

International Journal of Statistics and Applied Mathematics

ISSN: 2456-1452

Maths 2023; SP-8(6): 250-255

© 2023 Stats & Maths

<https://www.mathsjournal.com>

Received: 04-06-2023

Accepted: 03-07-2023

Parihar PS

Research Scholar, Mansarovar
Global University Bilkis Ganj,
Sehore, Madhya Pradesh, India

Haldar Ajay

Assistant Professor, GHRU,
Saikheda, Chhindwara, Madhya
Pradesh, India

Gour CL

Assistant Professor, Mansarovar
Global University Bilkis Ganj,
Sehore, Madhya Pradesh, India

Barde P

Horticulture Assistant, FRS,
Eentkhedi, Bhopal, Madhya
Pradesh, India

Thakur R

Scientist, Horticulture, KVK,
JNKVV, Chhindwara, Madhya
Pradesh, India

Nagaich KN

Rtd. Professor and Head,
Horticulture, RVSKVV,
Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author:**Parihar PS**

Research Scholar, Mansarovar
Global University Bilkis Ganj,
Sehore, Madhya Pradesh, India

Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield, quality and nutrient uptake of onion [*Allium cepa* (L.)] Varieties

Parihar PS, Haldar Ajay, Gour CL, Barde P, Thakur R and Nagaich KN

Abstract

The present investigation entitled “Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield, quality and nutrient uptake of onion [*Allium cepa* (L.)] Varieties.” was carried out during two consecutive seasons of 2021-22 and 2022-23 the experiment was conducted at fields of university, Bhopal (M.P.). This experiments has been conducted in 12 treatments and two varieties and their 24 combinations, with three replications. The experiment has formed under Factorial Randomized Design. In the experiment the 12 treatments of integrated nutrient management such as F₁-PM (2 t/ha), F₂-FYM (6 t/ha), F₃-VC (5 t/ha), F₄-50% RDF, F₅-50% RDF + PM, F₆-50% RDF + FYM, F₇-50% RDF + VC, F₈-75% RDF, F₉-75% RDF + PM, F₁₀-75% RDF + FYM, F₁₁-75% RDF + VC and F₁₂-100% RDF, Two varieties are used in the experiments such as V₁ (Nasik Red) and V₂ (Agrifound Dark Red). The observations to be recorded under the experiments are Morphological parameters like Plant height (cm) at 90 and 120 DAT, Length of leaf (cm) at 90 and 120 DAT, Number of leaves per plant at 90 and 120 DAT, Neck diameters of plant at 90 and 120 DAT. Yield-attributing parameters like Moisture Content %, Bulb weight (g) and Yield/plot (kg). The result revealed that the maximum plant height at 90 and 120 DAT, Length of leaf at 90 and 120 DAT, Number of leaves per plant at 90 and 120 DAT, Neck diameters of plant at 90 and 120 DAT, yield-attributing parameters like moisture content %, bulb weight and yield/plot was observed under variety V₂ (Agrifound Dark Red) and in case of fertilizer application all the parameters was found under treatment F₁₂ [100% RDF (N₁₂₀P₈₀K₈₀)], in case of combined application of variety and fertilizers treatment combination V₂F₁₂ [Agrifound Dark Red + 100% RDF (N₁₂₀P₈₀K₈₀)] was observed better compare to other combinations, while the minimum was observed under the treatments control.

Keywords: Onion, Bulb, INM, nutrient uptake

Introduction

One of the most significant vegetable crops and spices in the world, especially in India, belongs to the onion (*Allium cepa* L.). India is the globe's second-biggest producer of onions. Having an overall share of 35.05% in onions results in 2019–20, Maharashtra is ranked top in India. The estimated area of onion is 1200 thousand hectares and production of 217 lakh tonnes with average productivity of 18.3 MT/ha. Maharashtra, Gujarat, Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan, Orissa, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Madhya Pradesh, and Bihar are the states which grow the greatest quantity of onions. With a 19% productivity share, Maharashtra leads the world in onion production. The main districts in Maharashtra for growing onions are Satara, Nashik, Jalgaon, Pune, Solapur, and Ahmednagar, all of which account for 94.68% of the state's entirety planted with onions. Onion growers grow Kharif and Rabi onions in particular in a small area inside the Satara district of the state (Barakade *et al.*, 2011) [9].

Applying various forms of organic matter in soils enhances the health and efficiency of soil properties, reduces the deficiency of micronutrients, promotes the growth of a variety of soil microorganisms, and strengthens the Rhizosphere's ecological balance (Sanwal *et al.*, 2007) [36]. In organic production, weeds, pests, and diseases are managed using a variety of management strategies that maintain the soil's fertility overall quality. One important aspect of achieving the aforementioned goals is the rotation of crops. The herbicides, chemical pesticides, and traditional fertilizers that contain chemicals are banned; however, "organic" products are often accepted as long as they meet the organic criteria.

