yield per hectare) and quality (chlorophyll a, b and total chlorophyll content and carotenoid content). During the crop growth period of winter-2019-20 temperature varied from 15 °C to 40 °C in open field, 17 °C to 35 °C in 50 percent green shade net and also in 50 percent white shade net and 20 °C to 37 °C in NVP. The atmospheric humidity ranged from 55 to 85% in open field, 70 to 89% in 50 percent green shade net and also in 50 percent white shade

net and 72 to 92% in NVP. This data of weather was collected by the researcher herself. Collected data were subjected to statistical analysis. The soil of experimental site is sandy loam in texture with slightly alkaline in reaction, low in organic carbon and available nitrogen, medium in available phosphorus and potassium. pH of soil was 7.7. Plot size in the experiment was:  $1.5~\mathrm{m} \times 2~\mathrm{m}$ .

#### **Result and Discussion**

Table 1: Effect of different growing conditions & sowing time on income per day

Transferrents	Income per day (Rs.)					
Treatments	1st cutting	2 <sup>nd</sup> cutting	3 <sup>rd</sup> cutting	4 <sup>th</sup> cutting		
Growing conditions (G)						
Open field (g <sub>1</sub> )	0.34	0.55	0.51	0.14		
Green shade net 50% (g <sub>2</sub> )	0.48	0.71	0.55	0.23		
White shade net 50% (g <sub>3</sub> )	0.74	1.07	1.50	0.48		
NVP (Naturally Ventilated Polyhouse) (g <sub>4</sub> )	0.41	0.61	0.27	0.13		
S. Em. ±	0.05	0.05	0.11	0.03		
C. D. (5%)	0.17	0.19	0.39	0.10		
C. V. %	33.80	25.32	55.42	41.91		
Sowing time (D)						
3 <sup>rd</sup> week of September (d <sub>1</sub> )	0.23	0.41	0.60	0.25		
1 <sup>st</sup> week of October (d <sub>2</sub> )	0.32	0.56	0.78	0.28		
3 <sup>rd</sup> week of October (d <sub>3</sub> )	0.91	1.22	0.86	0.39		
1st week of November (d <sub>4</sub> )	0.52	0.75	0.59	0.05		
S. Em. ±	0.04	0.05	0.09	0.03		
C. D. (5%)	0.11	0.16	NS	0.08		
Interaction						
S. Em. ±	0.07	0.11	0.17	0.05		
C. D. (5%)	0.22	NS	0.51	0.16		
C. V. %	26.30	25.49	42.83	37.74		

Among the different growing conditions, treatment  $g_3$  (50 % white shade net) recorded significantly maximum income per day  $\approx 0.74$ , 1.07, 1.50 and 0.48 in first, second, third and fourth cutting respectively. Whereas, minimum income per day in first ( $\approx 0.34$ ) and second ( $\approx 0.55$ ) cutting was observed in treatment  $g_1$  (open field) and in third ( $\approx 0.27$ ) and fourth ( $\approx 0.13$ ) cutting was observed in treatment  $g_4$  (NVP).

Significantly maximum income per day in first ( $\approx$  0.91), second ( $\approx$  1.22) and fourth ( $\approx$  0.39) cutting was recorded in treatment d<sub>3</sub> (3<sup>rd</sup> week of October). Whereas, minimum income per day in first ( $\approx$  0.23) and second ( $\approx$  0.41) cutting was observed in treatment d<sub>1</sub> (3<sup>rd</sup> week of Sept) and fourth ( $\approx$  0.05) cutting was observed in treatment d<sub>4</sub> (1<sup>st</sup> week of November). However income per day was statistically non-significant in second cutting.

Table 2: Effect of different growing conditions & sowing time on economics and benefit cost ratio

Treatments	Yield per hectare (t/ha)	Gross realization (₹/ha)	Total cost of cultivation (₹/ha)	Net returns (₹/ha)	Benefit Cost Ratio
g <sub>1</sub> d <sub>1</sub>	3.62	90500	97883	-7383	0.92
$g_1d_2$	5.60	140000	99183	40817	1.41
g <sub>1</sub> d <sub>3</sub>	8.72	218000	97883	120117	2.22
$g_1d_4$	3.90	97500	96583	917	1.01
$g_2d_1$	4.19	104750	160043	-55293	0.65
$g_2d_2$	4.36	109000	159613	-50613	0.68
g <sub>2</sub> d <sub>3</sub>	10.02	250500	160043	90457	1.56
g <sub>2</sub> d <sub>4</sub>	6.79	169750	158743	11007	1.06
$g_3d_1$	7.31	146200	161383	-15183	0.90
g <sub>3</sub> d <sub>2</sub>	9.04	226000	161383	64617	1.40
g <sub>3</sub> d <sub>3</sub>	14.41	360250	161383	198867	2.23
g <sub>3</sub> d <sub>4</sub>	11.92	298000	160083	137917	1.86
g <sub>4</sub> d <sub>1</sub>	2.90	72500	497883	-425383	0.14
$g_4d_2$	3.58	89500	497883	-408383	0.17
g4d3	9.81	245250	499183	-253933	0.49
g4d4	3.51	87750	496583	-408833	0.17

