### International Journal of Statistics and Applied Mathematics

ISSN: 2456-1452 Maths 2024; SP-9(1): 181-183 © 2024 Stats & Maths <u>https://www.mathsjournal.com</u> Received: 18-11-2023 Accepted: 21-12-2023

### Janika V Bhadaraka

Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Horticulture, J.A.U., Junagadh, Gujarat, India

### Mayuri Nakum

Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Horticulture, J.A.U., Junagadh, Gujarat, India

### Mital Vaghasiya

Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Horticulture, J.A.U., Junagadh, Gujarat, India

### KM Karetha

Associate Professor, College of Horticulture, J.A.U., Junagadh, Gujarat, India

### Mansi Singala

Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Horticulture, J.A.U., Junagadh, Gujarat, India

#### Devsi K Varu

Principal and Dean, College of Horticulture, J.A.U., Junagadh, Gujarat, India

### **Corresponding Author:**

Janika V Bhadaraka Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Horticulture, J.A.U., Junagadh, Gujarat, India

# Economic analysis of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Local as affected by plug seedling age and pinching

## Janika V Bhadaraka, Mayuri Nakum, Mital Vaghasiya, KM Karetha, Mansi Singala and Devsi K Varu

### DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.22271/maths.2024.v9.i1Sc.1582

### Abstract

The present investigation entitled "Effect of plug seedling age and pinching methods on growth, flower yield, seed yield and shelf life of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Local" was carried out during the year October 2021 to March 2022 at the Floriculture Farm, Jambuvadi, Department of Horticulture, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh (Gujarat). The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with factorial concept with twelve treatment combinations, consisting three levels of plug seedling age *i.e.* two week old plug seedling (A<sub>1</sub>), three week old plug seedling (A<sub>2</sub>), four week old plug seedling (A<sub>3</sub>) and four pinching methods *i.e.* no pinching (P<sub>1</sub>), single pinching (P<sub>2</sub>), single and a half pinching (P<sub>3</sub>) and double pinching (P<sub>4</sub>). The treatments were repeated three times. Treatment were evaluated with respect to growth, yield and quality parameters of marigold. Among various treatments, the highest benefit cost ration and net realization obtained with treatment A<sub>3</sub>P<sub>2</sub> (four week old plug seedling + single pinching).

Keywords: Marigold, age of plug seedling, time of transplanting, pinching

### Introduction

Flowers are important for their economic use as well as aesthetic value. Among the flowers grown by farmers, marigold (Tagetes erecta L.) has its own importance. Marigold is an important commercial flower of India, belongs to family Asteraceae (earlier Compositae). The common name "Marigold" derived from "Mary's Gold" is associated with Virgin Mary of the Christian stories. However, the name Tagetes was given after Tagetes, a demigod, a worshiping god in Egypt, known for his beauty. In India, marigold introduced by Portuguese and became popular and spread quickly because of their easy cultivation, wide adaptability of varying agro-climatic condition. In India, marigold cultivated on an area of 324 thousand hectares with a production of 1962 thousand tonnes (Anon., 2018)<sup>[1]</sup>. Many factors influence marigold commercial output, including genotype (variety), environmental conditions (temperature and rainfall), and cultural methods like as planting time, fertilizer application, spacing, pinching, weeding, watering and so on. However, some components of marigold production technology are lacking, such as seedling planting age (plug plants) and pinching stage, as well as agro-climatic conditions in Hyderabad, Karnataka, which have yet to be standardized. The plug plants have an undisturbed tap root system, whereas seedlings cultivated in nursery beds have damaged roots that do not establish well in the field. The plug plants grown in the greenhouse, on the other hand, grew faster and were more susceptible to harm when planted later. As a result, the current study intended to find the best plug plants at the optimum period for planting, which will increase flower and seed yields due to their undisturbed root system and proper nutrition in enriched media.

Pinching is the process of pinching off new growth on a plant in order to encourage branching and boost bloom production. Because of apical dominance, a plant grows straight up, but if the growth tips are pinched out, assimilates are diverted into lateral buds, and branching develops. International Journal of Statistics and Applied Mathematics

When compared to crops cultivated with conventional seedlings, pinching time for plug plants is not recommended (40 DAT). The same 40-day period looks to be longer for plug plants, which will struggle to establish due to their lanky development. As a result, determining the optimal pinching period for plug plants cultivated in greenhouses is necessary.