Due to individual and collective efforts to protect the environment and prevent agricultural produce from being contaminated by the use of chemical pesticides and fertilizers, organic agriculture is becoming more and more popular in India. Crop diversity is maintained by the organic food movement, which supports ecological soundness and sustainable resource usage. The primary driver of the organic onion industry's growth is customer choice. Produce grown organically is far more favored than conventionally farmed onions. This results in a wider range and assortment of veggies available in restaurants, supermarkets, and retail stores. In this regard, the scientific production of organically produced onions has received very little attention.

Materials and Methods

The present investigation entitled "Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield, quality and nutrient uptake of onion [*Allium cepa* (L.) Varieties." was carried out during two consecutive seasons of 2021-22 and 2022-23 the experiment was conducted at fields of university, Bhopal (M.P.). This experiments has been conducted in 12 treatments and two varieties and their 24 combinations, with three replications. The experiment has formed under Factorial Randomized Design. In the experiment the 12 treatments of integrated nutrient management such as F₁-PM (2 t/ha), F₂-FYM (6 t/ha), F₃-VC (5 t/ha), F₄-50% RDF, F₅-50% RDF + PM, F₆-50% RDF + FYM, F₇-50% RDF + VC, F₈-75% RDF, F₉-75% RDF + PM, F₁₀-75% RDF + FYM, F₁₁-75% RDF + VC and F₁₂-100% RDF, Two varieties are used in the experiments such as V₁ (Nasik Red) and V₂ (Agrifound Dark Red). The observations to be recorded under the experiments are plant height (cm) at 90 and 120 DAT, length of leaf (cm) at 90 and 120 DAT, number of leaves per plant at 90 and 120 DAT, Neck diameters of bulb at 90 and 120 DAT, moisture content %, Bulb weight (g) and yield/plot (kg).

Neck diameters of bulb

The neck diameter of the bulb was measured in cm at the successive stage of growth with the help of Vernier calipers.

Moisture Content %

It was calculated by the following formula:

$$\% \text{ moisture} = \frac{\text{Fresh weight of bulb} - \text{Dry weight of bulb}}{\text{Fresh weight of bulb}} \times 100$$

Results and Discussions

Plant height (cm) at 90 and 120 DAT

Variety V₂ has recorded maximum plant height at the different growth stages like 90 (47.95 cm) and 120 (39.46 cm) days after transplanting of the onion crop in pooled year basis, while the minimum (44.08 and 51.06 cm) plant height was measured in same year found in the variety V₁. Plant height is determined by the genetic characteristics of the variety; hence, variations in these characteristics were eventually observed among different kinds. This conclusion is consistent with Mohanty and Prusti's (2001) [27] findings.

In the fertilizer application for observing plant height (cm) at the different growth stages like 90 DAT (49.34) and 120 DAT (55.93) days after transplanting of the onion crop the treatment applied in F₁₂ [100% RDF (N₁₂₀P₈₀K₈₀)] has showed maximum plant height in pooled year basis, followed by the treatment F₁₁ (75% RDF + VC) 90 DAT (48.12) and 120 DAT (54.78) and F₁₀ (75% RDF + FYM) 90 DAT (42.57) and 120 DAT (54.61), while the minimum was found under the F₁

[PM (2 t/ha)] (42.17 and 49.76). This may be because plants absorb a great deal of N, P, and K when fertilizers are applied than when they do not, which may have had a substantial physiological impact on the plants' total growth and development. Bairagi *et al.*, 2015 [8].

The treatment combination V₂F₁₂ [Agrifound Dark Red + 100% RDF (N₁₂₀P₈₀K₈₀)] was found maximum plant height at 90 DAT (53.83) and 120 DAT (56.18), followed by the all other treatment combinations. The minimum (40.63 and 49.62) plant height was recorded under the treatment combination V₁F₁ [Nasik Red + PM (2 t/ha)] at 90 DAT and 120 DAT (40.63 and 49.62). The reason for the plant's increased height when nitrogen fertilizers are applied in combination with RDF could be because nitrogen serves as a building block for the synthesis of amino acids, which combine to form proteins and metabolic processes essential for plant growth. Similar results have been reported by Amans *et al.*, (1996) [4], Kumar *et al.*, (1998) [26], Khan *et al.*, (2002) [24], El-Shaikh (2005) [14], Shaheen *et al.*, (2007) [38] and Abdissa *et al.*, (2011) [9]. The same was reported by according to Kalirawna, treatment provides a good amount of fertilizer and nutrients for their growth. Plant height may likely rise as a result of improved nutrient uptake from the soil and efficient transport of nutrients to different plant sections through the use of inorganic and organic fertilizers. Since inorganic fertilizers supply essential elements during the early stages of plant growth and development, their rate of nutrient release is significantly higher. As a result, plants grew more quickly than they did with compost or other organic nutrients. Tindall (1968) [42] asserted that optimal growth and development at an early stage necessitate relatively high quantities of nutrients. Low nutritional element content and slow nutrient uptake by plants characterize compost (Brady, 1990) [11].

