International Journal of Statistics and Applied Mathematics

ISSN: 2456-1452 Maths 2023; SP-8(6): 454-457 © 2023 Stats & Maths https://www.mathsjournal.com

Received: 26-10-2023 Accepted: 29-11-2023

Veena Rathore

Department of Agricultural Economics and Farm Management, College of Agriculture, JNKVV, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

Neha Dwivedi

Department of Agricultural Economics, College of Agriculture, RVSKVV, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, India

RK Narvariya

Director of Agro-Economic Research Centre, J.N.K.V.V., Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: Veena Rathore

Department of Agricultural Economics and Farm Management, College of Agriculture, JNKVV, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

Constraints and suggestions for increasing production of different cropping systems in Indore district of Madhya Pradesh

Veena Rathore, Neha Dwivedi and RK Narvariya

Abstract

Cropping system is an important component of a farming system. It represents their interaction with farm resources, other farm enterprises and available technology which determine their make up. The farmers in area adopting a certain cropping system, specially producing maximum remuneration with available suitable agro climatic condition and other factors receiving greater emphasis. Proper and well adopted cropping system increase supply of balanced food, feed and cash needs of farmers, without extra expense. To study the constraints related to existing cropping system and suggest ways and means for increasing production level of different cropping system. Indore district was selected for present study due to higher changing cropping system as progressive agricultural area. In Indore district Indore block in Madhya Pradesh was purposively selected for the study owing to well acquaintance with the researcher about area which would be make easy in data collection. Multi stage sampling technique was used for drawing a sample for the present study. At first stage, the villages were selected for study. At the second stage of sampling, the farmers were selected for data collection as respondents of study. A list of all the villages in the selected block was prepared with the help of Department of Agriculture Indore. Again, these villages were arranged in ascending order with the area of different crop's in the villages. Further in this selection process top 5 villages having maximum area under different crop's was further selected purposively for the study. From the selected villages, a list of all the different crop's growers was prepared. In the third stage of selection 70 farmers were selected randomly by proportional allocation of 3 categories i.e. small (less than 2 ha), medium (2 to 4 ha.) and large (more than 4 ha.). Thus 70 farmers was the sample of present study. In present study, both primary and secondary data were used to find out the findings of study. Primary data was collected from sample farmers and the secondary data was collected from other department. The data was collected through survey method. The period of the study was related to the agriculture year 2014-2015. The most important constraints was "natural causes" got rank Ist among all the constraint followed by "economic cause" (rank IInd), 'technological cause" (rank IIIrd), "institutional infrastructure cause" (rank IVth) and "social cause" (rank Vth) respectively. The major suggestions confronted by 92.86 per cent farmers were "area for intensive cultivation should be demarketed" followed by 88.57 per cent suggested that "emphasis should be given on dynamic crops", 85.71 per cent suggested that "training of farmers in modern methods of crop production" and 78.57 per cent suggested that "the preference should be given for drip and micro sprinkler irrigation" respectively.

Keywords: Cropping system, constraints, production, suggestions

Introduction

A study on the changes in land use and cropping pattern at the regional level will be useful to formulate appropriate agricultural policies for the location. Cropping pattern speaks about the intensity of land resource use on various crop growths under different level of technology. A conjugal response of so many factors association, changes in land utilization pattern is a global phenomena and subjective change in technology, degree of market perfection and economic status of the producers and consumers. All these factors of the region do change with the pace of the time. The recent advances in farm technology in terms of new crop varieties, irrigation facilities and other non conventional inputs are well known to the farmers at different levels and thereby they plan their production accordingly. The technological advancement in agriculture resulting into higher productivity have been established by effective substitute for land to its economic use.

Cropping system is an important component of a farming system. It represents cropping patterns used in a farm and their interaction with farm resources, other farm enterprises and available technology which determine their make up. Cropping system specially intercropping and mixed cropping receiving greater emphasis in Indian agriculture now, because stabilized yield advantage, especially under adverse weather condition, mixed and intercropping systems have built mechanism of risk bearing against environmental hazards. Proper and well adopted cropping system increase supply of balanced food, feed and cash needs of marginal farmers, without extra expense. Experimental evidences have also proved that yield stability and monetary return is greater with intercropping and mixed cropping than single crop. The recently, huge gap between existing cropping systems and improved cropping systems, improved cropping systems are capable to increase the farmers land use efficiency, productivity and economic return in respect to farmers land use efficiency; productivity and economic return in respect to existing cropping systems.