### **Materials and Methods**

Experiment was laid out in randomized block design with 3 replication. Statistical analysis of the individual data of various characters studied in the experiment will be carried out as per Randomized Block Design with factorial concept (FRBD). Analysis of variance will be worked out using standard statistical procedures as described by Panse and Sukhatme (1985) <sup>[2]</sup>. There was 12 treatment :  $A_1P_1$  (Two weeks old plug seedling + No pinching),  $A_1P_2$  (Two weeks old plug seedling + Single pinching),  $A_1P_4$  (Two weeks old plug seedling + Double pinching),  $A_2P_1$  (Three weeks old plug seedling + No pinching),  $A_2P_2$  (Three weeks old plug seedling + No pinching),  $A_2P_2$  (Three weeks old plug seedling + No pinching),  $A_2P_2$  (Three weeks old plug seedling + No pinching),  $A_2P_3$  (Three weeks old plug seedling + No pinching),  $A_2P_3$  (Three weeks old plug seedling + No pinching),  $A_2P_3$  (Three weeks old plug seedling + No pinching),  $A_2P_3$  (Three weeks old plug seedling + No pinching),  $A_2P_3$  (Three weeks old plug seedling + No pinching),  $A_3P_3$  (Three weeks old plug seedling + No pinching),  $A_3P_3$  (Three weeks old plug seedling + No pinching),  $A_3P_3$  (Three weeks old plug seedling + No pinching),  $A_3P_3$  (Three weeks old plug seedling + No pinching),  $A_3P_3$  (Three weeks old plug seedling + No pinching),  $A_3P_3$  (Three weeks old plug seedling + No pinching),  $A_3P_3$  (Three weeks old plug seedling + No pinching),  $A_3P_3$  (Three weeks old plug seedling + No pinching),  $A_3P_3$  (Three weeks old plug seedling + No pinching),  $A_3P_3$  (Three weeks old plug seedling + No pinching),  $A_3P_3$  (Three weeks old plug seedling + No pinching),  $A_3P_3$  (Three weeks old plug seedling + No pinching),  $A_3P_3$  (Three weeks old plug seedling + No pinching),  $A_3P_3$  (Three weeks old plug seedling + No pinching),  $A_3P_3$  (Three weeks old plug seedling + No pinching),  $A_3P_3$  (Three weeks old plug seedling + No pinching),  $A_3P_3$  (Three weeks old plug seedling + No pinching),  $A_3P_3$  (Three weeks

seedling + Single pinching),  $A_2P_3$  (Three weeks old plug seedling + Single and a half pinching),  $A_2P_4$  (Three weeks old plug seedling + Double pinching),  $A_3P_1$  (Four weeks old plug seedling + No pinching),  $A_3P_2$  (Four weeks old plug seedling + Single pinching),  $A_3P_3$  (Four weeks old plug seedling + Single and a half pinching) and  $A_3P_4$  (Four weeks old plug seedling + Double pinching). Morning hours were used for seedling transplanting, with rows 60 cm apart and plants 40 cm apart. A light irrigation was given soon after transplanting. Gap filling was done one week after transplanting to maintain required plant population. Single pinching was done at 30 DAT, single and a half pinching 20 days after single pinching was done depending upon the treatment.

### **Results and Discussion**

Among various treatments, data from table 3 shown that least total cost found in treatment  $A_1P_1$  and  $A_3P_1$  (57012 Rs/ha) while highest total cost obtained with treatment  $A_1P_3$ ,  $A_2P_3$  and  $A_3P_3$  (65762 rs/ ha).

| Α       | Cost of cultivation for marigold                     |                          |                    |                  |  |  |  |  |
|---------|--|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Sr. No. |  | <b>Required quantity</b> | Unit cost (Rs.)    | Total cost (Rs.) |  |  |  |  |
|         | Input  | cost                     |                    | ·                |  |  |  |  |
| Ι       | a) Planting material cost                            | 1 kg seeds               | 300/100 g of seeds | 3000             |  |  |  |  |
|         | b) Seedling trays                                    | 880 trays                | 25/ seedling tray  | 22000            |  |  |  |  |
| II      | Soil preparation with tractor                        |                          |                    | 6500             |  |  |  |  |
|         | Total- I   |                          |                    | 31500            |  |  |  |  |
| III     | I Manure & Fertilizers                               |                          |                    |                  |  |  |  |  |
|         | FYM  | 10 tone                  | 500                | 5000             |  |  |  |  |
|         | For, N, the source is Urea                           | 435 kg                   | 266                | 2572             |  |  |  |  |
|         | For, P, the source is SSP                            | 100 kg                   | 362                | 724              |  |  |  |  |
|         | For, K, the source is MOP                            | 166 kg                   | 800                | 2556             |  |  |  |  |
|         | Total- II  |                          |                    | 10852            |  |  |  |  |
| IV      | Plant protection                                     |                          |                    |                  |  |  |  |  |
|         | Imidacloprid   | 250 ml                   | 630                | 1260             |  |  |  |  |
|         | Total- III   |                          |                    | 1260             |  |  |  |  |
|         | Total A (I+II+III)                                   |                          |                    | 43612            |  |  |  |  |
| В       | Labour cost  |                          |                    |                  |  |  |  |  |
| 1       | Cost of transplanting of seedlings (5 labour $1=5$ ) | 5 labour                 | 268                | 1340             |  |  |  |  |
| 2       | Weeding (6 labour×2=12)                              | 12 labour                | 268                | 3216             |  |  |  |  |
| 3       | Irrigation cost (1 labour) × 8 Irri.)                | 8 labour                 | 268                | 2144             |  |  |  |  |
| 6       | Harvesting (5 labour×5 =25)                          | 25 labour                | 268                | 6700             |  |  |  |  |
|         | Total - B  |                          |                    | 13400            |  |  |  |  |
|         | Total (A+B)  |                          |                    | 57012            |  |  |  |  |