Length of leaf (cm) at 90 and 120 DAT

The variety V₂ has observed maximum length of leaf at all the stages of growth 90 and 120 DAT (39.46 and 38.24 cm), while the minimum length of leaf was measured in the variety V₁ (37.03 and 35.87). In the application of recommended dose of fertilizers in the form of treatment F₁₂ [100% RDF (N₁₂₀P₈₀K₈₀)] has showed maximum (49.69 and 47.33) length of leaf at 90 and 120 DAT of onion crop, followed by the other treatment F₁₁ (75% RDF + VC) (46.44 and 44.83) and F₁₀ (75% RDF + FYM) (45.57 and 44.25), while the minimum length of leaf was recorded in treatment F₁ [PM (2 t/ha)] (33.58 and 32.46). Hence, chemical fertilizers speed up the early growth of onion leaves, but at later stages, organic manures may make up for it. Numerous species of living things are activated by organic manures, and this results in the release of phytohormones, which may promote plant growth and nutrient absorption (Arisha *et al.*, 2003) [45] and such organisms need nitrogen for multiplication (Ouda and Mahadeen, 2008) [30].

The combined application of nutrient and variety they are V₂F₁₂ [Agrifound Dark Red + 100% RDF (N₁₂₀P₈₀K₈₀)] noted maximum (49.85 and 47.54) length of leaf at 90 and 120 DAT of onion crops, followed by the other combinations of treatments V₁F₁₂ [Nasik Red + 100% RDF (N₁₂₀P₈₀K₈₀)] (49.54 and 47.13), V₂F₁₁ (Agrifound Dark Red + 75% RDF + VC) (46.27 and 44.20) and V₂F₁₀ (Agrifound Dark Red + 75% RDF + FYM) (45.03 and 46.16). The minimum length of leaf at the growth stages was recorded under the treatment combination V₁F₁ [Nasik Red + PM (2 t/ha)] (31.58 and 32.37). Nitrogen's beneficial effects on leaf length may result from its involvement in the creation of proteins, enzymes, and

chlorophyll. The findings of Jilani (2004) [22], who said that the application of 200 kg N ha⁻¹ greatly increased the length of onion leaves, are consistent with the findings of this investigation. Similarly, Kumar *et al.*, (1998) [26] indicated that application of N at 150 kg ha⁻¹ gave the best result with the regard to onion leaf length. Similarly Abdissa *et al.*, (2011) [9] also reported that Nitrogen application showed significant effect on onion leaf length.

Number of leaves per plant at 90 and 120 DAT

The Variety V₂ has been recorded maximum number of leaves per plant at 90 and 120 days after transplanting (8.46 and 7.23) and the minimum number (7.62 and 7.04) of leaves per plant was measured in variety V₁ at same growth stages.

The treatment F₁₂ [100% RDF (N₁₂₀P₈₀K₈₀)] has showed maximum number (10.95 and 8.23) of leaves per plant at different growth stages like 90 and 120 days after transplanting, followed by the other treatments F₁₁ (75% RDF + VC) (10.26 and 7.98) and F₁₀ (75% RDF + FYM) (9.92, and 7.96), the minimum number of leaves per plant was recorded in treatment F₁ [PM (2 t/ha)] (6.55 and 6.71). This result is in agreement with the findings of El-Oksh *et al.*, (1993) [13]. They found that the amount of nitrogen applied significantly affected the onion's leaf count. According to Singh *et al.* (1989) [39], green manure and 120 kg N/ha produced the tallest plants with the most leaves per plant. Reddy and Reddy (2005) [33] also noted that 30 t/ha of vermicompost with 200 kg N/ha produced the greatest number of leaves per onion plant. Application of 80 kg N/ha increased the number of leaves/plant compared to 40 kg N/ha (Nehra *et al.*, 1988) [29]. Kumar *et al.*, (2001) [25] found that 130 kg N/ha resulted in the highest number of green leaves per plant of onion.

The combination of variety and recommended dose of chemical fertilisers in the treatment combination V₂F₁₂ [Agrifound Dark Red + 100% RDF (N₁₂₀P₈₀K₈₀)] was found maximum number (11.14 and 8.38) of leaves per plant at the growth stages of plant, followed by the other treatment combinations like V₁F₁₂ [Nasik Red + 100% RDF (N₁₂₀P₈₀K₈₀)] (10.76, and 8.07), V₂F₁₁ (Agrifound Dark Red + 75% RDF + VC) (10.67 and 8.03) and V₂F₁₀ (Agrifound Dark Red + 75% RDF + FYM) (10.10 and 8.01), the minimum number of leaves per plant at 90 and 120 DAT was recorded under the treatment combination V₁F₁ [Nasik Red + PM (2 t/ha)] (6.40 and 6.65). It is clearly showed that the variety V₂ showed better performance in the region with the combination of chemical fertilizers.

Neck diameter of bulb (cm) 90 and 120 DAT

The Variety V₂ (Agrifound Dark Red) has recorded maximum neck diameter (1.88 and 1.94) of bulb, which was at par with the variety V₁ (Nasik Red) (1.79, and 1.84).