Fenugreek selling price 25 ₹/kg

Data indicated that, treatment combination  $g_3d_3$  *i.e.* under white shade net 50% on  $3^{rd}$  week of October sowing recorded maximum gross return, net return and cost: benefit ratio than rest of treatments.

On the basis of economics of the treatments, it could be concluded that for getting higher yield and net return of green leafy fenugreek, it is advisable that sowing of fenugreek under 50 % white shade net on 3<sup>rd</sup> week of October is beneficial.

This result is in accordance with Dixit (2007) [3] in fenugreek, Kotadia *et al.* (2012) [6] in fenugreek, coriander, amaranth and spinach, Anitha *et al.* (2016) [1] and Kauser *et al.* (2018) [5] in fenugreek, Waseem *et al.* (2000) [13] in palak, Rajasekar *et al.* (2013) [11] in tomato, eggplant, chilli, radish, cucumber, coriander, amaranthus, Dabhi (2015) [2] in fenugreek, Govindaraj *et al.* (2019) [4] in fenugreek, Nandal *et al.* (2007)

 $^{[8]}$  in fenugreek, Pan *et al.* (1992)  $^{[10]}$  in amaranth, Neelesh *et al.* (2017)  $^{[9]}$  in fenugreek and Nahar *et al.* (2022)  $^{[7]}$  in fenugreek.

Cost of cultivation of fenugreek and other details of operational cost (Per ha.) (Fix cost)

Table 3: Cost of cultivation of fenugreek and other details of cost incurred

Sr.	Particular		Labour	E	Fixed Cost (₹.)				
No.		Parucular		Frequency	Cost of material	Labour cost			
[A]		Pre sowing operation							
	1	Field preparation (8 hr. $\times$ 700 = Rs. 5600)	2	1	5600	520			
	[B] sowing								
	1	Sowing of seed (Mechanical sowing) $(3 \text{ hr.} \times 700 = \text{Rs.} 1800)$	2	1	2100	520			
	2 Cost of seed (30 kg)		0	-	3000	-			
	3	3 Manures and Fertilizer application							
		(i) N @ 20 kg/ha	2	2	295	1040			
		(ii) P @ 60 kg/ha	2	1	3200	520			
		(iii) K @ 30 kg/ha	2	1	590	520			
		(iv) FYM 20 t/ha	6	1	20000	1560			
	[C] Post sowing operations								
	1	Weeding	8	4	1	8320			
	2	Plant protection (Pesticide + Manpower)	2	1	3500	648			
[D]		Irrigation charges		-	42000	-			
[E]		Land revenue		-	50	-			
[F]	Total fixed cost				80335	13648			
[1.]		Total fixed cost			93983				

#### Note: Rate of various items

Tractor charges @ ₹ 700 per hours Labour charges @ ₹ 260 per day & for spraying @ ₹ 324 per day

Cost of seed @ ₹ 100 per kg

Irrigation charges @ ₹ 300 per irrigation FYM cost @ ₹ 1 per kg Urea cost @ ₹ 295 per 50 kg SSP cost @ ₹ 400 per 50 kg MOP cost @ ₹ 590 per 50 kg

#### (B) Calculation of economic value of growing conditions

Sr. no.	Particulars	Cost ₹/ha
	50% agro green shade net	
	Cost of framed structure for 4 months –	
	Price of framed structure: 726000 with 10 year lifespan	
1.	So 1 year price: 72600	24200
	And 1 month price: 6050	
	4 month price $= 24200$	
	Cost of 50 % shade net (green) for 4 months –	
	Price of green shade net: 55 /m <sup>2</sup>	
2.	So per hectare: 550000 with 5 year life span	26660
2.	So 1 year price: 110000	36660
	And 1 month price: 9166.66	
	4 month price $= 36660$	
	Total cost	60860
	50% agro white shade net	
	Cost of framed structure for 4 month –	
	Price of framed structure: 726000 with 10 year lifespan	
1.	So 1 year price: 72600	24200
	And 1 month price: 6050	
	4 month price $= 24200$	
	Cost of 50 % shade net (white) for 4 months –	
	Price of green shade net: 57 /m <sup>2</sup>	
2.	So per hectare: 570000 with 5 year life span	38000
۷.	So 1 year price: 114000	38000
	And 1 month price: 9500	
	4  month price = 38000	
	Total cost	62200
	Naturally ventilated polyhouse	
1.	Cost of NVP for 4 month (10 year life span) -	400000