 Table 1: Fixed cost for one hectare

**Table 2:** Variable cost for pinching of different aged plants (one hectare)

| Details                       | A- Labour requirement |      |                |  |  |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|------|----------------|--|--|
| Treatment                     | Quantity labour       | Rate | Total cost (A) |  |  |
| A <sub>1</sub> P <sub>1</sub> | 0                     | 0    | 0              |  |  |
| A <sub>1</sub> P <sub>2</sub> | 15                    | 268  | 4020           |  |  |
| A <sub>1</sub> P <sub>3</sub> | 25                    | 350  | 8750           |  |  |
| A <sub>1</sub> P <sub>4</sub> | 20                    | 350  | 7000           |  |  |
| A <sub>2</sub> P <sub>1</sub> | 0                     | 0    | 0              |  |  |
| A <sub>2</sub> P <sub>2</sub> | 15                    | 268  | 4020           |  |  |
| A <sub>2</sub> P <sub>3</sub> | 25                    | 350  | 8750           |  |  |
| A <sub>2</sub> P <sub>4</sub> | 20                    | 350  | 7000           |  |  |
| A <sub>3</sub> P <sub>1</sub> | 0                     | 0    | 0              |  |  |
| A <sub>3</sub> P <sub>2</sub> | 15                    | 268  | 4020           |  |  |
| A <sub>3</sub> P <sub>3</sub> | 25                    | 350  | 8750           |  |  |
| A <sub>3</sub> P <sub>4</sub> | 20                    | 350  | 7000           |  |  |

Table 3: Economics of plug seedling age and pinching methods for cultivation of African marigold (Tagetes erecta L.) cv. Local

| Treatment combination         | Flower yield (kg/ha) | Fixed cost<br>(Rs/ha) | Variable cost<br>(Rs/ha) | Total cost (Rs/ha) | Gross return<br>(Rs/ha) | Net return<br>(Rs/ha) | B:C ratio |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| A <sub>1</sub> P <sub>1</sub> | 2222                 | 57012                 | 0                        | 57012              | 66660                   | 9648                  | 1.16      |
| A <sub>1</sub> P <sub>2</sub> | 3811                 | 57012                 | 4020                     | 61032              | 114330                  | 53298                 | 1.87      |
| A <sub>1</sub> P <sub>3</sub> | 2824                 | 57012                 | 8750                     | 65762              | 84720                   | 18958                 | 1.28      |
| A <sub>1</sub> P <sub>4</sub> | 3256                 | 57012                 | 7000                     | 64012              | 97680                   | 33668                 | 1.52      |
| A <sub>2</sub> P <sub>1</sub> | 2993                 | 57012                 | 0                        | 57012              | 89790                   | 32778                 | 1.57      |
| $A_2P_2$                      | 4182                 | 57012                 | 4020                     | 61032              | 125460                  | 64428                 | 2.05      |
| A <sub>2</sub> P <sub>3</sub> | 3688                 | 57012                 | 8750                     | 65762              | 110640                  | 44878                 | 1.68      |
| $A_2P_4$                      | 3888                 | 57012                 | 7000                     | 64012              | 116640                  | 52628                 | 1.82      |
| A <sub>3</sub> P <sub>1</sub> | 3595                 | 57012                 | 0                        | 57012              | 107850                  | 50838                 | 1.89      |
| A <sub>3</sub> P <sub>2</sub> | 6527                 | 57012                 | 4020                     | 61032              | 195810                  | 134778                | 3.20      |
| A <sub>3</sub> P <sub>3</sub> | 4444                 | 57012                 | 8750                     | 65762              | 133320                  | 67558                 | 2.02      |
| A <sub>3</sub> P <sub>4</sub> | 4799                 | 57012                 | 7000                     | 64012              | 143970                  | 79958                 | 2.24      |

Data from Table 2 pertaining to the economics of treatments shows that maximum gross income (195810 rs/ha) was observed in treatment  $A_3P_2$  followed by  $A_3P_4$  (143970 rs/ha), similarly maximum net income (134778 rs/ha) was observed in treatment  $A_3P_2$  followed by  $A_3P_4$  (79958 rs/ha), while highest B:C ratio was observed in treatment  $A_3P_2$  (3.20) followed by  $A_3P_4$  (2.24). Therefore treatment  $A_3P_2$  Four weeks old plug seedling + Single pinching) rated as most effective treatment.

### Conclusion

On the basis of economical analysis it is clearly observed that Four week old seedling with single pinching provided highest net return and beneficial on economic cultivation.

### Reference

- 1. Anonymous. Indian Horticulture Database, NHB, Gurgaon; c2017-18. Available at: http://www.nhb.gov.in; 2018.
- 2. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research Publication; c1985. p. 87-89.
- 3. Kaplan L. Genus Tagetes. Economic Botany. 1960;14:200-202.
- 4. Singh AK, Sisodia A. Textbook of Floriculture and Landscaping. New India Publishing Agency, New Delhi; c2017. p. 382-383.