In application of recommended dose of fertilisers applied in the treatment F₁₂ [100% RDF (N₁₂₀P₈₀K₈₀)] has showed maximum neck diameter (2.75 and 2.77) of bulb at 90 and 120 DAT of onion, followed by the treatment F₁₀ (75% RDF + FYM) (2.02 and 2.07) and F₁₁ (75% RDF + VC) (2.05 and 2.23), while the minimum neck diameter of bulb was recorded in treatment F₁ [PM (2 t/ha)]. Similarly, increased neck diameter, Jawadagi *et al.*, (2012) [20] acquired bulb diameter and weight with the application of greater quantities of NPK fertilizer.

Treatment combination V₂F₁₂ [Agrifound Dark Red + 100% RDF (N₁₂₀P₈₀K₈₀)] noted maximum neck diameter (2.79 and 2.81) of bulb at 90 and 120 DAT of onion crop, followed by the treatment combinations V₁F₁₂ [Nasik Red + 100% RDF

(N₁₂₀P₈₀K₈₀)] (2.72 and 2.74), V₂F₁₁ (Agrifound Dark Red + 75% RDF + VC) (2.11 and 2.32), the minimum neck diameter of bulb at all the growth stages was recorded under the treatment combination V₁F₁ [Nasik Red + PM (2 t/ha)] (1.58 and 1.60). According to Subbaiah *et al.*, (1982) [40], the pattern solubilization of plant nutrients by the addition of organic manures may be responsible for the growth in neck diameter, bulb diameter, and length. This will increase N, P, and K absorption. Complex nitrogenous compounds that are progressively broken down by the addition of organic manure to the soil provide a consistent supply of nitrogen for the crop's growth period. This could have contributed to increased availability and the crop's subsequent uptake, raising the yield. Corroborative results were also reported by Patil *et al.*, (2005) [31]; Ethel *et al.*, (2009) [15]; Gami *et al.*, (2012) [17] and Bagali *et al.*, (2012) [7] in onion crop. Kumar *et al.*, (1998) [26] reported that they noticed an increase in onion crop neck diameter when chemical fertilizers were applied at higher rates of N and S application. According to Yohannes *et al.*, (2017) [42], the use of both inorganic and organic fertilizers together has a highly substantial impact on neck thickness, one of the crucial factors. Negasi *et al.*, (2017) [28], however, presented conflicting results. More rate of photosynthesis and absorption in plant tissues may be the cause of plants growing comfortably in terms of height, number of leaves per plant, and area of leaves per plant.

Moisture Content %

The Variety V₂ has recorded maximum (44.02 %) moisture content, followed by the variety V₁ (43.55 %). In same the application of recommended dose of fertilizers in the form of treatment F₁₂ [100% RDF (N₁₂₀P₈₀K₈₀)] has showed maximum (52.54 %) moisture content per cent, followed by the treatment F₁₁ (75% RDF + VC) (50.73 %), while the minimum moisture content was recorded in treatment F₁ [PM (2 t/ha)] (41.35%).

The treatment combination V₂F₁₂ [Agrifound Dark Red + 100% RDF (N₁₂₀P₈₀K₈₀)] noted maximum (52.56 %) moisture content, which was at par with the treatment combination V₁F₁₂ [Agrifound Dark Red + 100% RDF (N₁₂₀P₈₀K₈₀)] (52.53 %), while the minimum moisture content was recorded under the treatment combination V₁F₁ [Nasik Red + PM (2 t/ha)] (41.30 %).

Bulb weight (g)

The results of pooled basis Variety V₂ (Agrifound Dark Red) has recorded maximum (58.69 g) bulb yield, followed by the variety V₁ (Nasik Red) (58.06 g). In the same manner application of recommended dose of fertilizers in the mean of the treatment F₁₂ [100% RDF (N₁₂₀P₈₀K₈₀)] has showed maximum (70.06 g) bulb yield, followed by the treatment F₁₁ (75% RDF + VC) (67.63 g), while the minimum bulb yield was recorded in treatment F₁ [PM (2 t/ha)] (55.13 g). According to Gamieli *et al.*, (1991) [18], applying nitrogen and potassium after planting can frequently enhance the size of the bulb and the number of bulblets that grow. Onion is a heavy feeder plant that responds well to both organic and inorganic manures, according to Purseglove (1972) [32]. This findings are in conformity with the results reported by (Abbey *et al.*, 2000) [2].