Materials and Methods

Indore district was selected for present study due to higher changing cropping system as progressive agricultural area. In Indore district Indore block in Madhya Pradesh was purposively selected for the study owing to well acquaintance with the researcher about area which would be make easy in data collection. Multi stage sampling technique was used for drawing a sample for the present study. At first stage, the villages were selected for study. At the second stage of sampling, the farmers were selected for data collection as respondents of study. A list of all the villages in the selected block was prepared with the help of Department of

Agriculture Indore. Again, these villages were arranged in ascending order with the area of different crop's in the villages. Further in this selection process top 5 villages having maximum area under different crop's was further selected purposively for the study. From the selected villages, a list of all the different crop's growers was prepared. In the third stage of selection 70 farmers were selected randomly by proportional allocation of 3 categories i.e. small (less than 2 ha), medium (2 to 4 ha.) and large (more than 4 ha.). Thus 70 farmers was the sample of present study. In present study, both primary and secondary data were used to find out the findings of study. Primary data was collected from sample farmers and the secondary data was collected from other department. The data was collected through survey method. The period of the study was related to the agriculture year 2014-2015. A cropping system refers to the principles and practices of cropping and their interaction with farm resources, technology, aerial and edaphic environment to suit the regional or national or global needs and production strategy. It is an important component of farming system. Despite the importance of rainfed farming in general and cropping system in particular under Indian conditions, scant attention has been paid to devise suitable cropping systems on scientific basis.

Results and Discussion

Constraints related to existing cropping system

There have been some constraints in prevailing cropping system. The constraints analysis was reported based on the opinion survey of the sampled farmers. Thus, the generalizations of result are the feedback of the cropping system prevailing in the area. The main constraints related to existing cropping system are presented in table 1.

