International Journal of Statistics and Applied Mathematics

ISSN: 2456-1452 Maths 2023; SP-8(6): 1289-1291 © 2023 Stats & Maths <u>https://www.mathsjournal.com</u> Received: 30-09-2023 Accepted: 07-11-2023

JP Abdar

Ex. M.Sc Student, Department of Horticulture College of Agriculture, Latur, Maharashtra, India

SV Dhutraj

Assistant Professor, Banana Research Station, Nanded VNMKV, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

NE Jayewar

Principal, Agriculture Technical School, Nanded VNMKV, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author: JP Abdar Ex. M.Sc Student, Department of Horticulture College of Agriculture, Latur, Maharashtra, India

Effect of different levels of phosphorus and sulphur on growth and yield of cowpea (Vigna sinensis) Cv. Pusa Phalguni

JP Abdar, SV Dhutraj and NE Jayewar

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/maths.2023.v8.i6Sq.1553

Abstract

The experiment "Effect of different levels of phosphorous and sulphur on growth and yield of Cow pea (*Vigna sinensis*) Pusa Phalguni was conducted at the instruction a cum Research farm Department of Horticulture, Collage of Agriculture during kharif season 2012-2013. The experiment was laid out in factorial Randomized Design with three levels each of phosphorus and sulphur consisting nine treatment combination replicated thrice. The treatment comprising of levels of phosphorus *viz*. P₁:40 kg P₂O₅/ha,P₂:50 kg P₂O5/ha,P₃:60 kg P₂O₅/ha and three levels of sulphur *viz*. S₀:0 kg S / ha,S₁: 20 kg S/ha,S₂:40 kg S/ha and P₁:60 kg P2O5/ha produced better growth number of branches, (13.56), number of functional leaves (17.10) Leaf area (17.55 dm²) and yield green pod (50.51q) per hacter of cow pea under study.

Keywords: Cow pea, Vigna sinensis, horticulture, phosphorus

Introduction

Cowpea is commercially growth throughout in india for its long pod green as vegetable for its seed as pulse for its foliage and fodder The nutrient available to plant particularly nitrogen and phosphorus are important constitution of protein and phospholipids, phosphorus not only enhance the root growth but also promotes early plant maturity Mullin *et al.*, (1996) ^[3] phosphorus does not increase seed yield but also nodulation. Kuradikari *et al.*, (1973) ^[2] reported that an increase of about 5% seed protein content of cowpea as a result of phosphorus application. Phosphorus is a vital element in all biological system and is limiting factor in enhancing the productivity of legumes. Its availability in tropical soils are less due to fixation by various soil reaction. Phosphorus does not only increase yield but also nodulation. Sulphur is a fourth major nutrient after nitrogen phosphorus and potassium Phosphorus all though not required in large quantities, critical to cowpea yield because of its multiple effect on plant nutrition (Muleba and Ezmumah, (1985) ^[4] Legume and oilseed crops have been found respond to sulphur application in soil because of deficiency in Availed sulphur Dev and kumar (1982) ^[1]. Because of relatively higher protein content in seed and straw cowpea requirs high amount of sulphur than other legume crops.

Materials and Methods

The experiment on effect of different levels of phosphorus and sulphur on yield of Cowpea. (*Vigna sinensis*) Pusa Phalguni was conducted at the instruction a cum Research farm Department of Horticulture, Collage of Agriculture, Latur during kharif season 2012-2013. The experiment was laid out in factorial randomized design with three levels each of phosphorus and sulphur consisting nine treatment combination replicated thrice. The treatment comprising of levels of phosphorus *viz*. P₁:40 kg P₂O₅/ ha,P₂:50 kg P₂O₅/ha,P₃:60 kg P₂O₅/ha and three levels of sulphur *viz*. S₀:0 kg S / ha,S₁: 20 kg S/ha,S₂:40 kg S/ha. The recommended N and K each of 50 kg per hacter. Whereas the P and S was applied through urea and Diaammonium phosphate (DAP), phosphorus through DAP, potash through Mutrate of potash and sulphur through Gypsum. The cultural and other operation carried out during experimentation.

The crop was protected from insect and diseases by spraying pesticides, inecticides fungicides as and when required. The observation were recorded at 15 dayes interval.

Results and Discussion

Results presented in table in Table 1 and 2 revelved that the different levels of fertigation had a significant influences on height of plant, number of leaves, leaf area and yield

Plant Height

The plant height at 60 DAS was not influenced significantly due to different levels of phosphorous while the maximum height of plant was recorded by the application of 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ (42.07cm). The different levels of sulphur the maximum value of plant height (43.60 cm)) was recorded by application of 40 kg S ha¹ Intraction effect of phosphorus and Sulphur on plant height was not found significant. The increasing plant height under phosphorus treatment might be due to role of phosphorus in casuing rapid cell division and cell elongation in the meristematic region of the plant similar results were also recorded by Sharma and Jat (2003) ^[7].

Number of branches

The highest number of branches (13.50) recorded with the application of phosphorus at 60 kg h⁻¹ and was found at par with 50 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹ The different levels of sulphur the significantly highest number of branches (13.56) per plant was observed with 40kg S h⁻¹ at 60 DAS and was found at par with 20 kg S ha⁻¹. Intraction effect on number of branches per plant were not significant found. This may be probably due to the cumulative effect of phosphorus on the process of cell division, balanced nutrition and increased availability of phosporus. The result are close conformity with Yadav and Yadav (2011) ^[9].