The treatment combination V₂F₁₂ [Agrifound Dark Red + 100% RDF (N₁₂₀P₈₀K₈₀)] noted maximum (70.08 g) bulb yield, which was at par with the treatment combination V₁F₁₂ [Agrifound Dark Red + 100% RDF (N₁₂₀P₈₀K₈₀)] (70.04 g), followed by the treatment combinations V₂F₁₁ (Agrifound

Dark Red + 75% RDF + VC) (67.92 g) and V₂F₁₀ (Agrifound Dark Red + 75% RDF + FYM) (67.40 g), while the minimum bulb yield was recorded under the treatment combination V₁F₁ [Nasik Red + PM (2 t/ha)] (55.07 g). In order to maximize the number of bulbs per plant, soil structure may have an impact on onion bulb production at the time of bulb commencement. The amount of organic matter in the soil is increased by adding organic fertilizer. When inorganic fertilizers are present, soil micro and macro organism activity is decreased in comparison to that of organic fertilizers. The result of Jeyarani (1986) [21], which stated that the addition of organic manures at a higher rate increases the total porosity greatly, supports this. Vermicompost gives soil organisms a better environment and maximizes their activity levels. In particular, compost encourages soil microbial activity. On the other hand, increasing the study's fresh weight of the entire plant and bulb size-two yield attributes-by applying organic and NPK fertilizers together resulted in a higher onion yield. Furthermore, increased plant nutrient supply and enhanced chemical, physical, and biological qualities of the soil may be the cause of the positive effects of organic and inorganic manures on yield (Datt *et al.*, 2003) [12]. Our findings closely matched those of Abbey *et al.*, (2000) [2], Bhati *et al.*, (2018) [10], and Gererufael *et al.*, (2020) [19], who found that increased amounts of both organic and inorganic fertilizers increased the yield of onion bulbs.

Yield/plot (kg)

The Variety V₂ (Agrifound Dark Red) has recorded maximum (28.17 kg/plot) yield per plot, while minimum (27.87 kg/plot) yield per plot was measured in variety V₁ (Nasik Red). In the application of recommended dose of fertilizers in the form of treatment F₁₂ [100% RDF (N₁₂₀P₈₀K₈₀)] has showed maximum (33.63 kg/plot) yield per plot, followed by the

treatment F₁₁ (75% RDF + VC) and F₁₀ (75% RDF + FYM) (32.46 kg/plot), while the minimum yield per plot was recorded in treatment F₁ [PM (2 t/ha)] (26.46 kg/plot). Concentrated kinds of soil nutrients, known as inorganic fertilizers, are more easily transported than manure. The nutrients released by organic manures are absorbed indirectly by the plants, who take a very long time to absorb them. Consequently, at the crucial phase of crop formation, plants are unable to obtain the necessary quantity of nutrients. This could be the likely cause of the increased yield that the onion treated with inorganic fertilizer produced. A similar outcome was attained by Seran and colleagues (2010) [36].

The combination V₂F₁₂ [Agrifound Dark Red + 100% RDF (N₁₂₀P₈₀K₈₀)] noted maximum (33.64 kg/plot) yield per plot, which was at par with the treatment combinations V₁F₁₂ [Agrifound Dark Red + 100% RDF (N₁₂₀P₈₀K₈₀)] (33.62 kg/plot), followed by the treatment combinations V₂F₁₁ (Agrifound Dark Red + 75% RDF + VC) (32.60 kg/plot) and V₂F₁₀ (Agrifound Dark Red + 75% RDF + FYM) (32.35 kg/plot), while the minimum yield per plot was recorded under the treatment combination V₁F₁ [Nasik Red + PM (2 t/ha)] (26.44 kg/plot). Both organic manure and the recommended amount of inorganic fertilizers were applied. Not only would the nutrients from the inorganic fertilizer have contributed to the ultimate output, but also the nutrients produced by the vermicompost. It could be the cause of the high yield that this treatment has produced. Therefore, using both organic and inorganic fertilizers together may result in higher yields than using just organic manure alone. The current conclusion was in line with earlier research on broccoli (Ouda and Mahadeen, 2008) [30], tomatoes (Babajide *et al.*, 2008), and onions (Abbey and Kanton, 2003; Gambo *et al.*, 2008) [6, 1, 16].

Table 1: Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield parameters of onion.

Treat.	Plant Height AT 90 DAT	Plant Height AT 120 DAT	Length of the leaf (cm) at 90 DAT	Length of the leaf (cm) at 120 DAT	Number of Leaves per Plant 90 DAT	Number of Leaves per Plant 120 DAT	Neck diameter of the bulb (cm) 90 DAT	Neck diameter of the bulb (cm) 120 DAT	Moisture Content %	Bulb weight (g)	Yield/plot (kg)
	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled
V ₁	44.08	51.06	37.03	35.87	7.62	7.04	1.79	1.84	43.55	58.06	27.87
V ₂	47.95	51.62	39.46	38.24	8.46	7.23	1.88	1.94	44.02	58.69	28.17
S.Em±	0.023	0.013	0.030	0.029	0.007	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.024	0.032	0.015
CD 5%	0.065	0.038	0.083	0.082	0.020	0.008	0.005	0.005	0.067	0.089	0.043
F ₁	42.17	49.76	33.58	32.46	6.55	6.71	1.65	1.68	41.35	55.13	26.46
F ₂	47.17	50.41	36.10	34.86	7.89	6.95	1.79	1.82	41.80	55.73	26.75
F ₃	47.43	50.62	37.66	36.06	8.03	7.01	1.84	1.86	41.89	55.85	26.81
F ₄	43.09	51.69	38.53	36.96	8.28	7.19	1.68	1.69	43.34	57.79	27.74
F ₅	47.40	51.98	43.29	42.86	8.33	7.22	1.95	1.90	43.43	57.91	27.80
F ₆	46.13	52.43	43.91	42.37	8.74	7.38	1.90	1.96	43.67	58.22	27.95
F ₇	43.25	52.68	40.91	40.25	8.96	7.43	1.87	1.89	47.45	63.26	30.37
F ₈	47.53	53.32	41.92	41.50	9.32	7.60	1.92	1.94	48.49	64.66	31.04
F ₉	47.98	53.56	44.13	43.11	9.49	7.72	2.00	2.01	48.60	64.80	31.10
F ₁₀	42.57	54.61	45.57	44.25	9.92	7.96	2.02	2.07	49.84	66.45	31.90
F ₁₁	48.12	54.78	46.44	44.83	10.26	7.98	2.05	2.23	50.73	67.63	32.46
F ₁₂	49.34	55.93	49.69	47.33	10.95	8.23	2.75	2.77	52.54	70.06	33.63
S.Em±	0.056	0.033	0.072	0.072	0.017	0.007	0.004	0.004	0.058	0.078	0.037
CD at 5%	0.158	0.093	0.203	0.202	0.049	0.020	0.012	0.012	0.163	0.218	0.105