Price of NVP: 1200 /m <sup>2</sup>	
So per hectare: 12000000	
And 1 year price: 1200000	
So 1 month price: 100000	
So 4 month price: 400000	
Total cost	400000

#### (C) Details of treatment wise cost per ha of fenugreek crop

Treatment No.	Common cost/	Common cost/ Cost of growing condition		Total cost	
i reatment No.	Fixed cost (₹/ha)	( <b>₹/ha</b> )	(₹)	(₹/ha)	
$T_1$	93983	0	3900	97883	
$T_2$	93983	0	5200	99183	
T <sub>3</sub>	93983	0	3900	97883	
T <sub>4</sub>	93983	0	2600	96583	
T <sub>5</sub>	93983	60860	5200	160043	
T <sub>6</sub>	93983	60860	4770	159613	
T <sub>7</sub>	93983	60860	5200	160043	
T <sub>8</sub>	93983	60860	3900	158743	
T <sub>9</sub>	93983	62200	5200	161383	
T <sub>10</sub>	93983	62200	5200	161383	
T <sub>11</sub>	93983	62200	5200	161383	
T <sub>12</sub>	93983	62200	3900	160083	
T <sub>13</sub>	93983	400000	3900	497883	
T <sub>14</sub>	93983	400000	3900	497883	
T <sub>15</sub>	93983	400000	5200	499183	
T <sub>16</sub>	93983	400000	2600	496583	

**Note:-** Harvesting cost is calculated by multiplying number of cuttings and cost of cutting. Cost for 1 harvesting is 1300 ₹/ha.

#### References

- Anitha B, Reddy NR, Dorajee Rao A, Patro K, Suneetha S. Effect of sowing date on yield and quality of fenugreek. Plant Archives. 2016;16(1):479-484.
- 2. Dabhi K. Effect of sowing dates on growth, leaf yield and quality of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum graecum* L.) under open field and net house conditions, *thesis M.Sc.* (Hort.), Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar; c2015.
- 3. Dixit A. Performance of leafy vegetables under protected environment and open field condition. The Asian Journal of Horticulture. 2007;2(1):197-200.
- Govindaraj K, Balakrishnan S, Shoba N, Somasundaram E. Influence of growing environment on growth and yield of fenugreek leaves under shade net and open condition. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2019;7(3):2102-2105.
- Kauser H, Bhoomika H, Ibaad M. Interaction Effects of Different Sowing Dates and Stage of Pinching on Growth, Yield and Economics of Fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum graecum* L.). International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience. 2018;6(2):167-171.
- Kotadia H, Patil S, Bhalerao P, Gaikwad S, Mahant H. Influence of different growing conditions on yield of leafy vegetables during summer season. The Asian Journal of Horticulture. 2012;7(2):300-302.
- 7. Nahar S, Mostarin T, Khatum K, Akter A, Begum T, Salfe AS, *et al.* Effect of sowing date and phosphorus on growth, seed yield and quality of fenugreek. 2022;14(10):30-42.
- 8. Nandal JK, Dahiya MS, Gupta V. Response of sowing time, spacing and cutting of leaves on growth and seed yield of fenugreek. Haryana Journal of Horticulture Science. 2007;36(3):374-376.
- Neelesh K, Verma A, Pande V. Evaluating photosynthetic attributes as indicator of salt tolerance

- in fenugreek. Research Journal of Chemical and Environmental Sciences. 2017;5(6):77-83.
- 10. Pan RS, Sirohi PS, Sivakami N. Genetic divergence in vegetable amaranth. Journal of Horticulture. 1992;49(2):183-186.
- 11. Rajasekar M, Arumugam T, Ramesh S. Influence of weather and growing environment on vegetable growth and yield. Journal of Horticulture and Forestry. 2013;5(10):160-167.
- 12. Sirohi PS, Behera TK. Protected cultivation and seed production of vegetable. Indian Journal of Horticulture. 2000;43(3):23-25.
- 13. Waseem K, Ghafoor A, Rahmat UK, Mohammad AN. Effect of sowing dates and row spacing on the yield of spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.). Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences. 2000;3(5):822-823.