64.29

2. Unfavorable climate 58 88 3. Uncertainty of rain 65 99 4. Damage due to insect pest 35 56 Average 52 76 B. Social cause 1. Unavailability of labour at time 25 33 2. Low working capacity of labour 20 26 3. Family problems 30 42 4. Not proper management of family labour 28 44 Average 26 3 C. Economic cause 1. Economic poverty 55 7 2. Have not purchased recommended inputs 50 7 3. Unavailability of irrigation facilities 60 8 4. Have not done agronomical practices proper and at the time 35 56	
2. Unfavorable climate 58 8 3. Uncertainty of rain 65 9 4. Damage due to insect pest 35 56 Average 52 7 B. Social cause 1. Unavailability of labour at time 25 3 2. Low working capacity of labour 20 2 3. Family problems 30 4 4. Not proper management of family labour 28 4 Average 26 3 C. Economic cause 1. Economic poverty 55 7 2. Have not purchased recommended inputs 50 7 3. Unavailability of irrigation facilities 60 8 4. Have not done agronomical practices proper and at the time 35 56	
3. Uncertainty of rain 65 99 4. Damage due to insect pest 35 56 Average 52 74 B. Social cause 1. Unavailability of labour at time 25 33 2. Low working capacity of labour 20 22 3. Family problems 30 44 4. Not proper management of family labour 28 44 Average 26 3 C. Economic cause 1. Economic poverty 55 7 2. Have not purchased recommended inputs 50 7 3. Unavailability of irrigation facilities 60 8 4. Have not done agronomical practices proper and at the time 35 56	'1.43 iii
4. Damage due to insect pest 35 56 Average 52 74 B. Social cause 1. Unavailability of labour at time 25 33 2. Low working capacity of labour 20 23 3. Family problems 30 44 4. Not proper management of family labour 28 44 Average 26 3 C. Economic cause 1. Economic poverty 55 7 2. Have not purchased recommended inputs 50 7 3. Unavailability of irrigation facilities 60 8 4. Have not done agronomical practices proper and at the time 35 56	32.86 ii
Average 52 74	i i
B. Social cause 1. Unavailability of labour at time 25 33 2. Low working capacity of labour 20 23 3. Family problems 30 44 4. Not proper management of family labour 28 44 Average 26 3 C. Economic cause 1. Economic poverty 55 75 2. Have not purchased recommended inputs 50 7 3. Unavailability of irrigation facilities 60 85 4. Have not done agronomical practices proper and at the time 35 56	iv iv
1. Unavailability of labour at time 25 33 2. Low working capacity of labour 20 22 3. Family problems 30 42 4. Not proper management of family labour 28 44 Average 26 3 C. Economic cause 1. Economic poverty 55 75 2. Have not purchased recommended inputs 50 7 3. Unavailability of irrigation facilities 60 85 4. Have not done agronomical practices proper and at the time 35 56	74.29 Ist
2. Low working capacity of labour 20 22 3. Family problems 30 44 4. Not proper management of family labour 28 44 Average 26 3 C. Economic cause 1. Economic poverty 55 75 2. Have not purchased recommended inputs 50 7 3. Unavailability of irrigation facilities 60 85 4. Have not done agronomical practices proper and at the time 35 56	
3. Family problems 30 44 4. Not proper management of family labour 28 44 Average 26 3° C. Economic cause 1. Economic poverty 55 7° 2. Have not purchased recommended inputs 50 7 3. Unavailability of irrigation facilities 60 8° 4. Have not done agronomical practices proper and at the time 35 56	5.71 iii
4. Not proper management of family labour Average C. Economic cause 1. Economic poverty 55 73 2. Have not purchased recommended inputs 3. Unavailability of irrigation facilities 4. Have not done agronomical practices proper and at the time 35	18.57 iv
Average 26 3° C. Economic cause 1. Economic poverty 55 7° 2. Have not purchased recommended inputs 50 7 3. Unavailability of irrigation facilities 60 8° 4. Have not done agronomical practices proper and at the time 35 56	2.86 i
C. Economic cause 1. Economic poverty 55 75 2. Have not purchased recommended inputs 50 75 3. Unavailability of irrigation facilities 60 85 4. Have not done agronomical practices proper and at the time 35	·0.00 ii
1. Economic poverty 55 75 2. Have not purchased recommended inputs 50 7 3. Unavailability of irrigation facilities 60 85 4. Have not done agronomical practices proper and at the time 35 56	7.14 V th
2. Have not purchased recommended inputs 50 7 3. Unavailability of irrigation facilities 60 8: 4. Have not done agronomical practices proper and at the time 35 56	
3. Unavailability of irrigation facilities 60 85 4. Have not done agronomical practices proper and at the time 35 56	78.57 ii
3. Unavailability of irrigation facilities 60 85 4. Have not done agronomical practices proper and at the time 35 56	71.43 iii
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	i i
	iv iv
Average 50 7	71.43 II nd
D. Technological cause	
1. Lack of technological knowledge 38 5-	iv iv
2. Unavailability of technical suggestions and guidance 42 60	iii iii
	ii ii
4. Costly improved technology 58 8:	i i
Average 46 6:	55.71 III rd
E. Institutional infrastructure cause	•
1. Unavailability of inputs at time 50 7	11.43 ii
	i4.29 iii
3. Unavailability of proper loaning system 25 3:	5.71 iv
	i i

 Table 1: Production constraints identified by the sample farmers

Average

The constraints in prevailing cropping system, confronted by farmers are divided into five segments and each segment has its own importance in production process. The most important constraints was "natural causes" got rank Ist among all the constraint followed by "economic cause" (rank $\mathrm{II}^{\mathrm{nd}}$), 'technological cause" (rank IIIrd), "institutional infrastructure cause" (rank IVth) and "social cause" (rank Vth) respectively. Among the "natural cause", the maximum number of farmers (92.86%) reported "uncertainty of rain" followed by "unfavorable climate" (82.86%), "low fertility of soil" (71.43%) and "damage due to insect pest" (50.00%) respectively. Among the "economic cause", the maximum number of farmers (85.71%) reported "unavailability of irrigation facilities" followed by "economic poverty" (78.57%), "have not purchased recommended inputs" (71.43%) and "have not done agronomical practices proper and at the time" (50.00%) respectively. Among the "technological cause", the maximum number of farmers (82.86%) reported "costly improved technology" followed by "unavailability of training and demonstrations" (64.29%),

"unavailability of technical suggestions and guidance" (60.00%) and "lack of technological knowledge" (54.29%) respectively. Among the "institutional infrastructure cause", the maximum number of farmers (85.71%) reported "unavailability of proper distribution system" followed by "unavailability of inputs at time" (71.43%), "do not performed agricultural practices at time" (64.29%) and "unavailability of proper loaning system" (35.71%) respectively. Among the "social cause", the maximum number of farmers (42.86%) reported "family problems" followed by "not proper management of family labour" (40.00%), "unavailability of labour at time" (35.71%) and "low working capacity of labour" (28.57%) respectively.