Table 2: Interaction effect of Variety and nutrient on growth and yield parameters of onion

Treatment	Plant Height AT 90 DAT	Plant Height AT 120 DAT	Length of the leaf (cm) at 90 DAT	Length of the leaf (cm) at 120 DAT	Number of leaves per plant at 90 DAT	Number of leaves per plant at 120 DAT	Neck diameter of the bulb (cm) at 90 DAT	Neck diameter of the bulb (cm) at 120 DAT	Moisture Content %	Bulb weight (g)	Yield/plot (kg)
	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled
	V ₁ F ₁	40.63	49.62	33.51	32.37	6.40	6.65	1.58	1.60	41.30	55.07
V ₁ F ₂	47.07	50.30	35.96	34.74	7.80	6.89	1.72	1.74	41.40	55.20	26.49
V ₁ F ₃	45.49	50.37	37.55	35.95	7.89	6.98	1.78	1.80	41.49	55.32	26.55
V ₁ F ₄	40.92	51.29	38.43	36.87	8.21	7.10	1.64	1.65	43.26	57.68	27.68
V ₁ F ₅	41.72	51.66	39.81	38.46	8.28	7.11	1.82	1.82	43.34	57.79	27.74
V ₁ F ₆	50.52	52.34	40.14	38.63	8.66	7.35	1.95	1.97	43.63	58.17	27.92
V ₁ F ₇	40.98	52.53	40.17	39.64	8.93	7.41	1.91	1.93	47.42	63.23	30.35
V ₁ F ₈	47.32	53.06	40.29	39.74	9.06	7.50	1.97	1.99	48.05	64.06	30.75
V ₁ F ₉	46.27	53.41	41.87	41.00	9.29	7.56	1.95	1.96	48.12	64.15	30.79
V ₁ F ₁₀	41.12	54.27	43.79	43.50	9.74	7.91	2.00	2.02	49.12	65.50	31.44
V ₁ F ₁₁	42.40	54.48	46.61	45.46	9.85	7.94	1.98	2.13	50.51	67.35	32.33
V ₁ F ₁₂	44.57	55.69	49.54	47.13	10.76	8.07	2.72	2.74	52.53	70.04	33.62
V ₂ F ₁	45.25	49.90	33.66	32.54	6.71	6.78	1.71	1.75	41.39	55.19	26.49
V ₂ F ₂	47.27	50.52	36.24	34.97	7.97	7.01	1.86	1.89	42.20	56.27	27.01
V ₂ F ₃	49.37	50.88	37.78	36.17	8.16	7.04	1.91	1.93	42.29	56.39	27.06
V ₂ F ₄	43.71	52.08	38.64	37.04	8.35	7.27	1.71	1.73	43.43	57.90	27.79
V ₂ F ₅	53.07	52.30	46.77	47.25	8.37	7.33	2.09	1.98	43.52	58.03	27.85
V ₂ F ₆	48.16	52.52	47.68	46.11	8.82	7.41	1.85	1.96	43.70	58.27	27.97
V ₂ F ₇	45.52	52.83	41.66	40.87	9.00	7.44	1.83	1.85	47.47	63.29	30.38
V ₂ F ₈	47.73	53.57	43.55	43.27	9.57	7.70	1.88	1.90	48.94	65.25	31.32
V ₂ F ₉	49.69	53.71	46.39	45.22	9.70	7.87	2.04	2.06	49.08	65.44	31.41
V ₂ F ₁₀	44.02	54.95	47.34	46.16	10.10	8.01	2.04	2.11	50.55	67.40	32.35
V ₂ F ₁₁	47.69	55.07	46.27	44.20	10.67	8.03	2.11	2.32	50.94	67.92	32.60
V ₂ F ₁₂	53.83	56.18	49.85	47.54	11.14	8.38	2.79	2.81	52.56	70.08	33.64
S.Em±	0.080	0.047	0.102	0.102	0.025	0.010	0.006	0.006	0.082	0.110	0.053
C.D. at 5 %	0.224	0.131	0.288	0.286	0.069	0.029	0.017	0.017	0.231	0.308	0.148