Number of leaves: At 60 DAS application of 60 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹ produced significantly highest number of functional leaves. (17.30) per plant over 40kg S h⁻¹. The different levels of sulphur at 60 DAS application of 40kg S h⁻¹was recorded maximum number of branches per plant (17.10). The intraction effect between different levels of phosphorus and sulphur in mean number of leaves per plant was not found significant at 60 DAS. The might to due to phosphorus involved in the cell division, cell elongation. The results of the present study were in conformity with recorded by Owolade *et al.* (2003) ^[5]

Leaf area: Significantly highest leaf area per plant (21.55 dm^2) per plant was observed due to application of 40 kgS h⁻¹. Interaction effect was found non significan

Days to initiation of flowering: Significantly minimum dayes (42.78days) to first flowering recorded by treatment 50 and60 kr phosphorus per hectar. The significantly early flowering (42.33 days) was reecoded when cowpea crpo was fertilizer with 20 kg Sper hectar was found stastically at par with 40kg per hectar Intraction effect found significant.

Green pod yield per plot and hectar: The maximum green pod yield per plot and pre hector was influenced significantly due to different levels of phosphorus. The maximum pod yield per plot (6.38kg) and per hector (50.61q) was produced with the application of 60 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹. The application of 40 kg S per hector was produced higher green pod yoeld per plot (6.5kg) and per hectar (52.22q). Which was found superior over without application of sulphur per hector.

The application of 40 kgS h⁻¹ was produced higher green pod yield per plot (6.5 kg) and per hectar (52.51 q) was found superior over without application of sulphur pper hectar. The higher green pod yield was recorde with higher level of phosphorus may be due to higher dose of phosphorus may be due to higher reproductive growth similar finding were reported by Rehman *et al.* (2007) ^[6] Subhramanian *et al.* (1977) ^[8].

 Table 1: Effect of different levels of phosphorus and sulphur on growth charectars of cowpea

| Treatment | Plant height (cm) | Number of branches | Number of leaves | Leaf area (dm ²) | Days to initiation of flowering |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Phosphorus levels (P) | | | | | |
| P ₁ | 39.84 | 11.64 | 14.76 | 17.01 | 43.11 |
| P2 | 41.40 | 13.16 | 16.54 | 20.26 | 42.78 |
| P3 | 42.07 | 13.50 | 17.30 | 22.55 | 42.78 |
| SE+_ | 1.25 | 0.41 | 0.57 | 0.76 | 0.31 |
| CD at 5% | NS | 1.33 | 1.73 | 2.31 | NS |
| Sulphur Levels (S) | | | | | |
| S_1 | 39.38 | 11.92 | 14.84 | 17.88 | 43.89 |
| S_2 | 40.33 | 12.82 | 16.66 | 20.29 | 42.33 |
| S ₃ | 43.60 | 13.56 | 17.10 | 21.65 | 42.44 |
| SE+_ | 1.25 | 0.41 | 0.57 | 0.76 | 0.31 |
| CD at 5% | NS | 1.33 | 1,73 | 2.31 | 0.95 |
| Interaction effect | | | | | |
| SE+_ | 2.18 | 0.17 | 1.00 | 1.33 | 0.55 |
| CD at 5% | NS | NS | NS | NS | 1.65 |

 Table 2: Effect of different levels of phosphorus and sulphur and yield of cowpea

| Treatment | Green pod yield per plot | Green pod yield per hectre | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| Phosphorus levels (P) | | | | |
| P1 | 5.51 | 43.74 | | |
| P ₂ | 6.23 | 49.43 | | |
| P 3 | 6.38 | 50.51 | | |
| SE+_ | 0.22 | 1.74 | | |
| CD at 5% | 0.66 | 5.24 | | |
| Sulphur Levels (S) | | | | |
| S_1 | 5.39 | 42.75 | | |
| S_2 | 6.15 | 48.82 | | |
| S ₃ | 6.58 | 52.22 | | |
| SE+_ | 0.22 | 1.74 | | |
| CD at 5% | 0.66 | 5.24 | | |
| Interaction effect | | | | |
| SE+_ | 0.38 | 3.03 | | |
| CD at 5% | NS | NS | | |

Reference

- Dev G, Kumar V. Secondary Nutrient. In: Review of soil Research in India Part II. 12th Cong Soil Science. Indian Soc. SOIL Science. New Delhi; c1982. p. 342-60.
- Kuradikeri CB, Patil RV, Krishnamurthy K. Response of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) to varying fertilizer level. Mysore Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 1973;7:170-174.
- 3. Mullins MC, Hammerschmidt M, Kane DA, Odenthal J, Brand M, Van Eeden FJ, *et al.* Genes establishing dorsoventral pattern formation in the zebrafish embryo: the ventral specifying genes. Development. 1996 Dec 1;123(1):81-93.
- 4. Muleba N, Ezumah HC. Optimizing cultural practices for cowpea in Africa. In: Coepea Research Station, Production, and Utilization. Singh SR, Rachie KO,

editors. John Wiley and Sons Ltd, Chichester, UK; c1985. p. 289-295.

- Owolade OF, Adediran JA, Akande MA, Alali BS. Effect of application of cowpea fertilizer on brown blotch disease of cowpea. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2003;VI(5):343-347.
- 6. Rehman MA, Hoque AK, Reheman NM, Talukdar MB, Islam MS. Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth and yield of fresh bean. Journal of Soil and Nature. 2007;1(2):30-34.
- Sharma SK, Jat NL. Effect of phosphorus and sulfur on growth and yield of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.). Agricultural Research, New Series. 2003;24(1):215-216.
- Subhramanain A, Balasubramaian A, Venkatachalam C. Effect of varying levels of fertilizer and spacing on the yield of cowpea. Madras Agricultural Journal. 1977;64(9):614-615.
- 9. Yadav SL, Yadav LR. Effect of phosphorus and biofertilizer on productivity of garden pea under semiarid conditions. Journal of Food Legumes. 2011;24(3):248-249.