Suggestions for increasing production level of different cropping system

The suggestions for increasing production level of different cropping system, opinion survey from respondent cultivators were recorded on following points. The detail of suggestions is determined in table 2.

Table 2: Suggestions for increasing production level of different cropping system.

S. No.	Suggestions	Frequency (n=70)	%to total	Rank
1.	Ensuring proper use of irrigation water	50	71.43	V
2.	The preference should be given for drip and micro sprinkler irrigation	55	78.57*	IV
3.	Timely supply of the quality inputs	40	57.14	VIII
4.	Self-provisioning of inputs and appropriate practices on farm	35	50.00	IX
5.	Training of farmers in modern methods of crop production	60	85.71*	III
6.	Emphasis should be given on dynamic crops	62	88.57*	II
7.	Location specific crop planning should be needed	45	64.29	VII
8.	Area for intensive cultivation should be demarketed	65	92.86*	I
9.	Popularization of high yielding varieties supported by strong seed programme	48	68.57	VI
10.	Average	51	72.86	

^{*} Higher than average value

The major suggestions confronted by 92.86 per cent farmers were "area for intensive cultivation should be demarketed". It is fact that the area under study has tremendous potential for the production of soybean, wheat and gram. As the adoption of improved production technology covering substantial part of the area, systematic efforts could be made to identify areas for special attention in terms of the growing of economic cropping system. The 88.57 per cent farmers among the total suggested that "emphasis should be given on dynamic crops". Many study suggested that there are certain crops emerge as the dynamic crops in the area viz. maize among cereals, vegetable and other commercial crops. These crops also have better prospects for value addition and these are found in small scale. Therefore, these can be cultivated even by the small farmers wherever the condition are favourable. The 85.71 per cent farmers among the total suggested that "training of farmers in modern methods of crop production". Inadequate attention has been placed on training the farmers in the scientific method of cultivation. Due to this notion, agricultural producers have been denied the benefit of agricultural science in their farming operations. Proper attention should be given to the producers in respect of farmers' field school to enable them to understand modern method of production and to practice them in the field condition. The 78.57 per cent farmers among the total suggested that "the preference should be given for drip and micro sprinkler irrigation". The area under study is scarce with irrigation water and the higher area is under rainfed condition. In this situation water saving device should be given more preference to brought higher area under irrigation. The 71.43 per cent farmers among the total suggested that

"ensuring proper use of irrigation water". Irrigation is one of among the several factors responsible for low yields, but the inefficient use of water certainly caused economically in cropping system. Efforts thus need to be made to insure the proper use of water for example in rainfed conditions there are good prospect of gram cultivation with supplementary irrigation facility after the soybean cultivation. The 68.57 per cent farmers among the total suggested that "popularization of high yielding varieties supported by strong seed programme". The genetic potential of grain yield of crops is still under estimated as a result of strong and dominating effects of economy. The fact is that the ultimate aim of farmers is to get higher remunerative income through use of superior varieties existing once in yielding ability, disease and insect resistance and other characteristics. The 64.29 per cent farmers among the total suggested that "location specific crop planning should be needed". The specific feature of different climatic zone in the state found to close relation in production process of crops. The potentiality of study area should be carefully and farmer should be advised accordingly for specific crop planning. The 57.14 per cent farmers among the total suggested that "timely supply of the quality inputs". There are problems with the timing of the application as well as the quality of the inputs in double cropping system due to unavailability of timely and quality inputs in time. Step should be taken timely and assured supply of quality inputs. The 50.00 per cent farmers among the total suggested that "self provisioning of inputs and appropriate practices on farm". Self provisioning of inputs is always advantages, provided the productivity of these inputs is comparable to the

purchase inputs. The inputs and practices which reduce costs without suffering productivity may be popularized.