Conclusion

On the basis of two year of experiment it is concluded that the maximum plant height at 90 and 120 DAT, Length of leaf at 90 and 120 DAT, Number of leaves per plant at 90 and 120 DAT, Neck diameters of plant at 90 and 120 DAT, yield-attributing parameters like moisture content %, bulb weight and yield/plot was observed under variety V₂ (Agrifound Dark Red) and in case of fertilizer application all the parameters was found under treatment F₁₂ [100% RDF (N₁₂₀P₈₀K₈₀)], in case of combined application of variety and fertilizers treatment combination V₂F₁₂ [Agrifound Dark Red + 100% RDF (N₁₂₀P₈₀K₈₀)] was observed better compare to other combinations, while the minimum was observed under the treatments control.

Reference

- Abbey L, Kanton RAL. Fertilizer type, but not time of cessation of irrigation, affect onion development and yield in semi-arid region. *Journal of Vegetable Crop Production*. 2003;9(2):41-48.
- Abbey L, Joyce DC, Aked J, Smith B. Genotype, sulphur nutrition and soil type effect on growth and dry matter production of spring onion. *Journal of Horticultural Science and Biotechnology*. 2000;77(3):340-345.
- Tekalign AT, Pant LM. Growth, bulb yield and quality of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) as influenced by nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization on vertisol, growth attributes, biomass production and bulb yield. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2011;6(14):3252-3258.
- Amans EB, Ahmed MK, Yayock JY. Effect of plant spacing nitrogen rates on early and late-sown dry season onion (*Allium cepa*) in the Sudan Savanna of Nigeria

Growth, maturity and bulb yield. Ph.D. Thesis. Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria; c1996.

- Arisha HME, Gad AA, Younes SE. Response of some pepper cultivars to organic and mineral nitrogen fertilizer under sandy soil conditions. *Zagazig Journal Agriculture Research*. 2003;30:1875-1899.
- Babajide PA, Olabode OS, Akanbi WB, Olatunji OO, Ewetola EA. Influence of composted Tithonia-biomass and N-mineral fertilizer on soil physico-chemical properties and performance of tomato (*Lycopersicon*). *Research Journal of Agronomy*. 2008;2(4):101-106.
- Bagali AN, Patil HB, Guled MB, Patil RV. Effect of scheduling of drip irrigation on growth, yield and water use efficiency of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.* 2012;25(1):116-119.
- Bairagi, Priyanka, Yadav SR, Kumar Dinesh. Response of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) to different levels of NPK and FYM under arid condition of Rajasthan. *An Asian Journal of Soil Science*. 2015;10(1):42-46.
- Barakade AJ, Lokhande TN, Todkari GU. Economics of onion cultivation and it' marketing pattern in Satara district on Maharashtra. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2011;3(3):110-117.
- Bhati V, Yadav PK, Kumar R. Effect of levels of inorganic fertilizers, organic manure and bio-fertilizers on plant growth attributes of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. N-53 under hot arid region of western Rajasthan, India. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2018;7(2):3593-3601.
- Brady NC. *The Nature and Properties of soil*. New Delhi: Prentice Hall of India; c1990. p. 512-515.
- Datt N, Sharma RP, Sharma GD. Effect of supplementary use of farmyard manure along with chemical fertilizers

- on productivity and nutrient up-take by vegetable pea (*Pisum sativum* var *arvense*) and buildup of soil fertility in Lahaul valley of Himachal Pradesh. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2003;73(5):266-268.
13. El-Oksh IIAM, El-Gizawy MMF, Abdallah AR, Mohamed AG, Abdalla AAG. Effect of soil moisture and N fertilizer levels on onion grown in mixture of talfa and sand. Bull. Fac. Agric. Univ. Cairo. 1993;44(1):145-156.
 14. El-Shaikh KAA. Growth and yield of onion as affected by biofertilization, application of nitrogen & phosphorus fertilizers under South Valley. Assiut J. Agric. Sci. 2005;36(1):37-50.
 15. Ethel Ngullie, Singh AK, Singh VB. Effect of organic manures and biofertilizers on growth, yield and quality of onion. Environ. Biol. 2009;27(1A):313-315.
 16. Gambo BA, Magaji MD, Yakubu AI, Dikko AU. Effects of Farmyard manure, nitrogen and weed interference on the growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) at the Sokoto Rima valley. Journal of Sustainable development in Agriculture and Environment. 2008;3(2):87-92.
 17. Gami MR, Arvadia MK, Patel DD, Patel BK, Patel HH. Effect of tillage depth and FYM levels on growth, yield and yield attributes of onion (*Allium cepa* Linn). Bioinfolet. 2012;9(4A):605-607.
 18. Gamiely S, Randle WM, Millis HA. Onion plant growth, bulb quality and water uptake following ammonium and nitrate nutrition. Horticulture Science. 1991;26(8):61-63.
 19. Gererufael LA, Abraham NT, Reda TB. Growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) as affected by farmyard manure and nitrogen fertilizer application in Tahtay Koraro District, Northwestern Zone of Tigray, Ethiopia. Vegetos. 2020;3:3617–627.
 20. Jawadagi RS, Jasavaraj N, Patil BN, Hemla NB, Channappagoudar BB. Effect of different sources of nutrients on growth, yield, and quality of onion cv. Bellary red. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 2012;25(2):232-235.
 21. Jeyarani P. A Study of feasibility of regulating water retention capacity of sandy regosol. A report of B.Sc. (Agric.) Thesis. Eastern University of Sri Lanka; c1986.
 22. Jilani MS. Studies on the management strategies for bulb & seed production of different cultivars of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). PhD thesis, Gomal University, Dera Ismail Khan; c2004.
 23. Aditya M, Vijay B, Kalirawana S, Kumari S, Serawat R, Kumar P. Effect of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. Nasik Red. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022;11(2):1389-1392.
 24. Khan HM, Ghaffoor IA, Waseem K. Effect of various plant spacing and different levels of nitrogen on the growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). J. Biological Sci. 2002;2:545-547.
 25. Kumar D, Kumar S, Kumar A. Effect of different levels of N on growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Agr. Sci. Digest. 2001;21(2):121-123.
 26. Kumar HJ, Singh V, Ajay K, Mahak S, Kumar A, Singh M. Studies on the influence of nitrogen on growth and yield of onion CV. Patna Red. Indian J. Agric. Res. 1998;32:88-92.
 27. Mohanty BK, Prusti AM. Performance of common onion varieties in kharif seasons. Indian Journal of Tropical Agriculture. 2001;39:21-23.
 28. Negasi T, Nigussie D, Kebede W, Lemma D, Abuhay T. Effect of Integrated Nitrogen, Phosphorus, and Farmyard manure on post-harvest quality and storability of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). J Postharvest Technol. 2017;15(4):25–37.
 29. Nehra BK, Pandita ML, Singh K. Effect of bulb size, spacing and N on plant growth and yield of onion. Harayena J. Hort. Sci. 1988;17(1-2):106-110.
 30. Ouda BA, Mahadeen AY. Effect of fertilizers on growth, yield, yield components, quality and certain nutrient contents in broccoli (*Brassica oleracea*). International Journal of Agriculture and biology. 2008;10(6):627-632.
 31. Patil PV, Chalwade PB, Solanke AS, Kulkarni VK. Effect of fly ash and FYM on nutrient uptake and yield of onion. J. Soils & Crops. 2005;15(1):187-192.
 32. Purseglove JW. Tropical Crops Monocotyledons, Longman Group Ltd, London; c1972. p. 38-50.
 33. Reddy KC, Reddy KM. Differential levels of vermicompost and N on growth and yield in onion (*Allium cepa* L.)-radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) cropping system. J. Res. ANGRAU. 2005;33(1):11-17.
 34. Shukhlal S, Singh SB, Namdeo KN, Parihar SS. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on yield, quality, and nutrient uptake of lentils. Annals of Plant and Soil Research. 2014;16(3):238-241.
 35. Sanwal SK, Laxminarayana K, Yadav RK, Rai N, Yadav DS, Mousumi B. Effect of organic manures on soil fertility, growth, physiology, yield, and quality of turmeric. Indian Journal of Horticulture. 2007;64(4):444-449.
 36. Seran TH, Srikrishnah S, Ahamed MMZ. Effect of different levels of inorganic fertilizers and compost as basal application on the growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). The Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 2010;5(2):64-70.
 37. Shaheen AM, Rizk FA, Singer SM. Growing onion plants without chemical fertilization. Research J. of Agric. and Biological Sci. 2007;3(2):95-104.
 38. Singh T, Singh SB, Singh BN. Effect of nitrogen, potassium and green manuring on growth & yield of rainy season onion (*Allium cepa*, L.). Narendra Deva J. Agric. Res. 1999;4(1):57-60.
 39. Singh T, Singh SB, Singh BN. Effect of N, potassium and green manuring on growth and yield of rainy season onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Narindra Deva J. Agric. Res. 1989;4(1):57-60.
 40. Subbaiah K, Helkai J, Ravikumar V, Rajagopal. Effect of combined application of organic and inorganic fertilizers on the yield and nitrogen uptake of MDV chilli. South Indian J. Hort. 1982;30:45-47.
 41. Tindall HD. Commercial Vegetable growing. Tropical hand book series, Oxford University Press. Oxford; c1968. p. 635-637.
 42. Yohannes GK, Kebede W, Arvind C, Fikreyohannes G. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, bulb yield and storability of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) under irrigation at Selekeleka, Northern Ethiopia. Int J Life Sci. 2017;5(2):151–160.