Conclusion

Diversifications among the crops are seen due to these factors. As a consequence of advance technology in agriculture, production and income level of the farmers have experienced a change towards higher level, depending upon the market factors like demand and supply. It is difficult to measure the rate at which individual factors effect cropping system, however, their cumulative effect can hardly be ignored. The aim of this study is to evaluate the economics of production of existing cropping systems and improved there off in light off resources available in the production in different cropping systems. On the basis of result farmers should make efforts for conservation of water for life saving irrigation and to get higher yield from soybean-wheat cropping system and soybean-gram cropping system also. The farmers growing soybean-gram could be encouraged to go for soybean-wheat to avoid unforeseen economic losses. The most important constraints was "natural causes" got rank Ist among all the constraint followed by "economic cause" (rank IInd), 'technological cause" (rank IIIrd), "institutional infrastructure cause" (rank IVth) and "social cause" (rank Vth) respectively. The major suggestions confronted by 92.86 per cent farmers were "area for intensive cultivation should be demarketed" followed by 88.57 per cent suggested that "emphasis should be given on dynamic crops", 85.71 per cent suggested that "training of farmers in modern methods of crop production" and 78.57 per cent suggested that "the preference should be given for drip and micro sprinkler irrigation" respectively. It is suggested that the integrated crop and dairy enterprise can play an important role in increasing income and employment on the small farm. With the existing meager resources of the small farmers, it is not possible to increase the number of milch animals and to adopt the improved crop and dairy technology. Therefore, to help the farmers in harvesting the benefits of the integrated crops and dairy production with improved technology, the financial institution and medium term credit on easy terms to the small farmers be provided.

References

- 1. Ahmad MS, Mishra RS, Bhagat DV, Yadava HS. Shift in cropping pattern in Mahakoshal region of Madhya Pradesh over two decades. J of Soils and Crops. 1998;8(2):134-138.
- Tanveer A. An economic analysis of paddy dased farming systems in Southern Karnataka-A case study of Mandya District. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, submitted to University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad; c2006.
- 3. Biradar Rajkumar B. Economics of red gram based cropping systems in Bidar district. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, (Unpublished), University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad; c2007.
- 4. Rathod BS, Narvariya RK, Shrivastava A. Constraints in the Production and Marketing of Cabbage in Khandwa District of Madhya Pradesh Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology. 2020;39(35):78-82.
- 5. Chandrashekar Vaster S, Lalitha KC, Lakshmi Narayana MT, Ranganatha AD. Production constraints faced by potato growers. Rural India. 2001;64(2&3):33-34.
- 6. Ganesh K. Evaluation of alternative farming systems in Gazani lands of Karnataka-An economic analysis. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Uni. Agric. Sci. Dharwad; c2000.

- 7. Niwas JR. Economic Viability of different cropping pattern prevailing in Dewas district of Madhya Pradesh. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis Submitted to the Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Gwalior; c2010.
- 8. Jat RA, Dungrani RA, Arvadia MK, Sahrawat KL. Diversification of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)-based cropping systems for higher productivity, resource-use efficiency and economic returns in south Gujarat, India. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science. 2012 Jun 1;58(6):561-72.
- Martin CJ. Institutions in agriculture development. The Iowa State University Press (Ist edition); c1971. p. 91-105.
- Mohan L. Economic efficiency of cropping pattern under different sources of irrigation. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis submitted to University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad; c2005.
- Narvariya R, Ashok CS, Shahu M, Raghuvanshi JS, Narvariya D. Profitability in cultivation of soybean production in Narmadapuram division of Madhya Pradesh Eco. Env. & Cons. 2015 Dec;21(Suppl.):S175-S177.
- 12. Pathak H, Patra AK, Kalra N. Information technology based nutrient management for higher and sustainable crop yields. Indian Journal of Fertilisers. 2005;1(4):27-37.
- 13. Pandey PR, Gupta JK, Narvariya RK, Meena SC, Narwariya D. Constraints faced by farmers in adoption of integrated farming system in Vindhyan Plateau of Madhya Pradesh. Plant Archives. 2019;19(2):512-4.
- 14. Rangaswamy R. Reporter's report on science and technology for dryland farming. Indian J Agric. Econ. 1986;41(3):413-417.
- 15. Thakur DS, Sharma KD. Weakling of Agriculture in Himachal Pradesh- An introduction. Himachal J. Agric. Research. 1984;10(2):1-11.
- 16. Vivekananda. Problems and prospects of agricultural development in Karnataka. Occasional Paper-9, NABARD, Mumbai; c1999.
- 17. Rathore V, Sharma HO, Narvariya RK. Growth rate of cost of cultivation of soybean in Maharashtra States of India The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;SP10(3):84-89